

# Symmetry and isogeny properties for Barsotti–Tate groups

Ofer Gabber and Adrian Vasiu

August 27, 2018

**ABSTRACT.** Let  $k$  be a perfect field of characteristic  $p > 0$ . Let  $D$  and  $E$  be two Barsotti–Tate groups over  $k$ . We show that for  $n \gg 0$ , the dimension  $\dim(\mathbf{Hom}(D[p^n], E[p^n]))$  is a symmetric isogeneous invariant, i.e., it does not change if  $D$  and  $E$  are interchanged or replaced by Barsotti–Tate groups  $D'$  and  $E'$  isogenous to  $D$  and  $E$  (respectively). The case when  $D$  and  $E$  have the same dimension and codimension is generalized to the relative context provided by Barsotti–Tate groups over  $k$  endowed with a group in the sense of [GV]. Let  $G$  be a truncated Barsotti–Tate group of level  $m$  over  $k$  and let  $H$  be a finite commutative group scheme over  $k$  annihilated by  $p^m$ . We prove that  $\dim(\mathbf{Hom}(G, H)) = \dim(\mathbf{Hom}(H, G))$ . We also prove a stronger form of this identity that involves the Grothendieck group of the multiplicative monoid scheme over  $k$  associated to the reduced ring scheme  $\mathbf{End}(G)_{\text{red}} \times_k \mathbf{End}(H)_{\text{red}}^{\text{opp}}$ .

**KEY WORDS:** Group schemes, Barsotti–Tate groups, monoids, representations, Lie algebras, rings, categories, Dieudonné modules, quasi-algebraic groups, and proalgebraic groups.

**MSC 2010:** 11F22, 11G10, 11G18, 14F30, 14G35, 14K10, 14L05, 14L15, 17B45, 20G15, 20M13, and 20M32.

## 1 Introduction

Let  $p$  be a prime and let  $k$  be a perfect field of characteristic  $p$ . Let  $G$  and  $H$  be two finite commutative group schemes over  $k$  of  $p$  power order. Let

$\mathbf{Hom}(G, H)$  be the affine group scheme over  $k$  of homomorphisms from  $G$  to  $H$ . Let  $G^t$  be the Cartier dual of  $G$ .

We recall that the  $a$ -number of  $G$  is  $a_G = \dim(\mathbf{Hom}(\alpha_p, G))$ . Equivalently,  $a_G$  is the largest integer such that  $\alpha_p^{a_G}$  is a subgroup scheme of  $G$ . In general,  $a_G \neq a_{G^t}$  (see Subsection 2.1) but it is well known that if  $G$  is a truncated Barsotti–Tate group, then we have  $a_G = a_{G^t}$  (for instance, see [GV], Subsection 3.5). The goal of the paper is to generalize this last identity in order to get several symmetry and isogeny properties for (truncated) Barsotti–Tate groups over  $k$ .

We begin with the case of Barsotti–Tate groups over  $k$ . Let  $D$  and  $E$  be two Barsotti–Tate groups over  $k$ . It is known that there exists a smallest nonnegative integer  $n_{D,E}$  such that for all integers  $n \geq n_{D,E}$  we have

$$\dim(\mathbf{Hom}(D[p^n], E[p^n])) = \dim(\mathbf{Hom}(D[p^{n_{D,E}}], E[p^{n_{D,E}}])),$$

cf. [GV], Subsection 6.1. Following [LNV], Definition 7.9 we denote

$$s_{D,E} = \dim(\mathbf{Hom}(D[p^{n_{D,E}}], E[p^{n_{D,E}}])).$$

In Section 3 we will provide an elementary (group scheme theoretical) proof of the following symmetry and isogeny property.

**Theorem 1** *The dimension  $s_{D,E}$  is a symmetric isogenous invariant. In other words, if  $D'$  and  $E'$  are Barsotti–Tate groups over  $k$  isogenous to  $D$  and  $E$  (respectively), then we have  $s_{D,E} = s_{E,D} = s_{D',E'}$ . Moreover we have the symmetric property  $n_{D,E} = n_{E,D}$ .*

The case  $D = E$  and  $D' = E'$  of Theorem 1 (i.e., the equality  $s_{D,D} = s_{D',D'}$ ) was first proved in [V2], Theorem 1.2 (e)] (cf. also [GV], Remark 4.5). We have the following interpretation of  $n_{D,E}$  in terms of extensions (cf. [GV], Subsection 6.1]: for  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ , the homomorphism  $\text{Ext}^1(D, E) \rightarrow \text{Ext}^1(D[p^n], E[p^n])$  is injective if and only if  $n \geq n_{D,E}$ . From this and the symmetric property  $n_{D,E} = n_{E,D}$  we get:

**Corollary 1** *For  $n \in \mathbb{N}$  we consider the two homomorphisms of abstract groups  $\text{Ext}^1(D, E) \rightarrow \text{Ext}^1(D[p^n], E[p^n])$  and  $\text{Ext}^1(E, D) \rightarrow \text{Ext}^1(E[p^n], D[p^n])$ . Then one is injective if and only if the other one is injective.*

In Section 4 we will prove the following general symmetric formula.

**Theorem 2** *Let  $m$  be the smallest positive integer such that  $p^m$  annihilates both  $G$  and  $H$ . We assume that  $G$  is a truncated Barsotti–Tate group of level  $m$  over  $k$ . Then we have*

$$\dim(\mathbf{Hom}(G, H)) = \dim(\mathbf{Hom}(H, G)). \quad (1)$$

Note that Equation (1) also implies that  $s_{D,E} = s_{E,D}$  and  $n_{D,E} = n_{E,D}$  and, when combined with [V2], Theorem 1.2 (e), that  $s_{D,E} = s_{D',E'}$  (cf. Remark 4.2 (b)). The following proposition (proved in Subsection 4.4 based on the examples of Subsection 4.3)) shows that for  $m > 1$  the hypothesis of Theorem 2 is needed in general.

**Proposition 1** *Let  $m > n > 0$  be integers. Let  $G$  be a truncated Barsotti–Tate group of level  $n$  over  $k$  and let  $H$  be a finite commutative group scheme over  $k$  annihilated by  $p^m$  but not by  $p^{m-1}$ . Then the following optimal inequalities hold*

$$\frac{n}{m} \leq \frac{\dim(\mathbf{Hom}(G, H))}{\dim(\mathbf{Hom}(H, G))} \leq \frac{m}{n}. \quad (2)$$

Moreover, the difference  $\dim(\mathbf{Hom}(G, H)) - \dim(\mathbf{Hom}(H, G))$  when  $m > n$  vary, can be an arbitrary integer.

For a group scheme  $\Gamma$  over  $k$ , let  $\Gamma^0$  and  $\Gamma_{\text{red}}$  be the identity component and the reduced group (respectively) of  $\Gamma$ . Let  $\mathbf{M}$  be the multiplicative monoid scheme over  $k$  associated to the reduced ring scheme

$$\mathbf{End}(G)_{\text{red}} \times_k \mathbf{End}(H)_{\text{red}}^{\text{opp}} = \mathbf{End}(G)_{\text{red}} \times_k \mathbf{End}(H^t)_{\text{red}}.$$

By a left  $\mathbf{M}$ -module  $Z$  (or a representation  $Z$  of  $\mathbf{M}$ ) we mean a  $k$ -vector space  $Z$  equipped with a homomorphism  $\rho_Z$  from  $\mathbf{M}$  to the multiplicative monoid scheme over  $k$  associated to the ring scheme  $\mathbf{End}(Z)$ . Let  $\sigma$  be the Frobenius automorphism of  $k$ . Let  $Z^{(\sigma)}$  be the pullback of  $Z$  via  $\sigma$  viewed naturally as a left  $\mathbf{M}$ -module; thus  $\rho_{Z^{(\sigma)}}$  is the composite of the Frobenius homomorphism  $\mathbf{M} \rightarrow \mathbf{M}^{(\sigma)}$  with  $(\rho_Z)^{(\sigma)}$ .

Let  $K_0(\mathbf{M})$  be the Grothendieck group of the abelian category of finite dimensional left  $\mathbf{M}$ -modules  $Z$ ; let  $[Z] \in K_0(\mathbf{M})$  be the element corresponding to  $Z$ . Let  $I_0(\mathbf{M})$  be the subgroup of  $K_0(\mathbf{M})$  generated by elements of the form  $[Z^{(\sigma)}] - [Z]$  with  $Z$  an arbitrary finite dimensional left  $\mathbf{M}$ -module.

Let  $\mathbf{L}_1$  and  $\mathbf{L}_2$  be the Lie algebras over  $k$  of the reduced group schemes  $\mathbf{Hom}(G, H)_{\text{red}}$  and  $\mathbf{Hom}(H, G)_{\text{red}}$  (respectively). Let  $\mathbf{L}_1^\vee = \text{Hom}_k(\mathbf{L}_1, k)$  be

the dual  $k$ -vector space. Both  $\mathbf{L}_1^\vee$  and  $\mathbf{L}_2$  are naturally left  $\mathbf{M}$ -modules. For instance, if  $(g, h) \in \mathbf{M}(k) = \mathbf{End}(G)(k) \times \mathbf{End}(H)^{\text{opp}}(k)$ , then we have an endomorphism  $c_{g,h} : \mathbf{Hom}(H, G)_{\text{red}} \rightarrow \mathbf{Hom}(H, G)_{\text{red}}$  which maps  $l \in \mathbf{Hom}(H, G)_{\text{red}}(k)$  to  $g \circ l \circ h \in \mathbf{Hom}(H, G)_{\text{red}}(k)$  and  $(g, h)$  acts on  $\mathbf{L}_2$  via the Lie differential  $\text{Lie}(c_{g,h}) : \mathbf{L}_2 \rightarrow \mathbf{L}_2$  of  $c_{g,h}$ . We have the following stronger form of Theorem 2 which is proved in Section 5.

**Theorem 3** *Let  $m$  be the smallest positive integer such that  $p^m$  annihilates both  $G$  and  $H$ . We assume that  $G$  is a truncated Barsotti–Tate group of level  $m$  over  $k$ . Then the images of  $[\mathbf{L}_1^\vee]$  and  $[\mathbf{L}_2]$  in  $K_0(\mathbf{M})/I_0(\mathbf{M})$  coincide.*

The kernel of the dimension homomorphism  $\dim : K_0(\mathbf{M}) \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}$  contains  $I_0(\mathbf{M})$  and thus it induces a dimension homomorphism

$$\dim : K_0(\mathbf{M})/I_0(\mathbf{M}) \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}$$

denoted in the same way. Thus Theorem 3 implies Theorem 2. But we emphasize that the proof of Theorem 3 we present does rely on Theorem 2. Section 2 gathers some preliminary material required in the proofs of Theorems 1 to 3.

The particular case of Theorem 1 in which  $D$  and  $E$  have the same dimension and codimension is generalized in Section 6 to the relative contexts provided by quadruples of the form  $(L, \phi, \vartheta, \mathcal{G})$  and  $(L, g\phi, \vartheta g^{-1}, \mathcal{G})$ , where  $(L, \phi, \vartheta)$  and  $(L, g\phi, \vartheta g^{-1})$  are the (contravariant) Dieudonné modules of two Barsotti–Tate groups over  $k$ , where  $\mathcal{G}$  is a smooth integral closed subgroup scheme of  $\mathbf{GL}_L$  subject to the two axioms of [GV], Section 5, and where  $g \in \mathcal{G}(W(k))$ . The motivation for all these generalizations stems out from applications to level  $m$  stratifications of special fibers of good integral models of Shimura varieties of Hodge type in unramified mixed characteristic  $(0, p)$  (see [V2], Section 4).

## 2 Preliminaries

Let  $W(k)$  be the ring of  $p$ -typical Witt vectors with coefficients in  $k$ . Let  $B(k)$  be the field of fractions of  $W(k)$ . Let  $B(k)\{F, F^{-1}\}$  be the noncommutative Laurent polynomial ring and let

$$\mathbb{D} = \mathbb{D}(k) = B(k)\{F, F^{-1}\}/I$$

where  $I$  is the two-sided ideal generated by all elements  $Fa - \sigma(a)F$  with  $a \in B(k)$ . Let  $V = pF^{-1} \in \mathbb{D}$  and let  $\mathbb{E} = \mathbb{E}(k) = W(k)\{F, V\}$  as a subring of  $\mathbb{D}$ . For  $m \in \mathbb{N}^*$ , let  $W_m(k) = W(k)/p^m W(k)$  and  $\mathbb{E}_m = \mathbb{E}_m(k) = \mathbb{E}/p^m \mathbb{E}$ . The (contravariant) Dieudonné module of  $G$  is a left  $\mathbb{E}$ -module  $M$  which as a  $W(k)$ -module is torsion and finitely generated. If  $G$  is annihilated by  $p^m$ , then  $M$  is as well a left  $\mathbb{E}_m$ -module. If  $G$  is a truncated Barsotti–Tate group of level  $m$ , then  $M$  is a free  $W_m(k)$ -module of finite rank. We have

$$a_G = \dim_k(M/(FM + VM))$$

and

$$a_{G^t} = \dim_k(\text{Ker}(F : M \rightarrow M) \cap \text{Ker}(V : M \rightarrow M)).$$

## 2.1 Example with $a_G \neq a_{G^t}$

Let  $G$  be such that  $M$  is a  $k$ -vector space of dimension 3 which has an ordered  $k$ -basis  $(v_1, v_2, v_3)$  with the properties that  $Fv_1 = Fv_2 = Vv_1 = Vv_2 = 0$ ,  $Fv_3 = v_1$ , and  $Vv_3 = v_2$ . Then  $a_G = 1$  while  $a_{G^t} = 2$ .

## 2.2 Brief review of quasi-algebraic groups over $k$

Following [S1] we recall several ways to introduce a quasi-algebraic group  $Q$  over  $k$ . The simplest way is to define  $Q$  to be a group object of the category of perfect varieties over  $k$ , i.e., of the full subcategory of the category of schemes over  $k$  whose objects are perfections of schemes of finite type over  $k$  (equivalently are perfections of reduced schemes of finite type). Thus  $Q$  can be identified with a covariant functor from the category of commutative perfect  $k$ -algebras that are perfections of finitely generated  $k$ -algebras into the category of groups which is representable by a perfect variety over  $k$ . We also recall that a proalgebraic group over  $k$  is a projective limit of quasi-algebraic groups over  $k$  (to be compared with [S1], Definition 1 of Subsection 2.1).

Each quasi-algebraic group  $Q$  over  $k$  is the perfection  $\tilde{Q}^{\text{perf}}$  of a group scheme  $\tilde{Q}$  over  $k$  of finite type (cf. [S1], Proposition 10; the proof of loc. cit. applies in the noncommutative case as well). Obviously  $Q = \tilde{Q}^{\text{perf}}$  is a proalgebraic group over  $k$  (in the language of [S1], Definition 1 of Subsection 2.1, see also [S1], Example 1) of Subsection 2.1 for the commutative case). Let  $\mathcal{Q}$  be the abelian category of commutative quasi-algebraic groups over  $k$  (see [S1], Proposition 5).

**Fact 1** Let  $f : U_1 \rightarrow U_2$  be a morphism of the category  $\mathcal{Q}$  and let  $\bar{k}$  be an algebraic closure of  $k$ . Then the following two statements are equivalent:

- (i)  $f$  is an isomorphism;
- (ii) the abstract homomorphism  $f(\bar{k}) : U_1(\bar{k}) \rightarrow U_2(\bar{k})$  is an isomorphism.

**Proof:** This follows from the fact that each one of these two statements is equivalent to the third statement that both  $\text{Ker}(f)$  and  $\text{Coker}(f)$  are trivial.  $\square$

### 2.3 The $\chi_p$ function on pronipotent groups

Until the end of Section 2 we will assume that  $k$  is algebraically closed. Let  $\mathcal{P}$  be the abelian category of commutative proalgebraic groups over  $k$  (see [S1], Proposition 7). Note that  $\mathcal{Q}$  is a full subcategory of  $\mathcal{P}$ . We have a thick (Serre) subcategory of  $\mathcal{P}$  whose objects are finite dimensional proalgebraic groups (those which are projective limits of commutative quasi-algebraic groups of bounded dimension) and on it the dimension function  $\dim$  (defined in the obvious way) is additive.

We now specialize to commutative pronipotent groups  $U$  over  $k$ . For each  $n \in \mathbb{N}$  we have a natural multiplication by  $p$  epimorphism  $(p^n U)/(p^{n+1} U) \rightarrow (p^{n+1} U)/(p^{n+2} U)$ . Thus, if  $U/pU$  is finite dimensional, then we have a decreasing sequence  $(\dim((p^n U)/(p^{n+1} U)))_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$  of nonnegative integers and therefore there exists a smallest invariant  $n_U \in \mathbb{N}$  with the property that the subsequence  $(\dim((p^n U)/(p^{n+1} U)))_{n \geq n_U}$  is constant. This gives that the kernel  $\text{Ker}(p : p^{n_U} U \rightarrow p^{n_U+1} U)$  is zero dimensional and by a decreasing induction on  $i \in \{0, \dots, n_U\}$  we get that the kernel  $\text{Ker}(p : p^i U \rightarrow p^{i+1} U)$  is finite dimensional.

The full subcategory of  $\mathcal{P}$  whose objects are those commutative pronipotent groups  $U$  for which  $U/pU$  is finite dimensional is thick and on it the integral valued function defined by the rule

$$\chi_p(U) = \dim(U/pU) - \dim(\text{Ker}(p : U \rightarrow U))$$

is additive (cf. snake lemma).

**Fact 2** Let  $U$  be a commutative pronipotent group  $U$  such that  $U/pU$  is finite dimensional. Then  $\chi_p(U) \geq 0$ . Moreover, the following three statements are equivalent:

- (i) we have  $\chi_p(U) = 0$ ;

- (ii) the commutative prounipotent group  $p^{n\nu}U$  is zero dimensional;
- (iii) the commutative prounipotent group  $U$  is finite dimensional.

**Proof:** If  $U$  is annihilated by  $p$  (i.e.,  $pU = 0$ ), then  $\chi_p(U) = \dim(U) - \dim(U) = 0$ . Based on the additivity of  $\chi_p$ , a simple induction on  $a \in \mathbb{N}^*$  shows that if  $U$  is annihilated by  $p^a$  (i.e.,  $p^a U = 0$ ), then  $\chi_p(U) = 0$  and  $U$  is finite dimensional.

In general, from the additive equality  $\chi_p(U) = \chi_p(U/p^{n\nu}U) + \chi_p(p^{n\nu}U)$  and from the facts that  $\dim(\text{Ker}(p : p^{n\nu}U \rightarrow p^{n\nu}U)) = 0$  (see above) and  $\chi_p(U/p^{n\nu}U) = 0$  (cf. previous paragraph), we get that

$$\chi_p(U) = \chi_p(p^{n\nu}U) = \dim(p^{n\nu}U/p^{n\nu+1}U) \geq 0.$$

It is clear that (ii) implies (iii). If (iii) holds, then the constant sequence  $(\dim((p^n U)/(p^{n+1}U)))_{n \geq n\nu}$  has constant value 0 and therefore  $\chi_p(U) = 0$ , i.e., (i) holds. If (i) holds, then the endomorphism  $p : p^{n\nu}U \rightarrow p^{n\nu}U$  has zero dimensional cokernel and it is easy to see that this implies that the identity component of  $p^{n\nu}U$  is trivial. Thus (ii) holds. We conclude that the statements (i) to (iii) are equivalent.  $\square$

## 2.4 Prounipotent groups associated to $W(k)$ -modules

Each finitely generated  $W(k)$ -module  $M$  has the structure of a commutative prounipotent group  $\underline{M}$  with  $\underline{M}/p\underline{M}$  finite dimensional and with

$$\chi_p(\underline{M}) = \dim_{B(k)}(M[\frac{1}{p}]).$$

If  $\chi_p(\underline{M}) = 0$  (i.e., if  $M$  has finite length), then  $\underline{M}$  is a commutative quasi-algebraic group over  $k$  which represents the functor that takes the perfection  $A$  of a finitely generated commutative  $k$ -algebra into the group  $W(A) \otimes_{W(k)} M$  and whose dimension is  $\text{length}_{W(k)}(M)$ .

If  $X$  is a finite dimensional  $B(k)$ -vector space, then we view  $X$  as an inductive limit  $\underline{X}$  of commutative proalgebraic groups  $\underline{L}$  given by lattices  $L$  of  $X$  (i.e., given by free  $W(k)$ -submodules  $L$  of  $X$  of rank equal to  $\dim_{B(k)}(X)$ ).

**Definition 1** We say that a subgroup  $U$  of  $\underline{X}$  is admissible if and only if there exist lattices  $L_1$  and  $L_2$  of  $X$  such that  $U$  is a proalgebraic subgroup of  $\underline{L_1}$  that contains  $\underline{L_2}$  (thus  $\underline{L_2} \subset U \subset \underline{L_1}$ ).

If  $U_1$  and  $U_2$  are admissible subgroups of  $\underline{X}$ , we can define the index

$$\chi(U_1, U_2) = \dim(U_1/U_3) - \dim(U_2/U_3) \in \mathbb{Z}$$

for each admissible subgroup  $U_3$  of  $\underline{X}$  contained in both  $U_1$  and  $U_2$ ; this generalizes the definition  $\chi(L_1/L_2)$  for lattices  $L_1$  and  $L_2$  of  $X$  which was introduced in [S2] and which equals  $\chi(\underline{L}_1, \underline{L}_2)$ . Note that  $\chi(U_1, U_2) = -\chi(U_2, U_1)$ . For four lattices  $L_1, L_2, L_3$ , and  $L_4$  of  $X$  we also have the following interchanging identity

$$\chi(\underline{L}_1, \underline{L}_2) - \chi(\underline{L}_3, \underline{L}_4) = \chi(\underline{L}_1, \underline{L}_3) - \chi(\underline{L}_2, \underline{L}_4). \quad (3)$$

**Example 1** *Let  $U$  be an admissible subgroup of  $\underline{X}$ , let  $L$  be a lattice of  $X$ , and let  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ . Then  $\chi(U, \underline{L}) = \chi(p^n U, p^n \underline{L})$  and  $\chi(U, p^n U) = n \dim_{B(k)}(X)$ . To check this last formula, we note that as  $\chi(p^n \underline{L}, p^n U) = -\chi(U, \underline{L})$  and  $\chi(U, p^n U) = \chi(U, \underline{L}) + \chi(\underline{L}, p^n \underline{L}) + \chi(p^n \underline{L}, p^n U)$ , we compute directly that  $\chi(U, p^n U) = \chi(\underline{L}, p^n \underline{L}) = \dim(\underline{L}/p^n \underline{L}) = \text{length}_{W(k)}(L/p^n L) = n \dim_{B(k)}(X)$ .*

Let  $T : X \rightarrow X'$  be a homomorphism between the underlying abelian groups of two finite dimensional  $B(k)$ -vector spaces. We say that  $T$  is proalgebraic if and only if it comes from a proalgebraic homomorphism between lattices  $T_0 : \underline{L} \rightarrow \underline{L}'$ , i.e., we have

$$T = X \rightarrow X' = T_0(k) \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} \mathbb{Z}\left[\frac{1}{p}\right] : L \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} \mathbb{Z}\left[\frac{1}{p}\right] \rightarrow L' \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} \mathbb{Z}\left[\frac{1}{p}\right].$$

If  $T$  is proalgebraic, we get an inductive homomorphism

$$\underline{T} : \underline{X} \rightarrow \underline{X}' = T_0 \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} \mathbb{Z}\left[\frac{1}{p}\right] : \underline{L} \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} \mathbb{Z}\left[\frac{1}{p}\right] \rightarrow \underline{L}' \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} \mathbb{Z}\left[\frac{1}{p}\right]$$

which will be also called proalgebraic.

If  $T$  is proalgebraic, we consider for each  $n \in \mathbb{N}^*$  the kernel of  $(T_0 \bmod p^n) : \underline{L}/p^n \underline{L} \rightarrow \underline{L}'/p^n \underline{L}'$ . The images in  $\underline{L}/p^n \underline{L}$  of such kernels form a decreasing sequence of quasi-algebraic subgroups, and thus they become constant for  $n \geq n_1$  for some  $n_1 \in \mathbb{N}^*$ , with constant value equal to the image in  $\underline{L}/p^n \underline{L}$  of  $\text{Ker}(T_0)$  (we recall that filtered inverse limits are exact in our abelian category  $\mathcal{P}$  of commutative proalgebraic groups, cf. [S1], Subsection 2.3). Then  $p^{n_1-1} \text{Coker}(T_0)$  is torsion free. Based on this, it is easy to see that the following three statements are equivalent:

- (i) the homomorphism  $T$  is surjective;
- (ii) the cokernel  $\text{Coker}(T_0)$  is killed by a power of  $p$ ;
- (iii) the cokernel  $\text{Coker}(T_0)$  is finite dimensional.

**Definition 2** *Let  $T : X \rightarrow X'$  be a proalgebraic homomorphism between the underlying groups of two finite dimensional  $B(k)$ -vector spaces. We say that  $T : X \rightarrow X'$  (or  $\underline{T} : \underline{X} \rightarrow \underline{X}'$ ) is admissible if and only if it comes from a proalgebraic homomorphism between lattices  $T_0 : \underline{L} \rightarrow \underline{L}'$  whose kernel  $\text{Ker}(T_0)$  and cokernel  $\text{Coker}(T_0)$  are finite dimensional (this is independent of the choice of lattices  $L$  and  $L'$ ).*

We note that the finite dimensionality of  $\text{Ker}(T_0)$  means that  $\text{Ker}(T_0)$  is a free finitely generated  $\mathbb{Z}_p$ -module. Moreover, if  $T$  is admissible, then  $T$  is surjective.

The additivity of the function  $\chi_p$  gives that

$$\chi_p(\text{Ker}(T_0)) - \chi_p(\text{Coker}(T_0)) = \chi_p(\underline{L}) - \chi_p(\underline{L}') = \dim_{B(k)}(X) - \dim_{B(k)}(X').$$

Thus if the  $B(k)$ -vector spaces  $X$  and  $X'$  have the same dimension, then  $\chi_p(\text{Ker}(T_0)) = \chi_p(\text{Coker}(T_0))$  and from Fact 2 we get that the finite dimensionality of  $\text{Ker}(T_0)$  is equivalent to the finite dimensionality of  $\text{Coker}(T_0)$ .

**Example 2** *If  $i$  and  $j$  are distinct integers,  $f : X \rightarrow X'$  is a  $\sigma^i$ -linear map and  $g : X \rightarrow X'$  is a  $\sigma^j$ -linear map, then using the Dieudonné–Manin classification of  $\sigma^a$ - $F$ -isocrystals over  $k$  with  $a \in \{i - j, j - i\} \subset \mathbb{Z} \setminus \{0\}$  we easily get that if either  $f$  or  $g$  is invertible (thus  $X$  and  $X'$  have the same dimension), then for each lattice  $L$  of  $X$  the image  $(\underline{f + g})(\underline{L})$  is an admissible subgroup of  $\underline{X}'$  and therefore  $\underline{f + g}$  is admissible.*

**Lemma 1** *Let  $\underline{T} : \underline{X} \rightarrow \underline{X}'$  be admissible. Let  $U_1$  and  $U_2$  (resp.  $U'_1$  and  $U'_2$ ) be admissible subgroups of  $\underline{X}$  (resp. of  $\underline{X}'$ ). Then the following three properties hold:*

(a) *we have  $\chi(U'_2, \underline{T}(U_2)) - \chi(U'_1, \underline{T}(U_1)) = \chi(U'_2, U'_1) - \chi(U_2, U_1)$  and therefore if  $\chi(U'_2, U'_1) = \chi(U_2, U_1)$ , then  $\chi(U'_2, \underline{T}(U_2)) = \chi(U'_1, \underline{T}(U_1))$ ;*

(b) *we have  $\dim_{B(k)}(X) = \dim_{B(k)}(X')$ ;*

(c) *if  $\underline{T}(U) \subset U'$ , then for large  $n$  the kernel of the induced map  $U/p^n U \rightarrow U'/p^n U'$  has dimension equal to  $\dim(U'/\underline{T}(U))$ .*

**Proof:** As  $\underline{T}$  is admissible, there exists an admissible subgroup  $U_3$  of  $\underline{X}$  contained in both  $U_1$  and  $U_2$  and such that  $\underline{T}(U_3)$  is an admissible subgroup of  $\underline{X}'$  contained in both  $U_1'$  and  $U_2'$ . Then  $\chi(U_2', \underline{T}(U_2)) - \chi(U_1', \underline{T}(U_1)) = \dim(U_2'/\underline{T}(U_3)) - \dim(\underline{T}(U_2)/\underline{T}(U_3)) - \dim(U_1'/\underline{T}(U_3)) + \dim(\underline{T}(U_1)/\underline{T}(U_3))$  is equal to the difference between  $\dim(U_2'/\underline{T}(U_3)) - \dim(U_1'/\underline{T}(U_3))$  and  $\dim(\underline{T}(U_2)/\underline{T}(U_3)) - \dim(\underline{T}(U_1)/\underline{T}(U_3))$  and thus to the difference  $\chi(U_2', U_1') - \chi(\underline{T}(U_2), \underline{T}(U_1))$ . But as  $\underline{T}$  is admissible, it is surjective and  $\text{Ker}(T_0)$  is a free finitely generated  $\mathbb{Z}_p$ -module and these two properties imply that we have

$$\chi(\underline{T}(U_2), \underline{T}(U_1)) = \chi(U_2, U_1) \quad (4)$$

and thus that (a) holds.

By taking  $U_1 = pU_2$  in the Equation (4), from Example 1 applied with  $n = 1$  we get that (b) holds.

To check (c), let  $n_2 \in \mathbb{N}^*$  be such that  $p^{n_2}$  annihilates  $U'/\underline{T}(U)$ . Thus for  $n \geq n_2$  we have  $p^n U' \subset \underline{T}(U)$  as well as two short exact sequences

$$0 \rightarrow \text{Ker}(U/p^n U \rightarrow U'/p^n U') \rightarrow U/p^n U \rightarrow \underline{T}(U)/p^n U' \rightarrow 0$$

and  $0 \rightarrow \underline{T}(U)/p^n U' \rightarrow U'/p^n U' \rightarrow U'/\underline{T}(U) \rightarrow 0$ . This implies that the dimension of  $\text{Ker}(U/p^n U \rightarrow U'/p^n U')$  is equal to the expression  $\dim(U'/\underline{T}(U)) + \dim(U/p^n U) - \dim(U'/p^n U')$ . Based on (b) and Example 1, this expression is equal to  $\dim(U'/\underline{T}(U))$  and thus part (c) holds as well.  $\square$

### 3 Proof of Theorem 1

To prove Theorem 1 we can assume that  $k$  is algebraically closed.

#### 3.1 The isogeny invariance of $s_{D,E}$

Let  $L$  and  $J$  be the (contravariant) Dieudonné modules of  $D$  and  $E$ . We recall that the dual  $E^t$  of  $E$  has Dieudonné module  $J^\vee = \text{Hom}_{W(k)}(J, W(k))$  with  $F$  and  $V$  acting on  $h \in J^\vee$  via the rules:  $Fh(x) = \sigma(h(Vx))$  and  $Vh(x) = \sigma^{-1}(h(Fx))$  for all  $x \in J$ .

Let  $\text{Hom}_{W(k)}(J, L)^b$  be the sublattice of  $\text{Hom}_{W(k)}(J, L)$  formed by  $W(k)$ -linear maps that send  $VJ$  to  $VL$ . We note that

$$\text{Hom}_{W(k)}(J, L)^b = \text{Hom}_{W(k)}(J, L) \cap \text{Hom}_{W(k)}(VJ, VL)$$

is the largest sublattice of  $\text{Hom}_{W(k)}(J, L)$  for which we have a homomorphism of prounipotent groups

$$\underline{\Psi}_{J,L} : \underline{\text{Hom}_{W(k)}(J, L)}^{\flat} \rightarrow \underline{\text{Hom}_{W(k)}(J, L)} \quad (5)$$

defined by the abstract homomorphism

$$\Psi_{J,L} : \text{Hom}_{W(k)}(J, L)^{\flat} \rightarrow \text{Hom}_{W(k)}(J, L)$$

that maps  $h \in \text{Hom}_{W(k)}(J, L)^{\flat}$  to  $h - \frac{1}{p}FhV$ . It induces an admissible proalgebraic endomorphism  $\underline{\Psi} : \underline{X} \rightarrow \underline{X}$  in the sense of Section 2, where

$$X = \text{Hom}_{B(k)}(J[\frac{1}{p}], L[\frac{1}{p}]) = \text{Hom}_{W(k)}(J, L)^{\flat}[\frac{1}{p}] = \text{Hom}_{W(k)}(J, L)[\frac{1}{p}]$$

is a  $B(k)$ -vector space of finite dimension.

The kernel of  $\underline{\Psi}_{J,L}$  is the quasi-algebraic group  $\underline{\text{Hom}}_{\mathbb{E}}(J, L) = \underline{\text{Hom}}(D, E)$  of Dieudonné module homomorphisms. We check that the kernel of the reduction of  $\underline{\Psi}_{J,L}$  modulo  $p^n$  is the quasi-algebraic group  $\underline{\text{Hom}}(D[p^n], E[p^n])$  of the abstract group

$$\text{Hom}_{\mathbb{E}_n}(J/p^n J, L/p^n L) = \text{Hom}(D[p^n], E[p^n]) = \mathbf{Hom}(D[p^n], E[p^n])(k)$$

of homomorphisms between Dieudonné modules modulo  $p^n$ . The crystalline Dieudonné theory provides a natural evaluation homomorphism  $f$  from  $\underline{\text{Hom}}(D[p^n], E[p^n])$  to the kernel of the reduction of  $\underline{\Psi}_{J,L}$  modulo  $p^n$  (note that  $f$  is a morphism of the abelian category  $\mathcal{Q}$ ). From [LNV], Lemma 8.7 we get that the abstract homomorphism  $f(k)$  is an isomorphism and therefore from Fact 1 we get that  $f$  itself is an isomorphism.

Let  $D'$  and  $E'$  be Barsotti–Tate groups over  $k$  isogenous to  $D$  and  $E$  (respectively). Let  $L'$  and  $J'$  be the (contravariant) Dieudonné modules of  $D'$  and  $E'$  (respectively). We have identifications  $L[\frac{1}{p}] = L'[\frac{1}{p}]$ ,  $J[\frac{1}{p}] = J'[\frac{1}{p}]$ , and  $X = \text{Hom}_{W(k)}(J', L')[\frac{1}{p}] = \text{Hom}_{W(k)}(J', L')^{\flat}[\frac{1}{p}]$ . Moreover,  $\underline{\Psi} : \underline{X} \rightarrow \underline{X}$  is also induced by a homomorphism of prounipotent groups

$$\underline{\Psi}_{J',L'} : \underline{\text{Hom}_{W(k)}(J', L')}^{\flat} \rightarrow \underline{\text{Hom}_{W(k)}(J', L')}$$

defined by the same rule as  $\underline{\Psi}_{J,L}$ .

Lemma 1 (c) gives information on  $\dim(\mathbf{Hom}(D[p^n], E[p^n]))$  for large  $n$  and thus for all  $n \geq n_{D,E}$  we have

$$s_{D,E} = \dim(\underline{\text{Hom}_{W(k)}(J, L)} / \underline{\Psi}_{J,L}(\underline{\text{Hom}_{W(k)}(J, L)}^{\flat}))$$

and thus also

$$s_{D,E} = \chi(\underline{\mathrm{Hom}_{W(k)}(J, L)}, \underline{\Psi_{J,L}(\mathrm{Hom}_{W(k)}(J, L)^b)}). \quad (6)$$

The dimension of the  $k$ -vector space  $\mathrm{Hom}_{W(k)}(J, L)/\mathrm{Hom}_{W(k)}(J, L)^b$  is the product of the dimension of  $E$  and of the codimension of  $D$  and thus it is equal to the dimension of the  $k$ -vector space  $\mathrm{Hom}_{W(k)}(J', L')/\mathrm{Hom}_{W(k)}(J', L')^b$ . From this equality and the Equation (3) applied with  $(L_1, L_2, L_3, L_4) = (\underline{\mathrm{Hom}_{W(k)}(J', L')}, \underline{\mathrm{Hom}_{W(k)}(J, L)}, \underline{\mathrm{Hom}_{W(k)}(J', L')^b}, \underline{\mathrm{Hom}_{W(k)}(J, L)^b})$  we get that

$$\chi(\underline{\mathrm{Hom}_{W(k)}(J', L')}, \underline{\mathrm{Hom}_{W(k)}(J, L)}) = \chi(\underline{\mathrm{Hom}_{W(k)}(J', L')^b}, \underline{\mathrm{Hom}_{W(k)}(J, L)^b}). \quad (7)$$

From Formula (7) and Lemma 1 (a) applied with  $(\underline{T}, U_1, U_2, U'_1, U'_2) = (\underline{\Psi}, \underline{\mathrm{Hom}_{W(k)}(J, L)^b}, \underline{\mathrm{Hom}_{W(k)}(J', L')^b}, \underline{\mathrm{Hom}_{W(k)}(J, L)}, \underline{\mathrm{Hom}_{W(k)}(J', L')})$  we get directly that  $\chi(\underline{\mathrm{Hom}_{W(k)}(J', L')}, \underline{\Psi_{J',L'}(\mathrm{Hom}_{W(k)}(J', L')^b)})$  is equal to  $\chi(\underline{\mathrm{Hom}_{W(k)}(J, L)}, \underline{\Psi_{J,L}(\mathrm{Hom}_{W(k)}(J, L)^b)})$ . From this and Formula (6) and its analogue with  $(J, L)$  replaced by  $(J', L')$  we get that  $s_{D,E} = s_{D',E'}$  is an isogeny invariant.

### 3.2 The symmetry of $s_{D,E}$

In this subsection we will prove that  $s_{D,E} = s_{E,D}$  using Serre duality for unipotent connected commutative quasi-algebraic groups (see [S1], [B], and [BD], Section 3). We recall that Serre duality is an involutory antiequivalence of the category of unipotent connected commutative quasi-algebraic groups which preserves dimensions and short exact sequences and thus also finite direct sums (for instance, see [B], Proposition 1.2.1).

We also recall that for a finitely generated  $W_m(k)$ -module  $M$  and its dual  $M^\vee = \mathrm{Hom}_{W_m(k)}(M, W_m(k))$ , the Serre dual of  $\underline{M}$  is  $\underline{M}^\vee$  in a functorial way with respect to all  $\sigma^a$ -linear maps with  $a \in \mathbb{Z}$  (cf. [S1], Subsection 8.4, Proposition 4 and Lemma 2).

**Lemma 2** *Let  $f : U_1 \rightarrow U_2$  be a homomorphism between unipotent connected commutative quasi-algebraic groups of the same finite dimension. Then the dimension of the kernel of  $f$  is equal to the dimension of the kernel of the Serre dual  $f^* : U_2^* \rightarrow U_1^*$  of  $f$ . In particular,  $f$  is an isogeny if and only if  $f^*$  is an isogeny.*

**Proof:** We have short exact sequences  $0 \rightarrow \text{Ker}(f)^0 \rightarrow U_1 \rightarrow U_1/\text{Ker}(f)^0 \rightarrow 0$  and  $0 \rightarrow \text{Im}(f) \rightarrow U_2 \rightarrow U_2/\text{Im}(f) \rightarrow 0$  as well as a natural isogeny  $U_1/\text{Ker}(f)^0 \rightarrow \text{Im}(f)$ . As  $U_1$  and  $U_2$  have the same dimension, we get that  $\text{Ker}(f)^0$  and  $U_2/\text{Im}(f)$  have the same dimensions. As Serre duality preserves short exact sequences,  $(U_2/\text{Im}(f))^*$  is a subgroup of  $U_2^*$  contained in  $\text{Ker}(f^*)$ . As Serre duality preserves dimensions, we get that

$$\dim(\text{Ker}(f^*)) \geq \dim((U_2/\text{Im}(f))^*) = \dim(U_2/\text{Im}(f)) = \dim(\text{Ker}(f)^0).$$

Thus  $\dim(\text{Ker}(f^*)) \geq \dim(\text{Ker}(f))$ . As the Serre duality is involutory, we have  $f = (f^*)^*$  and therefore by replacing  $f$  with  $f^*$  in the last inequality we get that  $\dim(\text{Ker}(f)) \geq \dim(\text{Ker}(f^*))$ . Thus  $\dim(\text{Ker}(f)) = \dim(\text{Ker}(f^*))$ .  $\square$

We consider the lattice

$$\text{Hom}_{W(k)}(J, L)^\sharp = \text{Hom}_{W(k)}(J, L) + \text{Hom}_{W(k)}(FJ, FL)$$

of the  $B(k)$ -vector space  $X$ . For an element  $h \in \text{Hom}_{W(k)}(J, L)$  we have  $\frac{1}{p}FhV \in \text{Hom}_{W(k)}(FJ, FL)$ . Thus the admissible proalgebraic homomorphism of  $B(k)$ -vector spaces  $\Psi : X \rightarrow X$  that maps  $h$  to  $h - \frac{1}{p}FhV$  induces a homomorphism

$$\underline{\Psi}_{J,L,+} : \underline{\text{Hom}_{W(k)}(J, L)} \rightarrow \underline{\text{Hom}_{W(k)}(J, L)^\sharp} \quad (8)$$

of pronipotent groups.

The kernel of  $\underline{\Psi}_{J,L,+}$  is the quasi-algebraic group  $\underline{\text{Hom}_{\mathbb{E}}(J, L)} = \underline{\text{Hom}(D, E)}$  of Dieudonné module homomorphisms and thus is equal to the kernel of  $\underline{\Psi}_{J,L}$ .

**Fact 3** *The following two inclusions  $\underline{\text{Hom}_{W(k)}(J, L)^b} \subset \underline{\text{Hom}_{W(k)}(J, L)}$  and  $\underline{\text{Hom}_{W(k)}(J, L)} \subset \underline{\text{Hom}_{W(k)}(J, L)^\sharp}$  induce a quasi-isomorphism from (5) to (8) viewed as complexes of pronipotent groups and thus they also induce a quasi-isomorphism between the complexes (5) and (8) modulo  $p^m$  viewed as complexes of unipotent connected commutative quasi-algebraic groups. In particular, the kernels of the reductions modulo  $p^m$  of  $\underline{\Phi}_{J,L}$  and  $\underline{\Psi}_{J,L,+}$  have the same dimension.*

**Proof:** The fact is equivalent to the following two identities

$$\text{Im}(\underline{\Psi}_{J,L}) = \text{Hom}_{W(k)}(J, L) \cap \text{Im}(\underline{\Psi}_{J,L,+})$$

and

$$\mathrm{Hom}_{W(k)}(J, L) + \mathrm{Im}(\Psi_{J,L,+}) = \mathrm{Hom}_{W(k)}(J, L)^\sharp.$$

The inclusions “ $\subseteq$ ” are obvious. We now check the reversed inclusions “ $\supseteq$ ”.

Let  $g \in \mathrm{Hom}_{W(k)}(J, L) \cap \mathrm{Im}(\Psi_{J,L,+})$  and let  $h \in \mathrm{Hom}_{W(k)}(J, L)$  be such that  $g = \Psi_{J,L,+}(h) = h - \frac{1}{p}FhV$ . Then  $\frac{1}{p}FhV = g - h \in \mathrm{Hom}_{W(k)}(J, L)$  and therefore we have  $h \in \mathrm{Hom}_{W(k)}(J, L)^\flat$ . Thus  $g = \Psi_{J,L}(h) \in \mathrm{Im}(\Psi_{J,L})$ .

Let  $v \in \mathrm{Hom}_{W(k)}(J, L)^\sharp$ . Let  $(g, l) \in \mathrm{Hom}_{W(k)}(J, L) \times \mathrm{Hom}_{W(k)}(FJ, FL)$  be such that  $v = g + l$ . Then we have  $l = \frac{1}{p}FuV$  for some  $u \in \mathrm{Hom}_{W(k)}(J, L)$  and therefore  $l - u = v - (g + u)$  belongs to the image of  $\Psi_{J,L,+}$ . Thus  $v = (g + u) + (l - u) \in \mathrm{Hom}_{W(k)}(J, L) + \mathrm{Im}(\Psi_{J,L,+})$ .

The reversed inclusions “ $\supseteq$ ” follow from the last two paragraphs.  $\square$

We are now ready to complete the proof of Theorem 1. The Serre dual of (8) modulo  $p^m$  is isomorphic to the reduction modulo  $p^m$  of

$$\underline{\Psi}_{L,J} : \underline{\mathrm{Hom}}_{W(k)}(L, J)^\flat \rightarrow \underline{\mathrm{Hom}}_{W(k)}(L, J) \quad (9)$$

(cf. the paragraph before Lemma 2); here (9) is the analogue of (5) but with the roles of  $J$  and  $L$  interchanged. Based on this and Lemma 2, we get that  $\mathbf{Hom}(E[p^m], D[p^m])_{\mathrm{red}}$  (i.e., the reduced algebraic group whose perfection is the kernel of  $\underline{\Psi}_{L,J}$  modulo  $p^m$ ) has the same dimension as the kernel of the reduction modulo  $p^m$  of  $\Psi_{J,L,+}$ . From this and Fact 3 we get that  $\mathbf{Hom}(E[p^m], D[p^m])_{\mathrm{red}}$  has the same dimension as  $\mathbf{Hom}(D[p^m], E[p^m])_{\mathrm{red}}$  (i.e., as the reduced algebraic group whose perfection is the kernel of  $\Psi_{J,L}$  modulo  $p^m$ ). As this holds for all  $m \in \mathbb{N}^*$ , we get that  $n_{D,E} = n_{E,D}$  and that  $s_{D,E} = s_{E,D}$ . This ends the proof of Theorem 1.  $\square$

## 4 Proof of Theorem 2

To prove Theorem 2 we will use homological properties of left  $\mathbb{E}_m$ -modules and some sort of a noncommutative duality over the Cartier–Dieudonné ring  $\mathbb{E}_m$  which is analogous to the fact that for an affine connected smooth curve  $\mathrm{Spec} A$  over  $k$  with field of rational functions  $K$ , the  $A$ -module  $K/A$  maps isomorphically to the  $A$ -torsion submodule in the  $k$ -dual of the space of one forms on  $\mathrm{Spec} A$  via the functional “residue at infinity.”

Let  $m \in \mathbb{N}^*$ . The left  $\mathbb{E}_m$ -modules of finite length are those that are finitely generated over  $W_m(k)$ .

**Proposition 2 (a)** *A left  $\mathbb{E}_m$ -module  $M$  of finite length corresponds to a truncated Barsotti–Tate group  $G$  of level  $m$  over  $k$  if and only if  $M$  is of finite tor dimension.*

**(b)** *If a left  $\mathbb{E}_m$ -module  $M$  of finite length corresponds to a truncated Barsotti–Tate group  $G$  of level  $m$  over  $k$  of height  $r$ , then  $M$  has a free resolution*

$$0 \rightarrow \mathbb{E}_m^r \rightarrow \mathbb{E}_m^r \rightarrow M \rightarrow 0.$$

**Proof:** We first prove (b). Let  $D$  be a Barsotti–Tate group over  $k$  such that  $G = D[p^m]$ ; its height is  $r$  and we denote its dimension by  $d$ . Let  $L$  be the left  $\mathbb{E}$ -module which is the Dieudonné module of  $D$ . To prove (b) it suffices to show that we have a free resolution

$$0 \rightarrow \mathbb{E}^r \rightarrow \mathbb{E}^r \rightarrow L \rightarrow 0$$

in which the  $\mathbb{E}$ -linear map  $\mathbb{E}^r \rightarrow L$  maps a (any)  $\mathbb{E}$ -basis of  $\mathbb{E}^r$  into a  $W(k)$ -basis of  $M$ .

We consider epimorphisms  $\kappa : \mathbb{E}^r \rightarrow L$  which map a  $\mathbb{E}$ -basis of  $\mathbb{E}^r$  into a  $W(k)$ -basis of  $M$ . It suffices to show that the kernel  $\text{Ker}(\kappa)$  of one of them (and thus of each one of them) is a free left  $\mathbb{E}$ -module of rank  $r$ . Given a finite Galois extension  $k'$  of  $k$ , it is easy to see that the left  $\mathbb{E}$ -module  $\text{Ker}(\kappa)$  is free of rank  $r$  if and only if  $\mathbb{E}(k') \otimes_{\mathbb{E}} \text{Ker}(\kappa)$  is a free left  $\mathbb{E}(k')$ -module of rank  $r$  (cf. the notations of Section 2). We conclude that we can replace  $k$  by  $k'$  (i.e., we can perform a pullback of  $G$  to  $k'$ ).

By replacing  $k$  with a finite Galois extension  $k'$  of it, we can assume that there exists a  $W(k)$ -basis  $\{e_1, \dots, e_r\}$  of  $M$  for which there exist an element  $g \in \text{Ker}(\mathbf{GL}_L(W(k)) \rightarrow \mathbf{GL}_L(k))$  and a permutation  $\pi$  of the set  $\Delta = \{1, 2, \dots, r\}$  such that for each element  $i \in \Delta$  we have  $Fe_i = p^{\varepsilon_i} g(e_{\pi(i)})$ , where  $\varepsilon_i \in \{0, 1\}$  is 1 if and only if  $i \leq d$ . The existence of such a  $W(k)$ -basis of  $L$  after the mentioned replacement of  $k$  follows from the classification of Barsotti–Tate groups of level 1 over  $\bar{k}$  obtained by Kraft and Ekedahl–Oort, to be compared with [V2], Subsection 2.3.

We consider two extra  $W(k)$ -bases  $\{a_1, \dots, a_r\}$  and  $\{b_1, \dots, b_r\}$  of  $L$  that have the following three properties:

- (i) For  $i \in \{1, \dots, d\}$  we have  $a_i = Vb_{\pi(i)}$ .
- (ii) For  $i \in \{d+1, \dots, r\}$  we have  $Fa_i = b_{\pi(i)}$ .
- (iii) For each  $i \in \Delta$ ,  $a_i$ ,  $b_i$ , and  $e_i$  are congruent modulo  $p$ .

Let  $h = (h_{i,j})_{i,j \in \Delta} \in \mathbf{GL}_r(W(k))$  be the invertible matrix such that for each element  $i \in \Delta$  we have an equality  $a_i = \sum_{j \in \Delta} h_{ij} b_j$ . Due to the property (iii) and the fact that  $g \in \text{Ker}(\mathbf{GL}_L(W(k)) \rightarrow \mathbf{GL}_L(k))$ , we get that  $h$  modulo  $p$  is the identity  $r \times r$  matrix with coefficients in  $k$ .

The left  $\mathbb{E}$ -module

$$P_0 = (\oplus_{i \in \Delta} \mathbb{E}a'_i \oplus_{i \in \Delta} \mathbb{E}b'_i) / (a'_i - \sum_{j \in \Delta} h_{ij} b'_j | i \in \Delta)$$

is isomorphic to  $\mathbb{E}^r$ . If  $[a'_i]$  and  $[b'_i]$  are the images of  $a'_i$  and  $b'_i$  in  $P_0$ , then the associations  $[a'_i] \rightarrow a_i$  and  $[b'_i] \rightarrow b_i$  define an  $\mathbb{E}$ -linear surjection  $\theta : P_0 \rightarrow L$  which maps an  $\mathbb{E}$ -basis of  $P_0$  into a  $W(k)$ -basis of  $L$  (thus, up to an identification of  $P_0$  with  $\mathbb{E}^r$ , the search for  $\kappa$  will turn out to be  $\theta$ ).

Let  $P_1 = \mathbb{E}^r = \oplus_{i \in \Delta} \mathbb{E}c_i$  and let  $\eta : P_1 \rightarrow P_0$  be the  $\mathbb{E}$ -linear map that maps  $c_i$  to  $[a'_i] - V[b'_{\pi(i)}]$  if  $i \in \{1, \dots, d\}$  and to  $F[a'_i] - [b'_{\pi(i)}]$  if  $i \in \{d+1, \dots, r\}$ . The kernel of  $\theta$  contains the image of  $\eta$  and therefore we get a complex

$$P_1 \xrightarrow{\eta} P_0 \xrightarrow{\theta} L \rightarrow 0.$$

To end the proof of (b), it suffices to show that in fact we have a short exact sequence

$$0 \rightarrow P_1 \xrightarrow{\eta} P_0 \xrightarrow{\theta} L \rightarrow 0.$$

Due to the very constructions, the left  $\mathbb{E}$ -module  $P_0/\eta(P_1)$  is the same as the  $W(k)$ -submodule  $L'$  of  $P_0/\eta(P_1)$  generated by  $[a'_i] + \eta(P_1)$ 's with  $i \in \Delta$ . As the  $W(k)$ -linear map  $L' = P_0/\eta(P_1) \rightarrow L$  is a surjective map from a  $W(k)$ -module generated by  $r$  elements onto a free  $W(k)$ -module of rank  $r$ , it is an isomorphism and therefore the complex  $P_1 \rightarrow P_0 \rightarrow L \rightarrow 0$  is exact at  $P_0$ . We are left to show that  $\eta$  is injective. It suffices to show that the reduction  $\eta_1 : P_1/pP_1 \rightarrow P_0/pP_0$  of  $\eta$  modulo  $p$  is injective. But as  $h$  is congruent modulo  $p$  to the identity matrix, we have canonical identifications  $P_0/pP_0 = \mathbb{E}_1^r = \oplus_{j \in \Delta} \mathbb{E}_1 \bar{a}'_j$ , where  $\bar{a}'_i = [a'_i] + pP_0$ . To show that  $\eta_1$  is injective, it suffices to show that the assumption that there exists a linear dependence relation with coefficients  $\alpha_i$  in  $\mathbb{E}_1$  of the form

$$\sum_{i=1}^d \alpha_i (\bar{a}'_i - V \bar{a}'_{\pi(i)}) + \sum_{i=d+1}^r \alpha_i (F \bar{a}'_i - \bar{a}'_{\pi(i)}) = 0 \quad (10)$$

leads to a contradiction.

We have a canonical identification  $\mathbb{E}_1 = \bigoplus_{i \in \mathbb{N}} kF^i \oplus_{i \in \mathbb{N}^*} kV^i$  of  $k$ -vector spaces. Suppose there exists  $s \in \mathbb{N}$  such that for some  $i \in \{d+1, \dots, r\}$  the coefficient  $c_{i, F^s}$  of  $F^s$  in  $\alpha_i$  is a nonzero element of  $k$ . But in such a case, it is easy to see that the coefficient of  $F^{s+1} \bar{a}'_i$  in the left hand side of the Equation (10) is a nonzero element of  $k$ , contradiction. Thus  $\alpha_{d+1}, \dots, \alpha_r \in \bigoplus_{i \in \mathbb{N}^*} kV^i$  and a similar argument shows that  $\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_d \in \bigoplus_{i \in \mathbb{N}^*} kF^i$ . From this and the Equation (10) we easily get that in fact we have  $\alpha_i = 0$  for all  $i \in \Delta$ , contradiction. Thus  $\eta_1$  is injective and this ends the proof of (b).

The only if part of (a) follows from (b). We now proof the if part of (a). We assume that the left  $\mathbb{E}_m$ -module  $M$  of finite length has finite tor dimension. For  $u \in \{1, \dots, m\}$  we consider the following infinite free resolution

$$\dots \xrightarrow{p^u} \mathbb{E}_m \xrightarrow{p^{m-u}} \mathbb{E}_m \xrightarrow{p^u} \mathbb{E}_m/p^u \mathbb{E}_m \rightarrow 0$$

of the right  $\mathbb{E}_m$ -module  $\mathbb{E}_m/p^u \mathbb{E}_m$ . As  $M$  has finite tor dimension, we have  $\text{Tor}_n(\mathbb{E}_m/p^u \mathbb{E}_m, M) = 0$  for  $n \gg 0$  and thus by tensoring this free resolution with  $M$  we get that the complex

$$M \xrightarrow{p^{m-u}} M \xrightarrow{p^u} M$$

is exact. By taking  $u = 1$ , we get that each cyclic  $W(k)$ -module which is a direct summand of  $M$  is isomorphic to  $W_m(k)$ . Thus the finitely generated  $W_m(k)$ -module  $M$  is free.

As  $M$  has finite tor dimension as a  $\mathbb{E}_m$ -module and is free as a  $W_m(k)$ -module, the left  $\mathbb{E}_1$ -module  $M/pM$  has also finite tor dimension. Based on this, an argument similar to the one of the previous paragraph but using the free resolution

$$\dots \xrightarrow{F} \mathbb{E}_1 \xrightarrow{V} \mathbb{E}_1 \xrightarrow{F} \mathbb{E}_1/F\mathbb{E}_1 \rightarrow 0$$

of the right  $\mathbb{E}_1$ -module  $\mathbb{E}_1/F\mathbb{E}_1$ , shows that the complex

$$M/pM \xrightarrow{V} M/pM \xrightarrow{F} M/pM$$

is exact. Thus  $M/pM$  corresponds to a truncated Barsotti–Tate group of level 1 over  $k$ . From this and the previous paragraph we get that  $M$  corresponds to a truncated Barsotti–Tate group  $G$  of level  $m$  over  $k$ . Thus (a) holds as well.  $\square$

We have an involutory antiautomorphism  $\iota_m : \mathbb{E}_m \rightarrow \mathbb{E}_m$  that interchanges  $F$  and  $V$  and that fixes  $W_m(k)$ , and this allows us to transform right  $\mathbb{E}_m$ -modules into left  $\mathbb{E}_m$ -modules. If  $P$  is a left  $\mathbb{E}_m$ -module, let

$$P^\vee = \text{Hom}_{W_m(k)}(P, W_m(k))$$

be endowed with the left  $\mathbb{E}_m$ -module structure via the same rules as in the first paragraph of Section 3, and let

$$P^\# = \text{Hom}_{\mathbb{E}_m}(P, \mathbb{E}_m)$$

be endowed with the left  $\mathbb{E}_m$ -module structure given by the rule  $(af)(x) = f(x)\iota_m(a)$  where  $f \in P^\#$ ,  $a \in \mathbb{E}_m$ , and  $x \in P$ . Similarly, the right multiplications of  $\mathbb{E}_m$  by elements  $\iota_m(a)$  endow naturally  $\text{Ext}_{\mathbb{E}_m}^1(P, \mathbb{E}_m)$  with the structure of a left  $\mathbb{E}_m$ -module.

If  $P$  is a finitely generated left  $\mathbb{E}_m$ -module and  $M$  a left  $\mathbb{E}_m$ -module of finite length, then  $\text{Hom}_{\mathbb{E}_m}(P, M)$  has a natural structure of a commutative quasi-algebraic group  $\underline{\text{Hom}}_{\mathbb{E}_m}(P, M)$ . This can be checked easily by choosing generators of  $P$  and an expression of  $M$  as a direct sum of cyclic  $W_m(k)$ -modules and by checking directly the independence of the resulting commutative quasi-algebraic group structure  $\underline{\text{Hom}}_{\mathbb{E}_m}(P, M)$  of  $\text{Hom}_{\mathbb{E}_m}(P, M)$  from the choices made.

**Fact 4** *If  $M$  and  $N$  are both left  $\mathbb{E}_m$ -modules of finite length and thus the Dieudonné modules of commutative group schemes  $G$  and  $H$  (respectively), then this commutative quasi-algebraic group  $\underline{\text{Hom}}_{\mathbb{E}_m}(N, M)$  is isomorphic to the commutative quasi-algebraic group  $\underline{\text{Hom}}(G, H)$ .*

**Proof:** The crystalline Dieudonné theory provides a natural evaluation morphism  $f : \underline{\text{Hom}}(G, H) \rightarrow \underline{\text{Hom}}_{\mathbb{E}_m}(P, M)$  of the abelian category  $\mathcal{Q}$ . If  $\bar{k}$  is an algebraic closure of  $k$ , then from the classical (contravariant) Dieudonné theory we get that  $f(\bar{k})$  is an isomorphism. Thus the fact follows from Fact 1.  $\square$

**Fact 5** *Let  $P$  be a free left  $\mathbb{E}_m$ -module of finite rank and let  $M$  be a left  $\mathbb{E}_m$ -module of finite length. Then the unipotent connected commutative quasi-algebraic groups  $\underline{\text{Hom}}_{\mathbb{E}_m}(P, M)$  and  $\underline{\text{Hom}}_{\mathbb{E}_m}(P^\#, M^\vee)$  are naturally Serre dual.*

**Proof:** This follows from the fact that Serre duality commutes with finite direct sums and interchanges  $F$  and  $V$  in the same way as  $\iota_m$  does.  $\square$

Now Theorem 2 follows from the following proposition applied to the Dieudonné modules  $M$  and  $N$  of  $G$  and  $H$  (respectively) and from the Fact 4:

**Proposition 3** *Let  $M, N$  be two left  $\mathbb{E}_m$ -modules of finite length. We assume that  $M$  is the Dieudonné module of a truncated Barsotti–Tate group  $G$  of level  $m$  over  $k$ . Then  $\underline{\text{Hom}}_{\mathbb{E}_m}(M, N)$  has the same dimension as  $\underline{\text{Hom}}_{\mathbb{E}_m}(M^\vee, N^\vee)$  and thus also as  $\underline{\text{Hom}}_{\mathbb{E}_m}(N, M)$ .*

**Proof:** We consider a free resolution

$$0 \rightarrow P_1 \rightarrow P_0 \rightarrow M \rightarrow 0$$

with  $P_1$  and  $P_0$  left  $\mathbb{E}_m$ -modules isomorphic to  $\mathbb{E}_m^r$  and with  $r$  as the height of  $G$ , cf. Proposition 2 (b). Using Lemma 2 applied to the homomorphism

$$f : \underline{\text{Hom}}_{\mathbb{E}_m}(P_0, N) \rightarrow \underline{\text{Hom}}_{\mathbb{E}_m}(P_1, N)$$

and the Fact 5, the kernel  $\underline{\text{Hom}}_{\mathbb{E}_m}(M, N)$  of  $f$  has the same dimension as the kernel  $\underline{\text{Hom}}_{\mathbb{E}_m}(\text{Coker}(P_0^\# \rightarrow P_1^\#), N^\vee)$  of the Serre dual

$$f^* : \underline{\text{Hom}}_{\mathbb{E}_m}(P_1^\#, N^\vee) \rightarrow \underline{\text{Hom}}_{\mathbb{E}_m}(P_0^\#, N^\vee)$$

of  $f$ . Thus it suffices to show that  $\text{Coker}(P_0^\# \rightarrow P_1^\#) = \text{Ext}_{\mathbb{E}_m}^1(M, \mathbb{E}_m)$  is isomorphic to  $M^\vee$ . But this is a particular case of the following lemma.  $\square$

**Lemma 3** *If  $N$  is a left  $\mathbb{E}_m$ -module of finite length, then we have a natural isomorphism of left  $\mathbb{E}_m$ -modules from  $\text{Ext}_{\mathbb{E}_m}^1(N, \mathbb{E}_m)$  to  $N^\vee$ .*

Before proving this lemma, we will need some preliminary material on left  $\mathbb{E}_m$ -modules. Let  $\mathbb{S}_m$  be the multiplicative subset of regular elements of  $\mathbb{E}_m$ , i.e., of elements of  $\mathbb{E}_m$  with nonzero images in both  $k[F] = \mathbb{E}_m/(p, V)$  and  $k[V] = \mathbb{E}_m/(p, F)$ . Note that  $\mathbb{S}_m$  admits calculus of left and right fractions (i.e., the left and right Ore conditions are satisfied). In other words, for each  $s \in \mathbb{S}_m$  and  $x \in \mathbb{E}_m$ , the intersection sets  $\mathbb{S}_m x \cap \mathbb{E}_m s$  and  $x \mathbb{S}_m \cap s \mathbb{E}_m$  are nonempty. Let  $\mathbb{K}_m$  be the localization of  $\mathbb{E}_m$  with respect to  $\mathbb{S}_m$  and let  $\mathbb{E}_m \rightarrow \mathbb{K}_m$  be the natural inclusion of rings. The multiplicative set of powers of  $F + V$  also satisfies the left and right Ore conditions, and inverting  $F + V$  in  $\mathbb{E}_m$  we get the product of skew Laurent polynomial rings  $W_m(k)\{F, F^{-1}\} \times W_m(k)\{V, V^{-1}\}$ . This gives a product description of  $\mathbb{K}_m$ : it is flat over  $\mathbb{Z}/p^m\mathbb{Z}$  and modulo  $p$  it is the product  $k(F) \times k(V)$  of two (skew) division rings.

**Definition 3** *Let  $P$  be a left  $\mathbb{E}_m$ -module. By its finite part  $\text{Fin}(P)$  we mean the left  $\mathbb{E}_m$ -submodule*

$$\{x \in P \mid \mathbb{E}_m x \text{ is a finitely generated } W_m(k)\text{-module}\} = \text{Ker}(P \rightarrow \mathbb{K}_m \otimes_{\mathbb{E}_m} P).$$

We have the following elementary fact.

**Fact 6** *The short exact sequence  $0 \rightarrow \mathbb{E}_m \rightarrow \mathbb{K}_m \rightarrow \mathbb{K}_m/\mathbb{E}_m \rightarrow 0$  is an injective resolution of  $\mathbb{E}_m$  and therefore we have an identity  $\text{Ext}_{\mathbb{E}_m}^1(N, \mathbb{E}_m) = \text{Hom}_{\mathbb{E}_m}(N, \mathbb{K}_m/\mathbb{E}_m)$  of left  $\mathbb{E}_m$ -modules.*

**Proof:** Based on the Baer Criterion, it suffices to show that for each nonzero element  $a \in \mathbb{E}_m$ , every  $\mathbb{E}_m$ -linear map  $l$  from  $\mathbb{E}_m a$  to  $\mathbb{K}_m$  or to  $\mathbb{K}_m/\mathbb{E}_m$  extends to a  $\mathbb{E}_m$ -linear map  $l'$  from  $\mathbb{E}_m$  to  $\mathbb{K}_m$  or to  $\mathbb{K}_m/\mathbb{E}_m$  (respectively). Using induction on  $m \in \mathbb{N}^*$ , it suffices to check the existence of  $l'$  in the case when  $m = 1$ .

We first consider the case when the codomain of  $l$  is  $\mathbb{K}_1$ . By replacing  $a$  with a left multiple of it by an element of  $\mathbb{S}_1$ , we can assume that either  $a = 1$  or  $a = V$ . If  $a = 1$ , then  $l' = l$  exists. If  $a = V$ , then  $l(f) = (0, b) \in \mathbb{K}_1 = k(F) \times k(V)$  with  $b \in k(V)$  and therefore we can define  $l'$  via the rule  $l'(1) = (0, V^{-1}b) \in \mathbb{K}_1 = k(F) \times k(V)$ .

Next we consider the case when the codomain of  $l$  is  $\mathbb{K}_1/\mathbb{E}_1$ . If  $a \in \mathbb{S}_1$ , then  $\mathbb{E}_m a$  is a free  $\mathbb{E}_m$ -module and therefore  $l$  lifts to a  $\mathbb{E}_m$ -linear map  $f : \mathbb{E}_m a \rightarrow \mathbb{K}_1$ . If  $f' : \mathbb{E}_m \rightarrow \mathbb{K}_1$  is a  $\mathbb{E}_m$ -linear map which extends  $f$  (cf. previous paragraph), then we can take  $l'$  to be the composite of  $f'$  with the epimorphism  $\mathbb{K}_1 \rightarrow \mathbb{K}_1/\mathbb{E}_1$ . We now assume that  $a \notin \mathbb{S}_1$ . Thus either  $a = V^t c$  with  $c \in k\{V\} \setminus \{0\} \subset \mathbb{E}_1$  and  $t \in \mathbb{N}^*$  or  $a = F^t c$  with  $c \in k\{F\} \setminus \{0\} \subset \mathbb{E}_1$  and  $t \in \mathbb{N}^*$ . The two situations are similar and thus to fix the ideas we will assume that  $a = V^t c$ . We write  $l(a) = (0, b) + \mathbb{E}_1$  with  $b \in k(V)$ . Thus we can define  $l'$  via the rule  $l'(1) = (0, c^{-1}V^{-t}b) + \mathbb{E}_1 \in \mathbb{K}_1/\mathbb{E}_1$ .

Therefore  $l'$  always exists.  $\square$

We have “development at infinity” homomorphisms to skew Laurent series rings

$$e_{m,F} : \mathbb{K}_m \rightarrow W_m(k)((F^{-1}))$$

and

$$e_{m,V} : \mathbb{K}_m \rightarrow W_m(k)((V^{-1}))$$

obtained by mapping  $V$  to  $pF^{-1}$  and  $F$  to  $pV^{-1}$  (respectively).

Let  $\lambda_m : \mathbb{K}_m/\mathbb{E}_m \rightarrow W_m(k)$  be the  $W_m(k)$ -linear map

$$\lambda_m([f + \mathbb{E}_m]) = (\text{constant term of } e_{m,F}(f)) - (\text{constant term of } e_{m,V}(f)).$$

We view  $\mathbb{K}_m/\mathbb{E}_m$  as a  $(\mathbb{E}_m, W_m(k))$ -bimodule ( $\mathbb{E}_m$  on left,  $W_m(k)$  on right). Let  $\text{Hom}_{W_m(k)}(\mathbb{E}_m, W_m(k))$  be endowed with the structure of a  $(\mathbb{E}_m, W_m(k))$ -bimodule by the rule  $(ahb)(x) = h(xa)b$  with  $a \in \mathbb{E}_m$ ,  $b \in W_m(k)$ ,  $h \in$

$\text{Hom}_{W_m(k)}(\mathbb{E}_m, W_m(k))$ , and  $x \in \mathbb{E}_m$ . We define a map of  $(\mathbb{E}_m, W_m(k))$ -bimodules

$$\tau_m : \mathbb{K}_m/\mathbb{E}_m \rightarrow \text{Hom}_{W_m(k)}(\mathbb{E}_m, W_m(k))$$

by the rule  $\tau_m(h + \mathbb{E}_m)(x) = \lambda_m(xh + \mathbb{E}_m)$  with  $x, h \in \mathbb{E}_m$ .

**Lemma 4** *The map  $\tau_m$  of  $(\mathbb{E}_m, W_m(k))$ -bimodules is injective and its image is the finite part  $\text{Fin}(\text{Hom}_{W_m(k)}(\mathbb{E}_m, W_m(k)))$  of  $\text{Hom}_{W_m(k)}(\mathbb{E}_m, W_m(k))$  (viewed as a left  $\mathbb{E}_m$ -module).*

**Proof:** It suffices to show that for each  $f \in \mathbb{S}_m$ , the restriction

$$\tau_{m,f} : \{x \in \mathbb{K}_m/\mathbb{E}_m \mid fx = 0\} \rightarrow \{x \in \text{Hom}_{W_m(k)}(\mathbb{E}_m, W_m(k)) \mid fx = 0\}$$

of  $\tau_m$  is a bijection of right  $W_m(k)$ -modules. As  $f$  is a regular element of  $\mathbb{E}_m$ , the short exact sequence of left  $\mathbb{E}_m$ -modules  $0 \rightarrow \mathbb{E}_m f \rightarrow \mathbb{E}_m \rightarrow \mathbb{E}_m/\mathbb{E}_m f \rightarrow 0$  is a free resolution of the left  $\mathbb{E}_m$ -module  $\mathbb{E}_m/\mathbb{E}_m f$  and it is easy to see that  $\mathbb{E}_m/\mathbb{E}_m f$  is a left  $W_m(k)$ -module of finite length. From this and Proposition 2 (a) we get that  $\mathbb{E}_m/\mathbb{E}_m f$  corresponds to a truncated Barsotti–Tate group of level  $m$  and in particular that the left  $W_m(k)$ -module  $\mathbb{E}_m/\mathbb{E}_m f$  is free of finite rank. Via the involutory antiautomorphism  $\iota_m$ , we get that the right  $\mathbb{E}_m$ -module  $\mathbb{E}_m/f\mathbb{E}_m$  is a right free  $W_m(k)$ -module of finite rank.

As the domain and the codomain of  $\tau_{m,f}$  are both free right  $W_m(k)$ -modules of the same finite rank equal to the rank of the free right  $W_m(k)$ -module  $\mathbb{E}_m/f\mathbb{E}_m$ , it suffices to show that  $\tau_{m,f}$  modulo  $p$  is an injective  $k$ -linear map. Thus to prove the lemma it suffices to show that  $\tau_1$  is injective. To check this, we can assume that  $k$  is algebraically closed and it suffices to show that the restriction of  $\tau_1$  to each simple  $\mathbb{E}_1$ -submodule  $S$  of  $\mathbb{K}_1/\mathbb{E}_1 = [k(F) \times k(V)]/\mathbb{E}_1$  is injective.

In this and the next paragraph we will check that there exist precisely three simple left  $\mathbb{E}_1$ -submodules of  $\mathbb{K}_1/\mathbb{E}_1$ : generated by  $(F - 1)^{-1} + \mathbb{E}_1$ , by  $(V - 1)^{-1} + \mathbb{E}_1$ , and by  $(F + V)^{-1}V + \mathbb{E}_1 = (0, 1) + \mathbb{E}_1$ . Let  $x = (x_1, x_2) \in k(F) \times k(V)$  be such that  $S$  is generated by  $x + \mathbb{E}_1$ . If  $x \in k\{F\} \times k\{V\}$ , then  $S = [k\{F\} \times k\{V\}]/\mathbb{E}_1$  is a one dimensional  $k$ -vector space generated by  $(F + V)^{-1}V + \mathbb{E}_1$ . Similarly, if either  $x_1 = 0$  or  $x_2 = 0$ , then it is easy to see that  $S = [k\{F\} \times k\{V\}]/\mathbb{E}_1$ .

Thus we can assume that  $x \notin k\{F\} \times k\{V\}$  and that neither  $x_1$  nor  $x_2$  is 0. To fix the ideas we can assume that  $x_1 \notin k\{F\}$  and  $x_2 \neq 0$  and we want to show that  $S$  is generated by  $(F - 1)^{-1} + \mathbb{E}_1$ . Writing  $x_1 = f_1(F)^{-1}f_2(F)$

with  $f_1(F), f_2(F) \in k\{F\} \setminus \{0\}$ , we can assume that  $x \in S - \{0\}$  is such that  $f_1(F)$  has the smallest possible degree  $d_1 \in \mathbb{N}^*$  in  $F$ . As  $k$  is algebraically closed, there exists  $a \in k$  such that we can write  $f_1(F) = f'_1(F)(F - a)$  with  $f'_1(F) \in k\{F\}$ . Based on the smallest possible degree  $d_1$  property we easily get that we can assume that  $f'_1(F) = 1$ . Moreover, modulo elements in  $F\mathbb{E}_1 = \mathbb{E}_1F$  and modulo a multiplication by a nonzero element of  $k$  and thus modulo the replacement of  $a$  by another element in  $k$ , we can assume that  $f_2(F) = 1$  and thus that  $x_1 = (F - a)^{-1}$ . If  $a = 0$ , then  $Fx = (1, 0) \in [k\{F\} \times k\{V\}] \setminus \mathbb{E}_1$  and therefore  $Fx + \mathbb{E}_1$  generates  $S$  which implies that  $x \in k\{F\} \times k\{V\}$  a contradiction. Thus  $a \in k \setminus \{0\}$  and therefore  $(F - a)x + \mathbb{E}_1 = (0, -ax_2 - 1) + \mathbb{E}_1$ . Thus, if  $-ax_2 - 1 \notin Vk\{V\}$ , then from the end of the last paragraph we get that  $S = [k\{F\} \times k\{V\}]/\mathbb{E}_1$  and this contradicts the fact that  $x_1 \notin k\{F\}$ . Therefore  $-ax_2 - 1 \in Vk\{V\}$  which implies that  $x + \mathbb{E}_1 = (F - a)^{-1} + \mathbb{E}_1$ . As  $a \in k \setminus \{0\}$  and  $k$  is algebraically closed, by multiplying  $x$  with a nonzero element of  $k$  we can assume that  $x + \mathbb{E}_1 = (F - 1)^{-1} + \mathbb{E}_1$ .

The identities

$$1 = -\lambda_1((0, 1) + \mathbb{E}_1) = \lambda_1((F - 1)^{-1} + \mathbb{E}_1) = -\lambda_1((V - 1)^{-1} + \mathbb{E}_1),$$

imply that  $\tau_1$  is nontrivial on these three simple left  $\mathbb{E}_1$ -submodules of  $\mathbb{K}_1/\mathbb{E}_1$ .  $\square$

## 4.1 Proof of Lemma 3

As the left  $\mathbb{E}_m$ -module  $\text{Ext}_{\mathbb{E}_m}^1(N, \mathbb{E}_m)$  can be identified based on Fact 6 with  $\text{Hom}_{\mathbb{E}_m}(N, \mathbb{K}_m/\mathbb{E}_m)$ , from Lemma 4 we get that it can be identified via  $\tau_m$  with  $\text{Hom}_{\mathbb{E}_m}(N, \text{Hom}_{W_m(k)}(\mathbb{E}_m, W_m(k)))$  and thus also with the left  $\mathbb{E}_m$ -module  $N^\vee = \text{Hom}_{W_m(k)}(N, W_m(k))$  as one can easily check using a presentation of the left  $\mathbb{E}_m$ -module  $N$  of finite length. This ends the proof of Lemma 3 and thus also the proofs of Proposition 3 and of Theorem 2.  $\square$

## 4.2 Remarks

(a) Let  $R$  be a perfect ring of characteristic  $p$ , let  $W(R)$  be the ring of  $p$ -typical Witt vectors with coefficients in  $R$ , and let  $\sigma_R$  be the Frobenius automorphism of  $R$ ,  $W(R)$ , and  $B(R) = W(R)_{[p]}^1$ . Let

$$\mathbb{D}(R) = B(R)\{F, F^{-1}\}/I(R) \quad \text{and} \quad \mathbb{E}(R) = W(R)\{F, V\} \subset \mathbb{D}(R)$$

be defined similarly to  $\mathbb{D}(k) = \mathbb{D}$  and  $\mathbb{E}(k) = \mathbb{E}$  (thus  $I(R)$  is the two-sided ideal generated by all elements  $Fa - \sigma_R(a)F$  with  $a \in B(R)$ ). Let  $\mathbb{E}_m(R) = \mathbb{E}(R)/p^m\mathbb{E}(R)$  and  $W_m(R) = W(R)/p^mW(R)$ . Then Lemma 3 continues to hold in the context of  $\mathbb{E}_m(R)$  and  $W_m(R)$  provided the role of  $N$  is replaced by the one of a left  $\mathbb{E}_m(R)$ -module whose projective dimension as a  $W_m(R)$ -module is at most one (however, the proof is more complicated in this generality provided by  $R$ ).

(b) Equation (1) implies that  $s_{D,E} = s_{E,D}$ . We recall from [V2], Theorem 1.2 (e) and [GV], Remark 4.5 that  $s_D = s_{D,D}$  is an isogeny invariant. From the last two sentences we get directly that  $s_{D,E} = \frac{1}{2}(s_{D \oplus E} - s_D - s_E)$  is an isogeny invariant. Based on this and [V2], Theorem 1.2 (c) and (f), one gets that  $s_{D,E}$  can be easily computed in terms of the Newton polygons of  $D$  and  $E$ . For instance, if  $D$  is isoclinic of dimension  $d$  and codimension  $c$  and  $E$  is isoclinic of dimension  $f$  and codimension  $e$ , then  $s_D = cd$ ,  $s_E = ef$ , and

$$s_{D \oplus E} = (c + e)(d + f) - |cf - de|$$

(cf. [V2], Theorem 1.2 (c) and (f)) and therefore we have

$$s_{D,E} = \min\{cf, de\}.$$

### 4.3 Example

Let  $n, m, t$  be positive integers such that  $m = n + t$ . Let  $q \in \{2t - 1, 2t\}$ . Let  $H$  be such that its Dieudonné module  $N$  is isomorphic to  $\mathbb{E}/(F, V)^{2n+q}$ . Then  $p^m$  annihilates  $H$  but  $p^{m-1}$  does not annihilate  $H$ . Let  $G = D[p^n]$ , where  $D$  is a supersingular Barsotti–Tate group over  $k$  of height 2; thus the Dieudonné module  $M$  of  $G$  is isomorphic to  $\mathbb{E}_n/(F - V)$ . We have canonical identifications of quasi-algebraic groups  $\underline{\mathrm{Hom}}_{\mathbb{E}}(M, N) = (F, V)^{2n+q-1}/(F, V)^{2n+q}$  and  $\underline{\mathrm{Hom}}_{\mathbb{E}}(N, M) = \underline{M}$  (cf. the notations of Subsection 2.4 and of the proof of Theorem 2). From this and Fact 4 we get that

$$\dim(\mathbf{Hom}(H, G)) = \mathrm{length}_{W(k)}((F, V)^{2n+q-1}/(F, V)^{2n+q}) = 2n + q$$

and that

$$\dim(\mathbf{Hom}(G, H)) = \mathrm{length}_{W(k)}(M) = 2n.$$

Thus  $\dim(\mathbf{Hom}(G, H)) - \dim(\mathbf{Hom}(H, G)) = -q$ . From this via Cartier duality we get that  $\dim(\mathbf{Hom}(G^t, H^t)) - \dim(\mathbf{Hom}(H^t, G^t)) = q$ .

If  $q = 2t$ , then we have  $\dim(\mathbf{Hom}(H, G)) = \frac{m}{n} \dim(\mathbf{Hom}(G, H))$  and  $\dim(\mathbf{Hom}(H^t, G^t)) = \frac{n}{m} \dim(\mathbf{Hom}(G^t, H^t))$ .

## 4.4 Proof of Proposition 1

The last part and the optimality part of Proposition 1 follow from Subsection 4.3. If the inequality  $\dim(\mathbf{Hom}(G, H)) \leq \frac{m}{n} \dim(\mathbf{Hom}(H, G))$  always holds, then by replacing in this inequality the pair  $(G, H)$  by the pair  $(G^t, H^t)$  and by using the Cartier duality we easily get that the other inequality  $\frac{n}{m} \dim(\mathbf{Hom}(H, G)) \leq \dim(\mathbf{Hom}(G, H))$  also holds. Thus it suffices to show that the inequality  $\dim(\mathbf{Hom}(G, H)) \leq \frac{m}{n} \dim(\mathbf{Hom}(H, G))$  holds.

Let  $D$  be a Barsotti–Tate group over  $k$  such that  $G = D[p^n]$ , cf. [1], Theorem 4.4 e). Let  $C = \mathbf{Hom}(H, D[p^m])$ ; it is a commutative group scheme of finite type over  $k$  annihilated by  $p^m$ . From the short exact sequences  $0 \rightarrow G \rightarrow D[p^n] \rightarrow D[p^{m-n}] \rightarrow 0$  and  $0 \rightarrow D[p^m - n] \rightarrow D[p^n] \rightarrow G \rightarrow 0$ , we get an exact complex  $0 \rightarrow \mathbf{Hom}(G, H) \rightarrow \mathbf{Hom}(D[p^m], H)$  as well as an identity  $\mathbf{Hom}(H, G) = C[p^n]$ . Thus  $\dim(\mathbf{Hom}(G, H)) \leq \dim(\mathbf{Hom}(D[p^m], H))$  and  $\dim(\mathbf{Hom}(H, G)) = \dim(C[p^n])$ . We have  $\dim(\mathbf{Hom}(D[p^m], H)) = \dim(C)$  and  $\dim(C) \leq \frac{m}{n} \dim(C[p^n])$ , cf. Equation (1) and Lemma 5 below (respectively). From the last two sentences we get that  $\dim(\mathbf{Hom}(G, H)) \leq \frac{m}{n} \dim(\mathbf{Hom}(H, G))$ . Thus Inequalities (2) hold.  $\square$

**Lemma 5** *Let  $m > n > 0$  be integers. Let  $C$  be a commutative group scheme of finite type over  $k$  annihilated by  $p^m$ . Then the dimension of its subgroup scheme  $C[p^n] = \text{Ker}(p^n : C \rightarrow C)$  is at least equal to  $\frac{n}{m} \dim(C)$ .*

**Proof:** For  $i \in \{0, \dots, m-1\}$  let  $a_i = \dim(C[p^{i+1}]/C[p^i])$ . Then we have  $\dim(C[p^n]) = \sum_{j=0}^{n-1} a_j$  and  $\dim(C) = \sum_{i=0}^{m-1} a_i$ . Thus the difference

$$m \dim(C[p^n]) - n \dim(C) = (m-n) \sum_{j=0}^{n-1} a_j - n \sum_{i=n}^{m-1} a_i$$

is the sum of  $n(m-n)$  expressions of the form  $a_j - a_i$  with  $0 \leq j < n \leq i \leq m-1$ . But for  $0 \leq j < i \leq m-1$  the multiplication by  $p^{i-j}$  induces a monomorphism  $C[p^{i+1}]/C[p^i] \rightarrow C[p^{j+1}]/C[p^j]$  and therefore the inequality  $a_j - a_i \geq 0$  holds. The lemma follows from the last two sentences.  $\square$

## 5 Proof of Theorem 3

Let  $S(\mathbf{M})$  be the set (of representatives) of isomorphism classes of finite dimensional simple left  $\mathbf{M}$ -modules. The abelian group  $K_0(\mathbf{M})$  is canonically identified with the free abelian group on  $S(\mathbf{M})$ .

Two left  $\mathbf{M}$ -modules  $Z_1$  and  $Z_2$  of finite dimension are isomorphic if and only if the two left  $\mathbf{M}^{(\sigma)}$ -modules  $Z_1^{(\sigma)}$  and  $Z_2^{(\sigma)}$  are isomorphic. As the Frobenius homomorphism  $\mathbf{M} \rightarrow \mathbf{M}^{(\sigma)}$  is a dominant morphism between reduced schemes of finite type over  $k$ , the two left  $\mathbf{M}^{(\sigma)}$ -modules  $Z_1^{(\sigma)}$  and  $Z_2^{(\sigma)}$  are isomorphic if and only if the two left  $\mathbf{M}$ -modules  $Z_1^{(\sigma)}$  and  $Z_2^{(\sigma)}$  are isomorphic. From the last two sentences we get that:

(i) the automorphism  $\sigma$  acts naturally on  $S(\mathbf{M})$ : the isomorphism class  $[Z]$  is mapped to the isomorphism class  $[Z^{(\sigma)}]$ ;

(ii) it makes sense to speak about the partition of  $S(\mathbf{M})$  into orbits of the action of  $\sigma$  on  $S(\mathbf{M})$ :  $[Z_1], [Z_2] \in S(\mathbf{M})$  belong to the same orbit if and only if there exists  $n \in \mathbb{N}$  such that either  $[Z_1] = [Z_2^{(\sigma^n)}]$  or  $[Z_1^{(\sigma^n)}] = [Z_2]$ .

Let  $O(\mathbf{M})$  be the set of orbits of the action of  $\sigma$  on  $S(\mathbf{M})$ . Based on (ii), the abelian group  $K_0(\mathbf{M})/I_0(\mathbf{M})$  is canonically identified with the free abelian group on  $O(\mathbf{M})$ . For  $i \in \{1, 2\}$  we write

$$[L_1^\vee] = \sum_{[Z] \in S(\mathbf{M})} n_{1,[Z]} [Z] \in K_0(\mathbf{M})$$

and

$$[L_2] = \sum_{[Z] \in S(\mathbf{M})} n_{2,[Z]} [Z] \in K_0(\mathbf{M}),$$

where each  $n_{i,[Z]} \in \mathbb{N}$  and all but a finite number of the  $n_{i,[Z]}$ 's being 0. Let  $O(\mathbf{M}, G, H)$  be the smallest finite subset of  $O(\mathbf{M})$  such that for each  $o \in O(\mathbf{M}) \setminus O(\mathbf{M}, G, H)$  and for every  $[Z] \in o$  we have  $n_{1,[Z]} = n_{2,[Z]} = 0$ .

Theorem 3 is equivalent to the following statement: for each orbit  $o \in O(\mathbf{M}, G, H)$  we have an identity

$$\sum_{[Z] \in o} n_{1,[Z]} = \sum_{[Z] \in o} n_{2,[Z]}. \quad (11)$$

Below we will need the following elementary fact whose proof is left as an exercise.

**Fact 7** *Let  $\bar{k}$  be an algebraic closure of  $k$ . Then for an absolutely simple left  $\mathbf{M}$ -module  $Z$  of finite dimension we have the following disjoint two possibilities:*

(a) *If the image of  $\mathbf{M}(\bar{k})$  in  $\mathbf{End}(Z)(\bar{k})$  is finite, then there exists  $n \in \mathbb{N}^*$  such that the left  $\mathbf{M}$ -modules  $Z$  and  $Z^{(\sigma^n)}$  are isomorphic.*

(b) If the image of  $\mathbf{M}(\bar{k})$  in  $\mathbf{End}(Z)(\bar{k})$  is infinite, then there exists  $n \in \mathbb{N}^*$  such that for each integer  $m \geq n$  there exists no left  $\mathbf{M}$ -module  $W$  such that  $Z$  and  $W^{(\sigma^m)}$  are isomorphic.

**Lemma 6** *To prove that the identity (11) holds we can assume that for each  $o \in O(\mathbf{M}, G, H)$ , every simple left  $\mathbf{M}$ -module  $Z$  with  $[Z] \in o$  is absolutely simple.*

**Proof:** Let  $k'$  be a finite Galois extension of  $k$  such that each simple factor of a composition series of either the left  $\mathbf{M}_{k'}$ -module  $k' \otimes_k \mathbf{L}_1$  or of the left  $\mathbf{M}_{k'}$ -module  $k' \otimes_k \mathbf{L}_2$  is absolutely simple. To prove the lemma it suffices to show that if the Equation (11) holds in the case when the pair  $(k, O(\mathbf{M}, G, H))$  is replaced by the pair  $(k', O(\mathbf{M}_{k'}, G_{k'}, H_{k'}))$ , then the Equation (11) holds as well.

If  $[Z] \in o \in O(\mathbf{M}, G, H)$ , then  $k' \otimes_k Z$  is a direct sum of absolutely simple left  $\mathbf{M}_{k'}$ -modules. It is well known that we can write

$$k' \otimes_k Z = \bigoplus_{j=1}^{u_Z} m_Z Z'_j,$$

where  $u_Z, m_Z \in \mathbb{N}^*$  and where the  $Z'_j$ 's are absolutely simple left  $\mathbf{M}_{k'}$ -module that are not pairwise isomorphic and such that the Galois group  $\text{Gal}(k'/k)$  acts transitively on the set  $\{Z'_1, \dots, Z'_{u_Z}\}$ . We consider the orbit  $o' \in O(\mathbf{M}_{k'}, G_{k'}, H_{k'})$  such that  $[Z'_1] \in o'$ . Let  $I_{Z'_1}$  be the nonempty subset of  $\{1, \dots, u_Z\}$  formed by all those elements  $j$  such that  $[Z'_j] \in o'$  and let  $s_Z \in \mathbb{N}^*$  be the number of elements of  $I_{Z'_1}$ . It is easy to see that  $u_Z, m_Z$ , and  $s_Z$  depend only on the orbit  $o$  and not on the choice of  $Z$  with the property that  $[Z] \in o$ . Thus we can define  $u_o = u_Z, m_o = m_Z$ , and  $s_o = s_Z$ .

We note that if  $[Z_1] \in o' \in O(\mathbf{M}, G, H)$  and  $o' \neq o$  and if we similarly write

$$k' \otimes_k Z_1 = \bigoplus_{j=1}^{u_{Z_1}} m_{Z_1} Z'_{1,j},$$

then for all  $j \in \{1, \dots, u_Z\}$  and  $j_1 \in \{1, \dots, u_{Z_1}\}$  the orbits in  $O(\mathbf{M}_{k'})$  to which  $Z'_j$  and  $Z'_{1,j_1}$  belong are distinct. This is so as for all  $a, b \in \mathbb{Z}$ , the  $k$ -vector space  $\text{Hom}_{\mathbf{M}}(Z^{(\sigma^a)}, Z_1^{(\sigma^b)})$  is nonzero if and only if the  $k'$ -vector space  $\text{Hom}_{\mathbf{M}_{k'}}((k' \otimes_k Z)^{(\sigma^a)}, (k' \otimes_k Z_1)^{(\sigma^b)})$  is nonzero.

We write  $[(k' \otimes_k \mathbf{L}_1)^\vee] = \sum_{[Z'] \in S(\mathbf{M}_{k'})} n_{1,[Z']} [Z'] \in K_0(\mathbf{M}_{k'})$  and  $[k' \otimes_k \mathbf{L}_2] = \sum_{[Z'] \in S(\mathbf{M}_{k'})} n_{2,[Z']} [Z'] \in K_0(\mathbf{M}_{k'})$ , where each  $n_{i,[Z']} \in \mathbb{N}$  and all but a finite number of the  $n_{i,[Z']}$ 's being zero. Based on the last two paragraphs we get

that for  $i \in \{1, 2\}$  we have

$$\sum_{[Z'] \in o'} n_{i,[Z']} = m_o s_o \sum_{[Z] \in o} n_{i,[Z]}. \quad (12)$$

As we have assumed that the Equation (11) holds in the case when the pair  $(k, O(\mathbf{M}, G, H))$  is replaced by the pair  $(k', O(\mathbf{M}_{k'}, G_{k'}, H_{k'}))$ , we have  $\sum_{[Z'] \in o'} n_{1,[Z']} = \sum_{[Z'] \in o'} n_{2,[Z']}$ . From this and the Equation (12) we get that the Equation (11) holds.  $\square$

## 5.1 Step 1: reduction to the case of a finite field

In this subsection we show that to prove (11) for all orbits  $o \in O(\mathbf{M}, G, H)$  we can assume that  $k$  is a finite field. Based on Lemma 6 we can assume that each simple factor of a composition series of either  $\mathbf{L}_1$  or  $\mathbf{L}_2$  is absolutely simple. Let  $\mathcal{R}$  be a finitely generated  $\mathbb{F}_p$ -subalgebra of  $k$  such that the following five properties hold for it:

(i) There exist a truncated Barsotti–Tate group  $\mathcal{G}$  of level  $m$  over  $\mathcal{R}$  and a finite flat commutative group scheme  $\mathcal{H}$  over  $\mathcal{R}$  annihilated by  $p^m$  such that  $G = \mathcal{G}_k$  and  $H = \mathcal{H}_k$ .

(ii) The reduced scheme  $\mathbf{End}(\mathcal{G})_{\text{red}} \times_{\mathcal{R}} \mathbf{End}(\mathcal{H})_{\text{red}}^{\text{opp}}$  is a smooth subgroup scheme of  $\mathbf{End}(\mathcal{G}) \times_{\mathcal{R}} \mathbf{End}(\mathcal{H})^{\text{opp}}$ ; let  $\mathcal{M}$  be the multiplicative monoid scheme over  $\mathcal{R}$  associated to the reduced ring scheme  $\mathbf{End}(\mathcal{G})_{\text{red}} \times_{\mathcal{R}} \mathbf{End}(\mathcal{H})_{\text{red}}^{\text{opp}}$ .

(iii) The reduced scheme  $\mathbf{Hom}(\mathcal{G}, \mathcal{H})_{\text{red}} \times_{\mathcal{R}} \mathbf{Hom}(\mathcal{H}, \mathcal{G})_{\text{red}}$  is a smooth subgroup scheme of  $\mathbf{Hom}(\mathcal{G}, \mathcal{H}) \times_{\mathcal{R}} \mathbf{Hom}(\mathcal{H}, \mathcal{G})$ ; let  $\mathcal{L}_1$  and  $\mathcal{L}_2$  be the Lie algebras over  $\mathcal{R}$  of  $\mathbf{Hom}(\mathcal{G}, \mathcal{H})_{\text{red}}$  and  $\mathbf{Hom}(\mathcal{H}, \mathcal{G})_{\text{red}}$  (respectively) and let  $\mathcal{L}_1^{\vee} = \text{Hom}_{\mathcal{R}}(\mathcal{L}_1, \mathcal{R})$  be the  $\mathcal{R}$ -dual of the  $\mathcal{R}$ -module  $\mathcal{L}_1$ .

(iv) The left  $\mathcal{M}$ -modules  $\mathcal{L}_1^{\vee}$  and  $\mathcal{L}_2$  have a composition series whose factors  $\mathcal{Z}_{1,1}, \dots, \mathcal{Z}_{1,s_1}$  and  $\mathcal{Z}_{2,1}, \dots, \mathcal{Z}_{1,s_2}$  (respectively) have absolutely simple fibers and are defined by free  $\mathcal{R}$ -modules.

(v) If  $j_1, j_2 \in \{(1, 1), \dots, (1, s_1), (2, 1), \dots, (2, s_2)\}$  are distinct elements such that the simple left  $\mathcal{M}$ -modules  $\mathcal{Z}_{j_1} \otimes_{\mathcal{R}} k$  and  $\mathcal{Z}_{j_2} \otimes_{\mathcal{R}} k$  have different images in  $K_0(\mathcal{M})/I_0(\mathcal{M})$  (equivalently, if  $[\mathcal{Z}_{j_1} \otimes_{\mathcal{R}} k]$  and  $[\mathcal{Z}_{j_2} \otimes_{\mathcal{R}} k]$  do not belong to the same orbit of  $O(\mathcal{M})$ ), then there exists a maximal ideal  $\mathfrak{i}$  of  $\mathcal{R}$  such that the absolutely simple left  $\mathcal{M}/\mathfrak{i}\mathcal{M}$ -module  $\mathcal{Z}_{j_1}/\mathfrak{i}\mathcal{Z}_{j_1}$  is not isomorphic to  $(\mathcal{Z}_{j_2}/\mathfrak{i}\mathcal{Z}_{j_2})^{(\sigma_l^i)}$  for all  $i \in \mathbb{N}$ , where  $\sigma_l$  is the Frobenius automorphism of the finite field  $l = \mathcal{R}/\mathfrak{i}$ .

The existence of  $\mathcal{R}$  such that properties (i) to (iv) hold is a standard piece of algebraic geometry. Based on the Fact 7, there exists  $n_{G,H} \in \mathbb{N}^*$  such that the property (v) holds if and only if the following property holds:

(v-) If  $j_1, j_2$  are as in the property (v), then there exists a maximal ideal  $\mathfrak{i}$  of  $\mathcal{R}$  such that the left  $\mathcal{M}/\mathfrak{i}\mathcal{M}$ -module  $\mathcal{Z}_{j_1}/\mathfrak{i}\mathcal{Z}_{j_1}$  is not isomorphic to  $(\mathcal{Z}_{j_2}/\mathfrak{i}\mathcal{Z}_{j_2})^{(\sigma^i)}$  for all  $i \in \{0, 1, \dots, n_{G,H}\}$ .

But by localizing  $\mathcal{R}$  we can assume that the property (v-) holds for all maximal ideals of  $\mathcal{R}$  and therefore we can indeed choose  $\mathcal{R}$  such that the properties (i) to (v) hold.

We have an injective pullback map  $O(\mathcal{M}, G, H) \hookrightarrow O(\mathcal{M}/\mathfrak{i}\mathcal{M})$ , cf. properties (iv) and (v). Thus to prove that (11) holds it suffices to show that Equation (11) holds in the case when the pair  $(k, O(\mathcal{M}, G, H))$  is replaced by the pair  $(l, O(\mathcal{M}/\mathfrak{i}\mathcal{M}, \mathcal{G}_l, \mathcal{H}_l))$ . Therefore to prove that the Equation (11) holds we can assume that  $k = l$  is a finite field.

## 5.2 Step 2: reduction to the case of abstract monoids

As  $k$  is finite, we can assume that  $(\mathcal{R}, \mathcal{L}_1, \mathcal{L}_2) = (k, \mathbf{L}_1, \mathbf{L}_2)$  and thus that  $\mathbf{L}_1^\vee$  and  $\mathbf{L}_2$  have composition series whose factors are denoted as above by  $\mathcal{Z}_{1,1}, \dots, \mathcal{Z}_{1,s_1}$  and  $\mathcal{Z}_{2,1}, \dots, \mathcal{Z}_{1,s_2}$  (respectively).

To prove that the Equation (11) holds we can replace the finite field  $k$  by a finite field extension of it (cf. Lemma 6). Thus we can assume that the following two properties also hold:

(i) for each element  $j \in \{(1, 1), \dots, (1, s_1), (2, 1), \dots, (2, s_2)\}$ ,  $\mathcal{Z}_j$  is an absolutely simple left  $\mathbf{M}(k)$ -module;

(ii) for each distinct elements  $j_1, j_2 \in \{(1, 1), \dots, (1, s_1), (2, 1), \dots, (2, s_2)\}$ ,  $[\mathcal{Z}_{j_1}]$  and  $[\mathcal{Z}_{j_2}]$  belong to the same orbit  $o \in O(\mathbf{M}, G, H)$  if and only if  $\mathcal{Z}_{j_1}$  and  $\mathcal{Z}_{j_2}$  belong to the same orbit of the natural (analogous) action of  $\sigma$  on the set of isomorphism classes of finite dimensional simple left  $\mathbf{M}(k)$ -modules.

Let the groups  $K_0(\mathbf{M}(k))$ ,  $I_0(\mathbf{M}(k))$ ,  $K_0(\mathbf{M}(k))/I_0(\mathbf{M}(k))$  and the set  $O(\mathbf{M}(k), G, H) \subset O(\mathbf{M}(k))$  be analogues to the groups  $K_0(\mathbf{M})$ ,  $I_0(\mathbf{M})$ ,  $K_0(\mathbf{M})/I_0(\mathbf{M})$  and the set  $O(\mathbf{M}, G, H) \subset O(\mathbf{M}(k))$  (respectively) but working in the category of finite dimensional  $k$ -vector spaces which are left modules over the abstract monoid  $\mathbf{M}(k)$ . We have an injective pullback map  $O(\mathbf{M}, G, H) \hookrightarrow O(\mathbf{M}(k), G, H)$ , cf. properties (i) and (ii). Thus to prove that the Equation (11) holds it suffices to show that it holds in the case when

the pair  $(\mathbf{M}, O(\mathbf{M}, G, H))$  is replaced by the pair  $(\mathbf{M}(k), O(\mathbf{M}(k), G, H))$ . Therefore to prove that the Equation (11) holds we can assume that  $k$  is a finite field and we are viewing  $\mathbf{L}_1^\vee$  and  $\mathbf{L}_2$  as left modules over the abstract monoid  $\mathbf{M}(k) = \text{End}(G) \times \text{End}(H)^{\text{opp}} = \text{End}(G) \times \text{End}(H^t) = \mathbf{End}(G)_{\text{red}}(k) \times \mathbf{End}(H)_{\text{red}}^{\text{opp}}(k) = \mathbf{End}(G)_{\text{red}}(k) \times \mathbf{End}(H^t)_{\text{red}}(k)$ ; to emphasize the  $\mathbb{F}_p$ -algebra structures we will denote  $\mathbf{A}_G = \text{End}(G)$  and  $\mathbf{A}_{H^t} = \text{End}(H^t)$  viewed as finite dimensional  $\mathbb{F}_p$ -algebras.

The left modules  $\mathbf{L}_1^\vee$  and  $\mathbf{L}_2$  over the abstract monoid  $\text{End}(G) \times \{1_{H^t}\}$  are actually left  $\mathbf{A}_G$ -modules and for each  $h \in \text{End}(H)^{\text{opp}} = \text{End}(H^t)$  the multiplication by  $(1_G, h)$  on  $\mathbf{L}_1^\vee$  and  $\mathbf{L}_2$  are  $\mathbf{A}_G$ -linear transformations. Similarly, the left modules  $\mathbf{L}_1^\vee$  and  $\mathbf{L}_2$  over the abstract monoid  $\{1_G\} \times \text{End}(H^t)$  are actually left  $\mathbf{A}_{H^t}$ -modules and for each  $g \in \text{End}(G)$  the multiplication by  $(g, 1_{H^t})$  on  $\mathbf{L}_1^\vee$  and  $\mathbf{L}_2$  are  $\mathbf{A}_{H^t}$ -linear transformations. From the last two we get that  $\mathbf{L}_1^\vee$  and  $\mathbf{L}_2$  have composition series which are also series of left  $\mathbf{A}_G$ -modules and left  $\mathbf{A}_{H^t}$ -modules, and therefore we can assume that  $\mathcal{Z}_j$  with  $j \in \{(1, 1), \dots, (1, s_1), (2, 1), \dots, (2, s_2)\}$  are left  $\mathbf{A}_G$ -modules and left  $\mathbf{A}_{H^t}$ -modules with the property that the identity elements of  $\mathbf{A}_G$  and  $\mathbf{A}_{H^t}$  act identically on them.

### 5.3 Step 3: applying Theorem 2

We consider the Jacobson radical  $J(\mathbf{A}_G)$  of  $\mathbf{A}_G$ . The quotient ring  $\mathbf{S}_G = \mathbf{A}_G/J(\mathbf{A}_G)$  is semisimple and thus a finite product  $\mathbf{S}_G = \prod_{i=1}^s \mathbf{S}_{G,i}$  of simple rings. Each idempotent of  $\mathbf{A}_G/J(\mathbf{A}_G)$  lifts to an idempotent of  $\mathbf{A}_G$  and thus we can assume that we have a product decomposition

$$\mathbf{A}_G = \prod_{i=1}^s \mathbf{A}_{G,i} \quad (13)$$

of  $\mathbb{F}_p$ -algebras such that for each  $i \in \{1, \dots, s\}$  we have a canonical identification  $\mathbf{S}_{G,i} = \mathbf{A}_{G,i}/J(\mathbf{A}_{G,i})$ . To the decomposition (13) corresponds product decompositions  $G = \prod_{i=1}^s G_i$ ,  $\mathbf{Hom}(G, H) = \prod_{i=1}^s \mathbf{Hom}(G_i, H)$ , and  $\mathbf{Hom}(H, G) = \prod_{i=1}^s \mathbf{Hom}(H, G_i)$ . Thus to prove that Theorem 3 holds (equivalently that the Equation (11) holds in the case when the initial pair  $(\mathbf{M}, O(\mathbf{M}, H, G))$  is replaced by the pair  $(\mathbf{M}(k), O(\mathbf{M}(k), G, H))$ ) we can assume that  $s = 1$  and therefore that  $\mathbf{S}_G$  is a simple  $\mathbb{F}_p$ -algebra.

A similar argument shows that we can assume that  $\mathbf{S}_{H^t} = \mathbf{A}_{H^t}/J(\mathbf{A}_{H^t})$  is also a simple  $\mathbb{F}_p$ -algebra. But in such a case, up to isomorphism there

exists a unique simple left  $\mathbf{M}(k)$ -module on which the identity elements of both  $\mathbf{A}_G$  and  $\mathbf{A}_{H^t}$  act identically and therefore the analogue of the Equation (11) for the case when the pair  $(\mathbf{M}, O(\mathbf{M}, G, H))$  is replaced by the pair  $(\mathbf{M}(k), O(\mathbf{M}(k), G, H))$  becomes the identity  $\dim_k(\mathbf{L}_1^\vee) = \dim_k(\mathbf{L}_2)$  which is equivalent to the Equation (1) as  $\dim_k(\mathbf{L}_1^\vee) = \dim_k(\mathbf{L}_1) = \dim(\mathbf{Hom}(G, H))$  and  $\dim_k(\mathbf{L}_2) = \dim(\mathbf{Hom}(H, G))$ . This ends the proof of Theorem 3.  $\square$

## 5.4 Remark

The ring scheme  $\mathbf{End}(G)$  has  $\mathbf{End}(G)^0$  as a two-sided ideal subscheme and the quotient ring scheme  $\mathbf{C}_G = \mathbf{End}(G)/\mathbf{End}(G)^0 = \mathbf{End}(G)_{\text{red}}/\mathbf{End}(G)_{\text{red}}^0$  is étale. From [GV], Corollary 6 (b) we get that  $\mathbf{Aut}(G)^0(k) = 1_M + \mathbf{End}(G)^0(k)$ . From this and the fact that  $\mathbf{Aut}(G)_{\text{red}}^0$  is a unipotent group scheme (cf. [GV], Corollary 5), we get that there exists a composition series of the  $W_m(k)$ -module  $M$  which is left invariant by all crystalline realizations of elements of  $\mathbf{End}(G)^0(k)$  and whose simple factors are one dimensional  $k$ -vector spaces annihilated by all crystalline realizations of elements of  $\mathbf{End}(G)^0(k)$ . Thus there exists  $u \in \mathbb{N}^*$  with the property that each product of arbitrary  $u$  endomorphisms of the Dieudonné module  $M$  of  $G$  that are crystalline realizations of elements of  $\mathbf{End}(G)^0(k)$ , is zero. For  $v \in \{1, \dots, u\}$ , let  $M_v$  be the  $W_m(k)$ -submodule of  $M$  generated by all  $(f_1 f_2 \cdots f_v)(M)$  with  $f_1, \dots, f_v$  as  $W_m(k)$ -endomorphisms of  $M$  that are crystalline realizations of elements of  $\mathbf{End}(G)^0(k)$ . We obtain a filtration

$$0 = M_u \subset M_{u_1} \subset \cdots \subset M_1 \subset M$$

by  $W_m(k)$ -submodules left invariant by all crystalline realizations of elements of  $\mathbf{End}(G)^0(k)$  whose factors are annihilated by all crystalline realizations of elements of  $\mathbf{End}(G)^0(k)$ . This implies that  $\mathbf{End}(G)_{\text{red}}^0$  acts trivially on each  $\mathcal{Z}_j$  with  $j \in \{(1, 1), \dots, (1, s_1), (2, 1), \dots, (2, s_2)\}$ . Therefore  $\mathcal{Z}_j$  is a left  $\mathbf{C}_G$ -module.

If  $H$  is also a truncated Barsotti–Tate group, then as in the previous paragraph we argue that  $\mathbf{End}(G)_{\text{red}}^0 \times \mathbf{End}(H^t)_{\text{red}}^0$  acts trivially on each  $\mathcal{Z}_j$  and therefore  $\mathcal{Z}_j$  is a left  $\mathbf{C}_G$ -module as well as a left  $\mathbf{C}_{H^t}$ -module; thus in such a case the three steps above could be easily combined with  $\mathbf{A}_G$  and  $\mathbf{A}_{H^t}$  being replaced by the étale ring schemes  $\mathbf{C}_G$  and  $\mathbf{C}_{H^t}$ .

## 6 Isogeny and Symmetry Properties in the Relative Context

Let  $L$  be the (contravariant) Dieudonné module of a Barsotti–Tate group  $D$  over  $k$ . In order to match our notations with the ones of [GV] (modulo the replacement of  $M$  by  $L$ ), let  $\phi : L \rightarrow L$  and  $\vartheta : L \rightarrow L$  be the  $\sigma$ -linear map and the  $\sigma^{-1}$ -linear map (respectively) such that for each  $x \in L$  we have  $\phi(x) = Fx$  and  $\vartheta(x) = Vx$ . We denote also by  $\phi : \text{End}_{B(k)}(L[\frac{1}{p}]) \rightarrow \text{End}_{B(k)}(L[\frac{1}{p}])$  the  $\sigma$ -linear automorphism induced naturally by  $\phi$ : it maps  $h \in \text{End}_{B(k)}(L[\frac{1}{p}])$  to  $\phi \circ h \circ \phi^{-1} \in \text{End}_{B(k)}(L[\frac{1}{p}])$ . Therefore  $\phi(h) = \frac{1}{p}FhV$ .

Let  $\mathcal{G}$  be a smooth closed subgroup scheme of  $\mathbf{GL}_L$  such that its generic fiber  $\mathcal{G}_{B(k)}$  is connected. Thus the scheme  $\mathcal{G}$  is integral. Let  $\mathfrak{g} := \text{Lie}(\mathcal{G})$  be the Lie algebra of  $\mathcal{G}$ . Until the end we will assume that the following two axioms introduced in [GV], Section 6 hold for the triple  $(L, \phi, \mathcal{G})$ :

**(AX1)** the Lie subalgebra  $\mathfrak{g}[\frac{1}{p}]$  of  $\text{End}_{W(k)}(L)[\frac{1}{p}]$  is stable under  $\phi$ , i.e., we have  $\phi(\mathfrak{g}[\frac{1}{p}]) = \mathfrak{g}[\frac{1}{p}]$ ;

**(AX2)** there exist a direct sum decomposition  $L = F^1 \oplus F^0$  such that the following two properties hold:

- (a) the kernel  $\bar{F}^1$  of the reduction modulo  $p$  of  $\phi$  is  $F^1/pF^1$ ;
- (b) the cocharacter  $\mu : \mathbb{G}_m \rightarrow \mathbf{GL}_L$  which acts trivially on  $F^0$  and via the inverse of the identical character of  $\mathbb{G}_m$  on  $F^1$ , normalizes  $\mathcal{G}$ .

The triple  $(L, \phi, \mathcal{G})$  is called an *F-crystal with a group* over  $k$ , cf. [V1], Definition 1.1 (a) and Subsection 2.1. Let  $n_D^{\mathcal{G}}$  be the smallest nonnegative integer that has the following property: for each element  $\tilde{g} \in \mathcal{G}(W(k))$  congruent to  $1_L$  modulo  $p^{n_D^{\mathcal{G}}}$ , there exists an inner isomorphism between  $(L, \phi, \mathcal{G})$  and  $(L, \tilde{g}\phi, \mathcal{G})$ . The existence of  $n_D^{\mathcal{G}}$  is implied by [V1], Main Theorem A. If  $\mathcal{G} = \mathbf{GL}_L$ , then we have  $n_D^{\mathcal{G}} = n_D$  (see [GV], Subsection 5.1).

For  $m \in \mathbb{N}^*$  let  $\phi_m, \vartheta_m$  be the reductions modulo  $p^m$  of  $\phi$  and  $\vartheta$  (respectively). Let  $\mathfrak{b}^{(\sigma)}$  be the pullback (or the tensorization) of some  $W(k)$ -linear map or  $W(k)$ -module  $\mathfrak{b}$  with  $\sigma$ . Thus  $L^{(\sigma)} := W(k) \otimes_{\sigma, W(k)} L$ , etc.

**Definition 4 (a)** *By the family of F-crystals with a group over  $k$  associated to  $(L, \phi, \mathcal{G})$  we mean the set  $\mathcal{F}$  of all F-crystals with a group over  $k$  of the form  $(L, g\phi, \mathcal{G})$  with  $g \in \mathcal{G}(W(k))$ .*

**(b)** *For  $g_1, g_2 \in \mathcal{G}(W(k))$  we say that  $(L, g_1\phi, \mathcal{G})$  and  $(L, g_2\phi, \mathcal{G})$  are  $\mathcal{G}$ -isogeneous if there exists an element  $h \in \mathcal{G}(B(k))$  such that  $hg_1\phi = g_2\phi h$ .*

For  $g \in \mathcal{G}(W(k))$  let  $D_g$  be the Barsotti–Tate group over  $k$  whose Dieudonné module is  $(L, g\phi, \vartheta g^{-1})$ ; it has the same dimension and codimension as  $D$ . Note that  $D = D_{1_L}$ . Moreover, if  $\mathcal{G} = \mathbf{GL}_L$ , then each Barsotti–Tate group over  $k$  of the same dimension and codimension as  $D$  is isomorphic to  $D_g$  for some  $g \in \mathcal{G}(W(k))$ .

Let  $g_m \in \mathcal{G}(W_m(k))$  be the reduction modulo  $p^m$  of  $g$ . Let

$$\mathbf{Hom}(D[p^m], D_g[p^m])_{\text{crys}}$$

be the group scheme over  $k$  of endomorphisms from  $(L/p^m L, g_m \phi_m, \vartheta_m g_m^{-1})$  to  $(L/p^m L, \phi_m, \vartheta_m)$ . Thus, if  $R$  is a commutative  $k$ -algebra and if  $\sigma_R$  is the Frobenius endomorphism of the ring  $W_m(R)$  of  $p$ -typical Witt vectors of length  $m$  with coefficients in  $R$ , then  $\mathbf{Hom}(D[p^m], D_g[p^m])_{\text{crys}}(R)$  is the group of those  $W_m(R)$ -linear endomorphisms  $\natural$  of  $W_m(R) \otimes_{W_m(k)} L/p^m L$  that satisfy the identities  $(1_{W_m(R)} \otimes \phi_m) \circ \natural^{(\sigma)} = \natural \circ (1_{W_m(R)} \otimes g_m \phi_m)$  and  $\natural^{(\sigma)} \circ (1_{W_m(R)} \otimes \vartheta_m g_m^{-1}) = (1_{W_m(R)} \otimes \vartheta_m) \otimes \natural$ ; here  $\phi_m$  and  $\vartheta_m$  are viewed as  $W_m(k)$ -linear maps  $(L/p^m L)^{(\sigma)} \rightarrow L/p^m L$  and  $L/p^m L \rightarrow (L/p^m L)^{(\sigma)}$  (respectively).

We consider the closed subgroup scheme

$$\mathbf{Hom}(D[p^m], D_g[p^m])_{\text{crys}}^{\mathcal{G}}$$

of  $\mathbf{Hom}(D[p^m], D_g[p^m])_{\text{crys}}$  such that for each commutative  $k$ -algebra  $R$ , the subgroup  $\mathbf{Hom}(D[p^m], D_g[p^m])_{\text{crys}}^{\mathcal{G}}(R)$  of  $\mathbf{Hom}(D[p^m], D_g[p^m])_{\text{crys}}(R)$  consists of all those  $W_m(R)$ -linear endomorphisms  $\natural$  of  $W_m(R) \otimes_{W_m(k)} L/p^m L$  which in fact are elements of  $W_m(R) \otimes_{W_m(k)} \mathfrak{g}/p^m \mathfrak{g}$ . The goal of this section is to prove the following theorem that generalizes the particular case of Theorem 1 in which  $D$  and  $E$  have the same dimension and codimension.

**Theorem 4** *For each  $g \in \mathcal{G}(W(k))$  the following three properties hold:*

(a) *There exists a smallest nonnegative integer  $n_{D, D_g}^{\mathcal{G}}$  such that for all integers  $n \geq n_{D, D_g}^{\mathcal{G}}$  we have an equality*

$$\dim(\mathbf{Hom}(D[p^n], D_g[p^n])_{\text{crys}}^{\mathcal{G}}) = \dim(\mathbf{Hom}(D[p^{n_{D, D_g}^{\mathcal{G}}}], D_g[p^{n_{D, D_g}^{\mathcal{G}}}]_{\text{crys}}^{\mathcal{G}}).$$

Moreover, if  $n_{D, D_g}^{\mathcal{G}} > 0$ , then the finite sequence

$$(\dim(\mathbf{Hom}(D[p^n], D_g[p^n])_{\text{crys}}^{\mathcal{G}}))_{n \in \{1, \dots, n_{D, D_g}^{\mathcal{G}}\}}$$

is strictly increasing.

(b) If  $s_{D,D_g}^{\mathcal{G}} = \dim(\mathbf{Hom}(D[p^{n_{D,D_g}^{\mathcal{G}}}], D_g[p^{n_{D,D_g}^{\mathcal{G}}}]_{\text{crys}})^{\mathcal{G}})$ , then  $s_{D,D_g}^{\mathcal{G}}$  is an isogeny invariant. In other words, for all elements  $g_1, g_2 \in \mathcal{G}(W(k))$  such that  $(L, g_1\phi, \mathcal{G})$  and  $(L, g_2\phi, \mathcal{G})$  are  $\mathcal{G}$ -isogeneous to  $(L, \phi, \mathcal{G})$  and  $(L, g\phi, \mathcal{G})$  (respectively), we have  $s_{D,D_g}^{\mathcal{G}} = s_{D_{g_1}, D_{g_2}}^{\mathcal{G}}$ .

(c) Let  $\text{Tr} : \text{End}_{W(k)}(L) \times \text{End}_{W(k)}(L) \rightarrow W(k)$  be the trace bilinear map that maps a pair  $(a, b) \in \text{End}_{W(k)}(L) \times \text{End}_{W(k)}(L)$  to the trace of the  $W(k)$ -linear endomorphism  $a \circ b : L \rightarrow L$ . We assume that  $\text{Tr}$  restricts to a perfect bilinear map  $\text{Tr}_{\mathfrak{g}} : \mathfrak{g} \times \mathfrak{g} \rightarrow W(k)$  (this forces  $\mathcal{G}$  to be a reductive group scheme over  $W(k)$ ). Then we have the following symmetry properties  $s_{D,D_g}^{\mathcal{G}} = s_{D_g,D}^{\mathcal{G}}$  and  $n_{D,D_g}^{\mathcal{G}} = n_{D_g,D}^{\mathcal{G}}$ .

**Proof:** Let  $L_1$  and  $L_2$  be  $L$ . Let  $E = D \oplus D_g$ ; it is a Barsotti–Tate group over  $k$  whose Dieudonné module is  $(L \oplus L, \phi \oplus g\phi) = (L_1 \oplus L_2, \phi \oplus g\phi)$ . Let  $\mathfrak{h}$  be the Lie subalgebra of  $\text{End}_{W(k)}(L \oplus L) = \text{End}_{W(k)}(L_1 \oplus L_2)$  formed by all those  $W(k)$ -linear endomorphisms of  $L \oplus L = L_1 \oplus L_2$  which annihilate  $L_1$ , which map  $L_2$  into  $L_1$ , and for which the resulting  $W(k)$ -linear map from  $L_2 = L$  to  $L_1 = L$  is an element of  $\mathfrak{g}$ .

Let  $\mathcal{H}$  be the closed subgroup scheme of  $\mathbf{GL}_{L \oplus L}$  with the property that for each commutative  $k$ -algebra  $R$ , we have

$$\mathcal{H}(R) = 1_{W(R) \otimes_{W(k)}(L \oplus L)} + W(R) \otimes_{W(k)} \mathfrak{h}.$$

The Lie algebra of  $\mathcal{H}$  is  $\mathfrak{h}$  and the triple  $(L \oplus L, \phi \oplus g\phi, \mathcal{H})$  is an  $F$ -crystal with a group over  $k$ .

For  $m \in \mathbb{N}^*$  let  $\mathbf{Aut}(D[p^m] \oplus D_g[p^m])_{\text{crys}}^{\mathcal{H}}$  be the group scheme over  $k$  defined in [GV], Definition 2 (a). We have a canonical identification

$$\mathbf{Hom}(D[p^m], D_g[p^m])_{\text{crys}}^{\mathcal{G}} = \mathbf{Aut}(D[p^m] \oplus D_g[p^m])_{\text{crys}}^{\mathcal{H}} \quad (14)$$

of affine group schemes over  $k$ , which for a commutative  $k$ -algebra  $R$  maps  $\mathfrak{h} \in \mathbf{Hom}(D[p^m], D_g[p^m])_{\text{crys}}^{\mathcal{G}}(R)$  to  $1_{W(R) \otimes_{W(k)}(L \oplus L)} + \mathfrak{h}$ , where  $\mathfrak{h}$  is identified with a  $W_m(R)$ -linear endomorphism of  $W_m(R) \otimes_{W_m(k)}(L \oplus L) = W_m(R) \otimes_{W_m(k)}(L_1 \oplus L_2)$  which annihilates  $W_m(R) \otimes_{W_m(k)} L_1$  and which maps  $W_m(R) \otimes_{W_m(k)} L_2$  to  $W_m(R) \otimes_{W_m(k)} L_1$  in the same way as  $\mathfrak{h}$  does.

As the product of two elements of  $\mathfrak{h}$  is 0,  $W(k)1_L + \mathfrak{h}$  is a  $W(k)$ -subalgebra of  $\text{End}_{W(k)}(L \oplus L)$  and therefore the hypothesis of [GV], Theorem 6 holds for the triple  $(L \oplus L, \phi \oplus g\phi, \mathcal{H})$ . Therefore the fact that (a) holds follows from [GV], Proposition 2 (c) and Theorem 6 and the Equation (14).

In order to prove (b) and (c), we can assume that  $k$  is algebraically closed and we first consider the  $\sigma$ -linear isomorphisms

$$\phi_{D, D_g}, \phi_{D_g, D} : \mathfrak{g}\left[\frac{1}{p}\right] \rightarrow \mathfrak{g}\left[\frac{1}{p}\right]$$

which map  $h \in \mathfrak{g}\left[\frac{1}{p}\right]$  to  $\phi \circ h \circ \phi^{-1} g^{-1}$  and  $g \phi \circ h \circ \phi^{-1}$  (respectively). For all  $x, y \in \text{End}_{W(k)}(L)$  we have an identity

$$\sigma(\text{Tr}(x, y)) = \text{Tr}(\phi_{D, D_g}(x), \phi_{D_g, D}(y)). \quad (15)$$

Thus the fact that  $\text{Tr}_{\mathfrak{g}}$  is perfect implies that  $\text{Tr}_{\mathfrak{g}}$  induces an isomorphism of latticed  $F$ -isocrystals from the dual of  $(\mathfrak{g}, \phi_{D_g, D})$  to  $(\mathfrak{g}, \phi_{D, D_g})$ . Based on this, the proofs of (b) and (c) are entirely analogous to the proofs of Subsections 3.1 and 3.2, with the roles of  $L$  and  $J$  being replaced by  $L = L_1$  and  $L = L_2$  (respectively) and with the roles of  $\text{Hom}_{W(k)}(J, L)$  and  $\text{Hom}_{W(k)}(L, J)$  being replaced by the Lie subalgebra  $\mathfrak{g}$  of  $\text{End}_{W(k)}(L) = \text{Hom}_{W(k)}(L_2, L_1)$  and of  $\text{End}_{W(k)}(L) = \text{Hom}_{W(k)}(L_1, L_2)$  (respectively). We would only like to add that, due to the axiom (AX1), with the notations  $\text{Hom}_{W(k)}(J, L)^{\flat}$  and  $\text{Hom}_{W(k)}(J, L)^{\sharp}$  of Subsections 3.1 and 3.2 but used under the mentioned replacement of roles, for  $\diamond \in \{\{D_g, D\}, \{D, D_g\}\}$  we take  $\mathfrak{g}_{\diamond}^{\flat}$  to be

$$\mathfrak{g} \cap \text{Hom}_{W(k)}(L_2, L_1)^{\flat} = \{x \in \mathfrak{g} \mid \phi_{\diamond}(x) \in \text{Hom}_{W(k)}(L_2, L_1)\} = \mathfrak{g} \cap \phi_{\diamond}^{-1}(\mathfrak{g})$$

and we take  $\mathfrak{g}_{\diamond}^{\sharp}$  to be

$$\mathfrak{g}\left[\frac{1}{p}\right] \cap \text{Hom}_{W(k)}(L_2, L_1)^{\sharp} = \mathfrak{g} + \left(\mathfrak{g}\left[\frac{1}{p}\right] \cap \phi_{\diamond}(\text{Hom}_{W(k)}(L_2, L_1))\right) = \mathfrak{g} + \phi_{\diamond}(\mathfrak{g}).$$

Note that the dual of  $\mathfrak{g}_{D, D_g}^{\sharp}$  is  $\mathfrak{g}_{D_g, D}^{\flat}$ .  $\square$

**Acknowledgement.** The second author would like to thank Binghamton University, U.S.A., Mathematisches Forschungsinstitut Oberwolfach, Germany, I.H.E.S., Bures-sur-Yvette, France, and I.I.S.E.R., Thiruvananthapuram, India for good working conditions.

## References

- [B] L. Begueri, *Dualité sur un corps local à corps résiduel algébriquement clos*, Mém. Soc. Math. France (N.S.) 1980/81, no. 4

- [BD] M. Boyarchenko and V. Drinfeld, *Character sheaves on unipotent groups in positive characteristic: foundations*, *Selecta Math. (N.S.)* **20** (2014), no. 1, 125–235
- [GV] O. Gabber and A. Vasiu, *Dimensions of group schemes of automorphisms of truncated Barsotti–Tate groups*, *Int. Math. Res. Not. IMRN* **2013**, no. 18, 4285–4333
- [I] L. Illusie, *Déformations de groupes de Barsotti–Tate (d’après A. Grothendieck)*, *Séminaire sur les pinceaux arithmétiques: la conjecture de Mordell* (Paris, 1983/84), 151–198, *Astérisque* **127**, Soc. Math. de France, Paris, 1985
- [LNV] E. Lau, M.-H. Nicole, and A. Vasiu, *Stratifications of Newton polygon strata and Traverso’s conjectures for  $p$ -divisible groups*, *Ann. of Math. (2)* **178** (2013), no. 3, 789–834
- [S1] J.-P. Serre, *Groupes proalgébriques*, *Inst. Hautes Études Sci. Publ. Math. No. 7*, 1960
- [S2] J.-P. Serre, *Trees*, Springer-Verlag, Berlin-New York, 1980
- [V1] A. Vasiu, *Crystalline boundedness principle*, *Ann. Sci. École Norm. Sup.* **39** (2006), no. 2, 245–300
- [V2] A. Vasiu, *Level  $m$  stratifications of versal deformations of  $p$ -divisible groups*, *J. Alg. Geom.* **17** (2008), no. 4, 599–641

Ofer Gabber, E-mail: gabber@ihes.fr  
 Address: IHÉS, Le Bois-Marie, 35, Route de Chartres,  
 F-91440 Bures-sur-Yvette, France.

Adrian Vasiu, E-mail: adrian@math.binghamton.edu  
 Address: Department of Mathematical Sciences, Binghamton University,  
 P. O. Box 6000, Binghamton, New York 13902-6000, U.S.A.