

A basis for subspace W gives us a parameterization of W and coordinates for each vector $w \in W$. In the last example,

$$W = \{rw_1 + sw_2 + tw_3 \in F_2^2 \mid r, s, t \in F\}$$

$$= \left\{ r \begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ 0 \end{bmatrix} + s \begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ 1 \end{bmatrix} + t \begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ 0 \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} (r+t) \\ (s+t) \end{bmatrix} \in F_2^2 \mid r, s, t \in F \right\}$$

but another description of W is by a consistency condition required for $\begin{bmatrix} a \\ c \end{bmatrix} \in W$.

Solve $\left[\begin{array}{ccc|c} 1 & 0 & 1 & a \\ 1 & 0 & 0 & b \\ 0 & 1 & 1 & c \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & d \end{array} \right] \xrightarrow{\text{r.r.}} \left[\begin{array}{ccc|c} 1 & 0 & 0 & b \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & d \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & c-d \\ 1 & 0 & 1 & a \end{array} \right] \rightarrow \left[\begin{array}{ccc|c} 1 & 0 & 0 & b \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & d \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & c-d \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & a-b-c+d \end{array} \right]$

So $W = \left\{ \begin{bmatrix} a \\ c \end{bmatrix} \in F_2^2 \mid 0 = a - b - c + d \right\}$.

consistent when $0 = a - b - c + d$

For basis $B = \{w_1 = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix}, w_2 = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ 1 & 1 \end{bmatrix}, w_3 = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 \end{bmatrix}\}$ 138.5
of W we have coordinate map

$[\cdot]_B : W \rightarrow F^3$ defined by $[w]_B = \begin{bmatrix} r \\ s \\ t \end{bmatrix}$ when
 $w = \begin{bmatrix} (r+t) & r \\ (s+t) & s \end{bmatrix} \in W$.

Question: Can we extend B to get a basis of F_2^2 ? What would be required of $w_4 = \begin{bmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{bmatrix}$ so that $B \cup \{w_4\} = \{w_1, w_2, w_3, w_4\}$ is a basis of F_2^2 ? Need it to be indep and to span F_2^2 .

Both true iff $\text{rank} \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 & 1 & a \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & b \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & c \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & d \end{bmatrix} = 4$ but from

the last page that happens iff $a-b-c+d \neq 0$
iff $w_4 \notin W$.

There are infinitely many choices of $w_4 \in W$, but some stand out as being very simple, for example, any of the standard basis vectors of F_2^2 . 138.6

General theory about extending an indep set to a basis:

Th. Let $S \subset V$ be an indep. subset of vector space V . Then there is a subset T with $S \subseteq T \subset V$ and T is a basis of V .

Lemma: If $S \subset V$ is indep and $v \in V$ then $T = S \cup \{v\}$ is indep iff $v \notin \langle S \rangle$ iff $\langle S \rangle \neq \langle T \rangle$.

Pf. Suppose T is indep. but $v \in \langle S \rangle$.

Write $v = \sum_{i=1}^m c_i s_i$ for some $s_i \in S$, $c_i \in F$. 138.7

Then $\theta = \sum_{i=1}^m c_i s_i - v$ is a lin. comb. from T with not all coefficients 0, contradicting T indep,

so T indep $\Rightarrow v \notin \langle S \rangle$. Conversely, suppose

$v \notin \langle S \rangle$ for S indep but T is dep. Let a

dep. rel. on T be written $\theta = \sum_{i=1}^m c_i s_i + c v$

for some $s_i \in S$, $c, c_i \in F$. If $c = 0$ this is a dep

rel. on S , so $c \neq 0$, and then we can write

$v = -c^{-1} \sum_{i=1}^m c_i s_i = \sum_{i=1}^m \frac{-c_i}{c} s_i \in \langle S \rangle$ a contradiction.

Now we prove that $v \notin \langle S \rangle$ iff $\langle S \rangle \not\subseteq \langle T \rangle$.

Since $S \subseteq T$ we know $\langle S \rangle \subseteq \langle T \rangle$. To get 138.8
 $\langle S \rangle \neq \langle T \rangle$ we just need to show $\langle T \rangle$ contains
some vector not in $\langle S \rangle$. Of course, $v \in T$ is given
so $v \in \langle T \rangle$. If $v \notin \langle S \rangle$ then $\langle S \rangle \neq \langle T \rangle$ is
true. Now show that $v \in \langle S \rangle$ implies $\langle S \rangle = \langle T \rangle$.

Write $v = \sum_{j=1}^n d_j s_j \in \langle S \rangle$. Then $\forall w \in \langle T \rangle$ we can
write $w = \sum_{i=1}^m c_i s'_i + c v$ for some $s'_i \in S, c, c_i \in F$.

By substitution of the formula for $v \in \langle S \rangle$ get
 $w = \sum_{i=1}^m c_i s'_i + c \sum_{j=1}^n d_j s_j \in \langle S \rangle$. This means

$\langle T \rangle \subseteq \langle S \rangle$ so they must be equal. \square

Pf. of extension Thm. Let $S \subset V$ be indep. (138.9)

If $\langle S \rangle = V$ then $T = S$ is a basis of V .

If $\langle S \rangle \neq V$ then $\exists v_1 \in V$ with $v_1 \notin \langle S \rangle$ so

$T_1 = S \cup \{v_1\}$ is indep and $\langle S \rangle \subsetneq \langle T_1 \rangle$.

If $\langle T_1 \rangle = V$ then T_1 is the desired basis of V .

If $\langle T_1 \rangle \neq V$ then $\exists v_2 \in V$ with $v_2 \notin \langle T_1 \rangle$ so

$T_2 = T_1 \cup \{v_2\}$ is indep and $\langle T_1 \rangle \subsetneq \langle T_2 \rangle$.

If $\langle T_2 \rangle = V$ then T_2 is the desired basis of V .

If $\langle T_2 \rangle \neq V$ then $\exists v_3 \in V$ with $v_3 \notin \langle T_2 \rangle$ so

$T_3 = T_2 \cup \{v_3\}$ is indep and $\langle T_2 \rangle \subsetneq \langle T_3 \rangle$.

Continue this way getting bigger indep. sets until get a basis for V . \square

Th: Let $S = \{v_1, \dots, v_n\}$ and $T = \{w_1, \dots, w_m\}$ 138.91
 both be bases of vector space V . Then $m = n$.
Pf. Since $\langle S \rangle = V$ and $w_1 \in V$, get that list
 $\{w_1\} \cup S = \{w_1, v_1, \dots, v_n\}$ is dep. so $\theta = c_1 w_1 + \sum_{j=1}^n d_j v_j$
 for coefficients not all zero. Claim that $j=1$
 for some $1 \leq j \leq n$, $d_j \neq 0$. otherwise $\theta = c_1 w_1$
 and $c_1 \neq 0$ contradicts T indep. By renumbering
 the vectors in S we may say $d_n \neq 0$ so
 $v_n \in \langle w_1, v_1, \dots, v_{n-1} \rangle$ is redundant and
 $S_1 = \{w_1, v_1, \dots, v_{n-1}\}$ spans V . Since $w_2 \in V$ get list
 $\{w_2\} \cup S_1 = \{w_1, w_2, v_1, \dots, v_{n-1}\}$ is dep so $\theta = c_1 w_1 + c_2 w_2 + \sum_{j=1}^{n-1} d_j v_j$

with not all coeff's zero. Claim: 138.92
for some $1 \leq j \leq n-1$, $d_j \neq 0$, since otherwise
 $\theta = c_1 w_1 + c_2 w_2$ with not both coeffs. 0, so $\{w_1, w_2\}$
dep. contradicts T indep. For convenience we
may renumber v_1, \dots, v_{n-1} so that $d_{n-1} \neq 0$.
As before, get $v_{n-1} \in \langle w_1, w_2, v_1, \dots, v_{n-2} \rangle$
is redundant so $S_2 = \{w_1, w_2, v_1, \dots, v_{n-2}\}$ spans
 V . Can continue in this way replacing a
 v_j by a w_i . Suppose $m > n$, so after n
steps of replacement, get $S_n = \{w_1, \dots, w_n\}$
spans V . But $n < m$ means $\exists w_{n+1} \in T$ and
 $w_{n+1} \in \langle S_n \rangle$ so $\{w_1, \dots, w_n, w_{n+1}\}$ is dep.

contradicts T indep. Thus $m \leq n$. 138.93

The same argument applied with S and T switched says $n \leq m$ is also true, so $m = n$.

This means we can define $\dim(V)$ to be the number of vectors in any basis of V . \square

Ex: For $W = \langle B \rangle$ on p. 138.3, $\dim(W) = 3$ but $\dim(F_2^2) = 4$.

Th. Assume V has $\dim(V) = n$ finite.

Let $W \leq V$. Then $W = V$ iff $\dim(W) = \dim(V)$. Generally, $\dim(W) \leq \dim(V)$.

Pf. If $W=V$ then any basis of W (138.94)
is a basis of V so $\dim(W) = \dim(V)$.

If $W \subsetneq V$ then can extend a basis
 $S = \{w_1, \dots, w_m\}$ for W to a basis for V
by adding at least one vector $v \in V$ but
 $v \notin W = \langle S \rangle$, so $\dim(W) = m < m+1 \leq$
 $\dim(V)$. \square
