

h. Suppose $|\lambda I_n - A| = \prod_{i=1}^r (\lambda - \lambda_i)^{k_i}$ for distinct $\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_r \in \mathbb{R}$, $i=1$ and recall that $g_i = \dim(A_{\lambda_i}) = \text{geom. mult. of } \lambda_i \text{ for } A$. Then $1 \leq g_i \leq k_i$ for each $1 \leq i \leq r$. 200

Cor: A is diag-able iff each $g_i = k_i$.

Practical Application: If $k_i = 1$ then $g_i = 1$, but if $1 < k_i$ there is a chance that $1 \leq g_i < k_i$. Check largest k_i first. If any $g_i < k_i$ then A not diag-able, can stop process. Don't waste time on finding other e-vectors if A not diag-able.

Def: For $L: V \rightarrow V$, S any basis of V , $A = {}_S[L]_S$, let char. poly. of L be $|\lambda I_n - A|$.
If T is any other basis of V , let $B = {}_T[L]_T$ so $B = P^{-1}AP$ for $P = {}_S P_T$ (transition matrix). Then we know $|\lambda I_n - B| = |\lambda I_n - A|$ is the same char. poly. giving a consistent definition of char. poly. for L .

Possible Notations: $\text{Char}_A(\lambda) = |\lambda I_n - A|$
Some books use $= \text{Char}_L(\lambda)$

$\Delta_A(\lambda)$ or $p_A(\lambda)$ for char. poly of A .

When does a quadratic poly factor into 2 or linear factors?

Let poly. be $a\lambda^2 + b\lambda + c$. Quadratic formula for roots is $\frac{-b \pm \sqrt{b^2 - 4ac}}{2a}$ which

is real iff $b^2 - 4ac \geq 0$.

discriminant of poly is $b^2 - 4ac$.

If $b^2 - 4ac < 0$ then get only a pair of Complex roots, not real roots, so poly does not factor over \mathbb{R} .

If $b^2 - 4ac = 0$ get one real root, repeated

like $\lambda^2 - 4\lambda + 4 = (\lambda - 2)^2$

$$b^2 - 4ac = 16 - 4(1)(4) = 0$$

EX: $A = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 \\ -1 & 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix}$ so $A - \lambda I_3 = \begin{bmatrix} 1-\lambda & 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 1-\lambda & 0 \\ -1 & 0 & 1-\lambda \end{bmatrix}$ 203

$$|A - \lambda I_3| = (1-\lambda) \begin{vmatrix} 1-\lambda & 1 \\ -1 & 1-\lambda \end{vmatrix} = -(\lambda-1)((1-\lambda)^2 + 1)$$

(cofactor expansion along row 2)

$$= -(\lambda-1)(\lambda^2 - 2\lambda + 2)$$

but $b^2 - 4ac = 4 - 4(1)(2)$

so $\lambda^2 - 2\lambda + 2$ has no real roots. = -4 < 0

only got one real e-value, $\lambda_1 = 1$, $\kappa_1 = 1$, $g_1 = 1$
could not get an e-basis of \mathbb{R}^3 for A .

This A is not diag-able over \mathbb{R} .

Ex: $A = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix}$ so $A - \lambda I_3 = \begin{bmatrix} 1-\lambda & 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 1-\lambda & 0 \\ 1 & 0 & 1-\lambda \end{bmatrix}$ (204)

$$|A - \lambda I_3| = (1-\lambda) \begin{vmatrix} 1-\lambda & 1 \\ 1 & 1-\lambda \end{vmatrix} = -(\lambda-1)((1-\lambda)^2 - 1)$$

$$= -(\lambda-1)(\lambda^2 - 2\lambda) = -(\lambda-1)(\lambda)(\lambda-2)$$
 has three

distinct roots: order by inc. size:

$$\lambda_1 = 0, \lambda_2 = 1, \lambda_3 = 2, \text{ alg. mult.s:}$$

$$k_1 = 1, k_2 = 1, k_3 = 1, \text{ so geom. mults:}$$

$g_1 = 1, g_2 = 1, g_3 = 1$. Guaranteed to get one e-basis vector for each e-value, indep, e-basis of \mathbb{R}^3 for A . Find P s.t.

$$P^{-1}AP = D = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 2 \end{bmatrix} \text{ is diagonal.}$$

$\lambda_1 = 0$: Get A_0 : Solve $\left[\begin{array}{ccc|c} 1 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 & 1 & 0 \end{array} \right] \rightarrow \left[\begin{array}{ccc|c} 1 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \end{array} \right]$ 205

$x_1 = -r$
 $x_2 = 0$
 $x_3 = r \in \mathbb{R}$

$A_0 = \left\{ \begin{bmatrix} -r \\ 0 \\ r \end{bmatrix} \in \mathbb{R}^3 \mid r \in \mathbb{R} \right\}$ has basis $T_1 = \left\{ \begin{bmatrix} -1 \\ 0 \\ 1 \end{bmatrix} \right\}$

$\lambda_2 = 1$: Get A_1 : Solve $\left[\begin{array}{ccc|c} 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \end{array} \right] \rightarrow \left[\begin{array}{ccc|c} 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \end{array} \right]$ $x_1 = 0$
 $x_2 = r \in \mathbb{R}$
 $x_3 = 0$

$A_1 = \left\{ \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ r \\ 0 \end{bmatrix} \in \mathbb{R}^3 \mid r \in \mathbb{R} \right\}$ basis $T_2 = \left\{ \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ 1 \\ 0 \end{bmatrix} \right\}$

$\lambda_3 = 2$: Get A_2 : Solve $\left[\begin{array}{ccc|c} -1 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & -1 & 0 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 & -1 & 0 \end{array} \right] \rightarrow \left[\begin{array}{ccc|c} 1 & 0 & -1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \end{array} \right]$ $x_1 = r$
 $x_2 = 0$
 $x_3 = r \in \mathbb{R}$

$A_2 = \left\{ \begin{bmatrix} r \\ 0 \\ r \end{bmatrix} \in \mathbb{R}^3 \mid r \in \mathbb{R} \right\}$ has basis $T_3 = \left\{ \begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ 0 \\ 1 \end{bmatrix} \right\}$.

So e-basis $T = \left\{ \begin{bmatrix} -1 \\ 0 \\ 1 \end{bmatrix}, \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ 1 \\ 0 \end{bmatrix}, \begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ 0 \\ 1 \end{bmatrix} \right\}$ and 206

$$P = S^T = \begin{bmatrix} -1 & 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix} \text{ should make } P^{-1}AP = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 2 \end{bmatrix} = D$$

Easier to check that AP = PD

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix} & \begin{bmatrix} -1 & 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix} & = & \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0 & 2 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 2 \end{bmatrix} & = & \begin{bmatrix} -1 & 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix} & \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 2 \end{bmatrix} \\ A & P & & & P & & D. \end{array}$$

Pf. Show that $g_i \leq k_i$ where $\text{Char}_A(\lambda) = \prod_{i=1}^r (\lambda - \lambda_i)^{k_i}$ and $g_i = \dim(A_{\lambda_i})$. We can just do the case $g_1 \leq k_1$ since the rest are similar. Let $T_1 = \{w_{11}, \dots, w_{1g_1}\}$ be a basis of A_{λ_1} and extend T_1 to a basis of F^n , $T = \{w_{11}, \dots, w_{1g_1}, v_1, \dots, v_{n-g_1}\}$. Since $Aw_{1j} = \lambda_1 w_{1j}$ for $1 \leq j \leq g_1$, $L_A: F^n \rightarrow F^n$ has values $L_A(w_{1j}) = Aw_{1j} = \lambda_1 w_{1j}$ so $[L_A(w_{1j})]_T = \lambda_1 e_j$. means the matrix $B = {}_T[L_A]_T = P^{-1}AP$ for $P = {}_sP_T$ has a block form $B = \begin{bmatrix} \lambda_1 I_{g_1} & C \\ 0 & D \end{bmatrix}$.

$$\begin{aligned}
 \text{Then } \det(\lambda I_n - B) &= \det \left[\begin{array}{c|c} (\lambda - \lambda_1) I_{g_1} & -C \\ \hline 0 & (\lambda I_{n-g_1} - D) \end{array} \right] \quad |208 \\
 &= \det((\lambda - \lambda_1) I_{g_1}) \cdot \det(\lambda I_{n-g_1} - D) \\
 &= (\lambda - \lambda_1)^{g_1} \det(\lambda I_{n-g_1} - D) \quad \text{because of the block} \\
 &\quad \text{(upper) triangular form. } \det(\lambda I_{n-g_1} - D) = \text{Char}_D(\lambda) \\
 &\quad \text{is some poly. of degree } n-g_1, \text{ which may or may} \\
 &\quad \text{not contain more factors of } (\lambda - \lambda_1), \text{ but we} \\
 &\quad \text{certainly have at least } (\lambda - \lambda_1)^{g_1} \text{ as a factor in} \\
 \det(\lambda I_n - B) &= \det(\lambda I_n - A) = \text{Char}_A(\lambda), \text{ so } g_1 \leq k_1.
 \end{aligned}$$

Sums and Direct sums of subspaces: (209)

Def. For $W_1, \dots, W_t \leq V$ (subspaces of V), let $W_1 + \dots + W_t = \{w_1 + \dots + w_t \in V \mid w_i \in W_i \text{ for } 1 \leq i \leq t\}$ be their sum. Say the sum is direct when each vector in the sum has a unique expression as above (keeping the order of terms fixed).

Th. $\sum_{i=1}^t W_i \leq V$ and the sum is direct iff

$$W_i \cap \left(\sum_{j \neq i} W_j \right) = \{0\} \text{ for each } 1 \leq i \leq t.$$

Pf. It is easy to check closure of the sum under $+$ and \cdot , and that 0 is in it. Let $w = \sum_{i=1}^t w_i = \sum_{i=1}^t w'_i$ then for each $1 \leq i \leq t$ we have $w_i = w'_i$ if sum is dir.

If $w_i = \sum_{j \neq i} w_j \neq \theta$ it would contradict the 210 uniqueness of expression. Conversely, assuming all those intersections are $\{\theta\}$ prove the sum is direct as follows. Let $\sum_{i=1}^t w_i = \sum_{i=1}^t w_i'$ be two distinct expressions for the same w . Say $w_1 \neq w_1'$ so $w_1 - w_1' = \sum_{i=2}^t (w_i' - w_i) \neq \theta$ but the left side is in W_1 and the right side is in $\sum_{i=2}^t W_i$ so the intersection is non-trivial. \square

Note: For $t=2$, $W_1 + W_2$ is a direct sum iff $W_1 \cap W_2 = \{\theta\}$ but for $t=3$, $W_1 + W_2 + W_3$ is direct iff $W_1 \cap (W_2 + W_3) = \{\theta\} = W_2 \cap (W_1 + W_3) = W_3 \cap (W_1 + W_2)$

Notation: When a sum of subspaces is direct [211]
we write it $W_1 \oplus W_2 \oplus \dots \oplus W_t = \bigoplus_{i=1}^t W_i$

Th: Suppose $T_i = \{w_{i1}, \dots, w_{id_i}\}$ is a basis of W_i .

Then $W = \sum_{i=1}^t W_i$ is direct iff $T_1 \cup \dots \cup T_t$ (as a list)
is a basis for W , so $\dim(W) = \sum_{i=1}^t d_i$.

Pf. Exercise, probably in our textbook.

Cor. If $L: V \rightarrow V$ has distinct e-values $\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_r$
and $L_{\lambda_1}, \dots, L_{\lambda_r}$ are the corresponding e-spaces,
then their sum is direct, $L_{\lambda_1} \oplus \dots \oplus L_{\lambda_r} \subseteq V$.

Def. For $L: V \rightarrow V$ say subsp. $W \subseteq V$ is L -invariant
when $L(W) \subseteq W$. Then $L|_W: W \rightarrow W$ is def'd $L|_W(w) = L(w)$
 $\forall w \in W$

Th: Suppose $L: V \rightarrow V$ and $V = W_1 \oplus \dots \oplus W_t$ [212]
 for L -invar. subspaces $W_i \subseteq V$. Let $L|_{W_i} = L_i$,
 and let T_i be a basis for W_i , $T = T_1 \cup \dots \cup T_t$.

Then ${}_T[L]_T =$

${}_T[L]_T$	${}_T[L_1]_{T_1}$	0	0
0	${}_T[L_2]_{T_2}$	\dots	0
0	0	\dots	${}_T[L_t]_{T_t}$

 is the block diagonal matrix whose

blocks are ${}_T[L_i]_{T_i}$ for $1 \leq i \leq t$.

Pf. Follows from the algorithm to find ${}_T[L]_T$
 and for each ${}_T[L_i]_{T_i}$.

Th: Let $W_1, W_2 \subseteq V$ be fin. dim'l subspaces. [213]

Then $W_1 + W_2$ is fin. dim'l and

$$\dim(W_1 + W_2) = \dim(W_1) + \dim(W_2) - \dim(W_1 \cap W_2).$$

Pf. Let $W_1 \cap W_2$ have basis $R = \{u_1, \dots, u_r\}$.

Extend R to a basis of W_1 : $S = \{u_1, \dots, u_r, v_1, \dots, v_a\}$.

Extend R to a basis of W_2 : $T = \{u_1, \dots, u_r, w_1, \dots, w_t\}$

Claim: $U = \{u_1, \dots, u_r, v_1, \dots, v_a, w_1, \dots, w_t\}$ is a basis of

$W_1 + W_2$. If so, this gives the result we want:

$$\begin{aligned} \dim(W_1 + W_2) &= r + a + t = (r + a) + (r + t) - r \\ &= \dim(W_1) + \dim(W_2) - \dim(W_1 \cap W_2). \end{aligned}$$

U spans $W_1 + W_2$ since any element in $W_1 + W_2$ is a sum $\left(\sum_{i=1}^r a_i u_i + \sum_{j=1}^a b_j v_j \right) + \left(\sum_{i=1}^r c_i u_i + \sum_{k=1}^t d_k w_k \right) \in \langle U \rangle$.

To show \mathcal{U} is indep, suppose

$$\theta = \sum_{i=1}^r a_i u_i + \sum_{j=1}^s b_j v_j + \sum_{k=1}^t c_k w_k \quad \text{then } v =$$

214

$$\underbrace{- \sum_{k=1}^t c_k w_k}_{\in W_2} = \underbrace{\sum_{i=1}^r a_i u_i + \sum_{j=1}^s b_j v_j}_{\in W_1} \in W_1 \cap W_2 \text{ since}$$

so $v \in \langle R \rangle$ can be

written as $v = \sum_{i=1}^r d_i u_i$ giving $\theta = \sum_{i=1}^r d_i u_i + \sum_{k=1}^t c_k w_k$

a dep. rel. on basis T so all $d_i = 0$ and all $c_k = 0$.

Then $\theta = \sum_{i=1}^r a_i u_i + \sum_{j=1}^s b_j v_j$ is a dep. rel. on basis S , so all $a_i = 0$ and all $b_j = 0$. This gives indep. of \mathcal{U} . \square

Application. If $\dim(V) = 10$, $\dim(W_1) = 7$ and $\dim(W_2) = 6$, what are all possibilities for $\dim(W_1 \cap W_2)$?