

Th. (2nd Isom. Th.) Let $W \leq V$, $\pi_W: V \rightarrow V/W$. 233

Each subspace of V/W is of the form $U/W = \{[u]_W \in V/W \mid u \in U\}$ for $W \leq U \leq V$. There is an isomorphism $(V/W)/(U/W) \cong V/U$.

Th. Suppose $L: V \rightarrow V$ and $W \leq V$ is L -invariant. Then there is an induced lin. map $\bar{L}: V/W \rightarrow V/W$ such that $\bar{L}([v]_W) = [L(v)]_W$.

Pf. The diagram for this situation is:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} V & \xrightarrow{L} & V \\ \downarrow \pi_W & & \downarrow \pi_W \\ V/W & \xrightarrow{\bar{L}} & V/W \end{array}$$

To check if the formula is a well-defined condition

suppose $[v]_W = [v']_W$ so $v - v' \in W$ so $L(v) - L(v') \in W$ so $[L(v)]_W = [L(v')]_W$. \square

Th. Let $L: V \rightarrow V$, $W \subseteq V$ an L -invar. subsp., [234]
 $R = \{v_1, \dots, v_r\}$ a basis of W , extended to a basis
 $S = \{v_1, \dots, v_r, v_{r+1}, \dots, v_n\}$ of V . Then $T = \{[v_{r+1}]_W, \dots, [v_n]_W\}$
 is a basis of V/W and ${}_S[L]_S = \left[\begin{array}{c|c} A & B \\ \hline 0 & D \end{array} \right] \in F_n^n$ where
 $A = {}_R[L|_W]_R \in F_r^r$ and $D = {}_T[\bar{L}]_T$.

Pf. Exercise.

Cor. If $f(t) \in F[t]$ and $f(L) = 0_V^V$ then $f(\bar{L}) = 0_{V/W}^{V/W}$
 with notations and assumptions as in the Theorem above.

Pf. $\forall v \in V$, $f(L)v = 0_V$ so $f(\bar{L})[v]_W = [f(L)v]_W = [0_V]_W$

From the matrix point of view, $f({}_S[L]_S)$ has block form

$$\left[\begin{array}{c|c} f(A) & * \\ \hline 0 & f(D) \end{array} \right] = \left[\begin{array}{c|c} 0_r^r & 0 \\ \hline 0 & 0_{n-r}^{n-r} \end{array} \right] \text{ so } f(A) = 0_r^r \text{ and } f(D) = 0_{n-r}^{n-r}.$$

□

Cor. With notations and assumptions as in The 235
 Theorem above, $\text{Char}_L(t) = \text{Char}_{L|W}(t) \cdot \text{Char}_{\bar{L}}(t) =$
 $\text{Char}_A(t) \cdot \text{Char}_D(t)$. Also, $m_{\bar{L}}(t) = m_D(t)$ divides
 $m_L(t)$.

Pf. $\text{Char}_L(t) = \det(tI_n - {}_s[L]_s) = \det \left[\begin{array}{c|c} tI_r - A & -B \\ \hline 0 & tI_{n-r} - D \end{array} \right]$
 $= \det(tI_r - A) \cdot \det(tI_{n-r} - D) = \text{Char}_A(t) \cdot \text{Char}_D(t)$.

From last Cor., since $m_L(L) = 0_v^v$ get $m_L(\bar{L}) = 0_{v|w}^{v|w}$
 so $m_{\bar{L}}(t)$ divides $m_L(t)$. \square

Th: Suppose $A = \text{diag}(B_1, \dots, B_r) \in F_n^n$ is in block
 diagonal form. Then $\text{Char}_A(t) = \prod_{i=1}^r \text{Char}_{B_i}(t)$
 and $m_A(t) = \text{l.c.m.}(m_{B_1}(t), \dots, m_{B_r}(t))$.

Pf. The first part follows as in the last proof.

The second part follows from the fact that 236
for any $f(t) \in F[t]$, $f(A) = \text{diag}(f(B_1), \dots, f(B_r))$. \square

Jordan Form:

Def. For $\lambda \in F$, define a Jordan block $n \times n$ matrix
 $J(\lambda, n) = \begin{bmatrix} \lambda & & & 0 \\ & \lambda & & \\ & & \ddots & \\ 0 & & & \lambda \end{bmatrix} = \lambda I_n + \sum_{i=1}^{n-1} E_{i(i+1)} \in F_n^n$ for $n \geq 2$

and $J(\lambda, 1) = [\lambda] \in F_1^1$.

Facts: $\text{Char}_{J(\lambda, n)}(t) = (t - \lambda)^n = m_{J(\lambda, n)}(t)$.

The first "=" is clear. Writing $J = J(\lambda, n)$,
 $N = J - \lambda I_n$ is nilpotent and the least power
of N that gives 0_n^n is $N^n = 0_n^n$.