

Since for each choice of $m, n \geq 1$ there is a 58
 different map, \mathcal{L} should carry the labels

$$\mathcal{L}_n^m : F_n^m \rightarrow \text{Lin}(F^n, F^m). \text{ We also have}$$

$$\mathcal{L}_p^n : F_p^n \rightarrow \text{Lin}(F^p, F^n) \text{ and}$$

$$\mathcal{L}_p^m : F_p^m \rightarrow \text{Lin}(F^p, F^m). \text{ Composition gives}$$

$$\circ : \text{Lin}(F^p, F^n) \times \text{Lin}(F^n, F^m) \rightarrow \text{Lin}(F^p, F^m)$$

by $(K, L) \longmapsto L \circ K$

$$\begin{array}{ccc} F^p & \xrightarrow{K} & F^n \\ & \searrow & \downarrow L \\ L \circ K & & F^m \end{array}$$

Matrix multiplication is the map

159

$$\therefore F_n^m \times F_p^n \longrightarrow F_p^m \text{ by}$$

$$(A, B) \longmapsto AB$$

and the related

which gives all linear maps as

$A \in F_n^m$ varies,
 $B \in F_p^n$ varies.

diagram

$$F_p \xrightarrow{LB} F^n$$

$$\downarrow LA \\ F^m$$

$$LAB = LA \circ LB$$

Get:

$$(A, B)$$

$$F_n^m \times F_p^n$$

$$\xrightarrow{AB} F_p^m$$

$$\downarrow L_n^m$$

$$\downarrow L_p^n$$

$$\downarrow L_p^m$$

$$\text{Lin}(F_n^m, F_p^m) \times \text{Lin}(F_p^n, F_n^n) \longrightarrow$$

$$\text{Lin}(F_p^m, F_p^m)$$

$$(L_A, L_B)$$

$$L_{AB} = L_A \circ L_B$$

Let's look closer at the special case $\boxed{60}$
 $\mathcal{U} = \text{Lin}(V, V) = \text{End}(V) = \{L: V \rightarrow V \mid L \text{ lin.}\}$
 (endomorphisms of V). Under composition \circ
 \mathcal{U} is closed, $V \xrightarrow{\kappa} V$ and it is not
 hard to prove
 $\begin{array}{ccc} & & \downarrow L \\ & & V \\ L \circ \kappa & \searrow & \downarrow \\ & & V \end{array}$ this theorem:

Th: $(\text{End}(V), +, \cdot, 0_V^V, I_V)$ is a ring.

Def. A vector space which also has a product, $*$,
 giving a ring structure under $+$ and $*$ is called
 an algebra over the field.

EX: $(F^n, +, \cdot, 0_n^n, I_n)$ is an algebra over F .

Def. Let $F[t] = \left\{ \sum_{i=0}^m a_i t^i \mid 0 \leq m \in \mathbb{Z}, a_i \in F \right\}$ [6]

be the set of all polynomials in variable t with coefficients in field F . With $+$ and the usual scalar mult. $F[t]$ is a vector space over F . With $+$ and mult. of polynomials

$$\left(\sum_{i=0}^m a_i t^i \right) \left(\sum_{j=0}^n b_j t^j \right) = \sum_{i=0}^m \sum_{j=0}^n a_i b_j t^{i+j}$$

$$= \sum_{k=0}^{m+n} \left(\sum_{i=0}^k a_i b_{k-i} \right) t^k$$

$F[t]$ is a ring, so $F[t]$ is an algebra over F .

Note: The product is commutative in $F[t]$.

We used the familiar exponent notation t^i for $i \geq 0$ without explanation, meaning "repeated multiplication i times".

We can be more rigorous by making this formal inductive definition.

Def. Let $(R, +, \cdot, 0, 1)$ be any ring with mult. identity element 1. For $0 \leq n \in \mathbb{Z}$ and any $x \in R$, define x^n recursively by

$$x^0 = 1, \quad x^{n+1} = x^n \cdot x \quad \text{for } 0 \leq n \in \mathbb{Z}. \quad \text{Then}$$
$$x^1 = x^{0+1} = x^0 \cdot x = 1 \cdot x = x$$
$$x^2 = x^{1+1} = x^1 \cdot x = x \cdot x$$
$$x^3 = x^{2+1} = x^2 \cdot x = (x \cdot x) \cdot x, \quad \text{etc.}$$

Th (Laws of exponents) In ring R we have 63

$$(1) X^m \cdot X^n = X^{m+n} \quad \forall 0 \leq m, n \in \mathbb{Z},$$

$$(2) (X^m)^n = X^{(mn)} \quad "$$

$$(3) \text{ If } X \cdot Y = Y \cdot X \text{ then } (X \cdot Y)^n = X^n \cdot Y^n \quad \forall 0 \leq n \in \mathbb{Z}.$$

Pf. These can be proved by induction on one of the exponents.

In $\text{End}(V)$ we now have defined L^m for $L: V \rightarrow V$, $0 \leq m \in \mathbb{Z}$, and in F_n^n we have defined A^m for any $A \in F_n^n$, $0 \leq m \in \mathbb{Z}$.

Def. For $f(t) \in F[t]$, $0 \neq \sum_{i=0}^m a_i t^i$ let

$$f(L) = \sum_{i=0}^m a_i L^i \in \text{End}(V) \text{ and } f(A) = \sum_{i=0}^m a_i A^i \in F_n^n.$$

In these formulas we understand $L^0 = I_V$ (64) and $A^0 = I_n$. It will be convenient to interpret these as "evaluation" of the poly $f(t)$ at $t = L \in \text{End}(V)$ or at $t = A \in F^n$, but they are actually ring (algebra) homomorphisms:

$$\text{Eval}_L: F[t] \rightarrow \text{End}(V) \quad \text{and}$$

$\text{Eval}_A: F[t] \rightarrow F^n$ if we include in the definition how to evaluate the zero polynomial

$$0 = 0t^0 + 0t^1 + \dots + 0t^m \quad (\text{all coefficients } 0 \in F)$$

by letting $0[L] = 0_V$ and $0[A] = 0_n$. Get

$$\forall f(t), g(t) \in F[t], \forall A \in F^n, \forall L \in \text{End}(V), \forall \alpha \in F,$$

$$(f+g)(L) = f(L) + g(L), (f \cdot g)(L) = f(L) \circ g(L), (\alpha f)(L) = \alpha \cdot f(L)$$

$$(f+g)(A) = f(A) + g(A), (fg)(A) = f(A) \cdot g(A), (\alpha f)(A) = \alpha \cdot f(A) \quad \underline{65}$$

that is, Eval_L and Eval_A respect the ring and vector space (algebra) structures.

In basic algebra, often try to "solve" poly. equations like $f(t) = 0$, find all $t \in F$ s.t. this is true. If $f(t) = \sum_{i=0}^m a_i t^i$ and $a_m \neq 0$ we say $\deg(f) = m$. Degree is not defined for the zero poly. Note: $\deg(fg) = \deg(f) + \deg(g)$, $\deg(f+g) \leq \max\{\deg(f), \deg(g)\}$, $\deg(\alpha f) = \deg(f)$ for $0 \neq \alpha \in F$.

Call $f(t)$ "monic" when $a_m = 1$ and $\deg(f) = m$. (top non zero coefficient is 1).

Important fact about $F[t]$:

[66]

Th. (Euclidean Algorithm) For any $a(t), b(t) \in F[t]$ with $b(t)$ not the zero poly, $\exists q(t), r(t) \in F[t]$ such that $a(t) = q(t)b(t) + r(t)$ and either $r(t) = 0$ or $\deg(r) < \deg(b)$.

Pf. Long division works in $F[t]$. Divide $b(t)$ into $a(t)$, get quotient $q(t)$ and remainder $r(t)$ with stated properties. \square

When "solving" a poly. equation $f(t) = 0$ in F , look for "roots", $\alpha \in F$, such that $f(\alpha) = 0$.

Th. $f(\alpha) = 0$ for $\alpha \in F$ iff $f(t) = q(t) \cdot (t - \alpha)$ for some $q(t) \in F[t]$.

Pf: (\Rightarrow) Apply Eucl. Algo. with $a(t) = f(t)$ and $b(t) = t - \alpha$ and $\lfloor 67$

Get $\exists q(t), r(t) \in F[t]$ s.t.

$$f(t) = q(t) \cdot (t - \alpha) + r(t) \quad \text{with } r(t) = 0 \text{ or } \deg(r) < 1$$

If $\deg(r) < 1$ then $r(t) = r_0$ must be a constant

so $0 = f(\alpha) = q(\alpha) \cdot (\alpha - \alpha) + r_0 = r_0$ gives contradiction
to $r(t)$ not the zero poly. Thus $r(t) = 0$ and

$$f(t) = q(t) \cdot (t - \alpha).$$

(\Leftarrow) If $f(t) = q(t) \cdot (t - \alpha)$ then clearly $f(\alpha) = 0$. \square

Cor: If $f(t) \in F[t]$ has distinct roots $\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_r \in F$

then $\exists q(t) \in F[t]$ s.t. $f(t) = q(t) \cdot (t - \alpha_1) \cdots (t - \alpha_r)$

so $r \leq \deg(f)$. Any root of $q(t)$ is a root of $f(t)$, so we can factor out all $(t - \alpha_i)$ from $q(t)$,

and find some $h(t) \in F[t]$ with no roots in F 68
s.t. $f(t) = h(t) \cdot \prod_{i=1}^r (t - \alpha_i)^{k_i}$ for some $1 \leq k_i \in \mathbb{Z}$
with $\deg(f) = \deg(h) + k_1 + k_2 + \dots + k_r$.

Def. Say $0 \neq f(t) \in F[t]$ is irreducible when
 $f(t) = g(t) \cdot h(t)$ implies either $\deg(g) = 0$ or
 $\deg(h) = 0$, that is, $g(t) = \text{constant}$ or $h(t) = \text{const}$.
Since $\deg(f) = \deg(g) + \deg(h)$, $f(t)$ irred.
means cannot factor $f(t) = g(t)h(t)$ with
 $\deg(g) < \deg(f)$ and $\deg(h) < \deg(f)$.

Th: Any $f(t) \in F[t]$, not constant poly, factors into a
product of irreducible polys to powers
 $f(t) = (f_1(t))^{k_1} \dots (f_r(t))^{k_r}$ for $f_i(t)$ irred.

Ex: For $F = \mathbb{C}$, the only irred. polys. are 69
linear, degree = 1. (Fundamental Th. of Algebra)

For $F = \mathbb{R}$, the only irred. polys are either
linear, $f(t) = at + b$, or quadratic, $f(t) = at^2 + bt + c$,
where $b^2 - 4ac < 0$.

For $F = \mathbb{Q}$, there are lots of interesting
higher degree irred. polys. (Study more algebra!)

Suppose $f(t) = (t - \alpha_1) \cdots (t - \alpha_m)$, so $\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_m$
 $\in F$ are all the roots of $f(t)$ in F .

What does $f(A) = O_n^n$ mean?

$$f(A) = (A - \alpha_1 I_n) \cdot (A - \alpha_2 I_n) \cdots (A - \alpha_m I_n) = O_n^n$$

A product of matrices may be O_n^n even when none
of the matrices is O_n^n .

Ex. $A = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 2 \end{bmatrix}$, $f(t) = (t-1)(t-2)$

70

$$f(A) = \left(\begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 2 \end{bmatrix} - 1 \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix} \right) \left(\begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 2 \end{bmatrix} - 2 \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix} \right)$$
$$= \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} -1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix} = O_2.$$

Ex. $A = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 1 \\ 1 & 1 \end{bmatrix}$, $f(t) = t(t-2)$

$$f(A) = A(A - 2I_2) = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 1 \\ 1 & 1 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} -1 & -1 \\ 1 & -1 \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix}$$

Questions: ① Given $A \in F_n^n$, find all polys. $f(t)$ such that $f(A) = O_n^n$, "satisfied" by A

② Is there an easy-to-find poly. satisfied by A ?

③ Is there a poly of minimal degree " " " " ?

Hint: For the two examples above, look at

$$\det \begin{bmatrix} t & 0 \\ 0 & t \end{bmatrix} - A = \det(tI_2 - A) \text{ using } 2 \times 2 \text{ det formula.}$$