

Note: Define  $\beta(x, y) = \text{Tr}(xy)$  for  $x, y \in \text{sl}(2, F) \cong \mathbb{R}^3$  as  $2 \times 2$  matrices, giving a symm. bil. assoc. form on  $L = \text{sl}(2, F)$  using its "natural" rep'n on  $F^2$  as  $2 \times 2$  matrices. With some basis:  $x_1 = e, x_2 = f, x_3 = h,$

$$\text{Tr}(x_1^2) = \text{Tr}\left(\begin{bmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix}\right) = \text{Tr}\begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix} = 0 = \text{Tr}(e^2)$$

$$\text{Tr}(x_1 x_2) = \text{Tr}\left(\begin{bmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 \end{bmatrix}\right) = \text{Tr}\begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix} = 1 = \text{Tr}(ef)$$

$$\text{Tr}(x_1 x_3) = \text{Tr}\left(\begin{bmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & -1 \end{bmatrix}\right) = \text{Tr}\begin{bmatrix} 0 & -1 \\ 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix} = 0 = \text{Tr}(eh)$$

$$\text{Tr}(x_2^2) = \text{Tr}\left(\begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 \end{bmatrix}\right) = \text{Tr}\begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix} = 0 = \text{Tr}(f^2)$$

$$\text{Tr}(x_2 x_3) = \text{Tr}\left(\begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & -1 \end{bmatrix}\right) = \text{Tr}\begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 \end{bmatrix} = 0 = \text{Tr}(fh)$$

$$\text{Tr}(x_3^2) = \text{Tr}\left(\begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & -1 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & -1 \end{bmatrix}\right) = \text{Tr}\begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix} = 2 = \text{Tr}(h^2)$$

$$\text{So } [\beta(x_i, x_j)] = [\text{Tr}(x_i x_j)] = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 2 \end{bmatrix} = \frac{1}{4} [\kappa(x_i, x_j)].$$

Recall:  $L$  is semisimple (s.s.) when  $\text{Rad}(L) = 0$  (III)  
 (max. solvable ideal in  $L$  is trivial). Let  $S = \text{Rad}(K)$   
 $L$  is s.s. iff  $L$  has no non zero abelian ideals  
 because any such ideal is solv. so is in  $\text{Rad}(L)$ ,  
 and if  $L$  is not s.s. then its non zero  $\text{Rad}(L)$  is  
 a solv. ideal whose derived series has a last  
 non zero term  $L^{(i-1)} \trianglelefteq L$  which is abelian since  
 $\mathfrak{a}L^{(i)} = [L^{(i-1)}, L^{(i-1)}]$ .

---

Th: Lie alg.  $L$  is s.s. iff  $K$  is nondeg.  
Pf. ( $\Rightarrow$ ) Suppose  $\text{Rad}(L) = 0$ . By def. of  $S = \text{Rad}(K)$ ,  
 $\text{Tr}(\text{ad}_x \circ \text{ad}_y) = 0$ ,  $\forall x \in S, y \in L$ , so true  $\forall y \in [S, S]$ .  
 By Cartan's Criterion,  $\{\text{ad}_a: L \rightarrow L \mid a \in S\} = \text{ad}(S) \subseteq \mathfrak{gl}(L)$   
 is solvable, so  $S$  is solv. ideal in  $L$ , so  $S \subseteq \text{Rad}(L) = 0$ .

( $\Leftarrow$ ) Suppose  $S=0$ . Show every abelian  $I \trianglelefteq L$  is [[1]2] inside  $S$ , so is trivial, giving  $L$  is s.s.

Let  $x \in I, y \in L$ , so  $L \xrightarrow{\text{ad}_y} L \xrightarrow{\text{ad}_x} I$  so

$(\text{ad}_x \circ \text{ad}_y): L \rightarrow I$  and  $(\text{ad}_x \circ \text{ad}_y)^2(L) \subseteq (\text{ad}_x \circ \text{ad}_y)I$

$\subseteq [x, [y, I]] \subseteq [I, I] = 0$ . This says  $(\text{ad}_x \circ \text{ad}_y)$  is nilp. so  $\text{Tr}(\text{ad}_x \circ \text{ad}_y) = 0$  (sum of e-values, all 0)

so  $K(x, y) = 0$  for  $x \in I, y \in L$  says  $I \subseteq S = 0$ .  $\square$

Note: First part of proof showed that

$S = \text{Rad}(K) \subseteq \text{Rad}(L)$  in general.

---

Def.  $L$  with ideals  $I_1, \dots, I_t \trianglelefteq L$  is a direct sum  $L = I_1 \oplus \dots \oplus I_t$  when it is a direct sum of the  $I_1, \dots, I_t$  considered as subspaces.

In that case,  $[I_i, I_j] \subseteq I_i \cap I_j = 0$  for  $i \neq j$ , (113)  
so  $L$  can be viewed as an "external" direct sum  
of separate Lie algebras  $\{I_i \mid 1 \leq i \leq t\}$  with brackets  
0 between different summands.

EX:  $\mathfrak{al}(2, F) \oplus \mathfrak{al}(3, F) = \{x+y \mid x \in \mathfrak{al}(2, F), y \in \mathfrak{al}(3, F)\}$   
with  $[x_1+y_1, x_2+y_2] = [x_1, x_2] + [y_1, y_2]$ . Could also

use component notation:

For Lie algebras  $L_1, \dots, L_t$  let  $L_1 \oplus \dots \oplus L_t =$   
 $\{(x_1, \dots, x_t) \mid x_i \in L_i, 1 \leq i \leq t\}$  with componentwise  
operations  $+$ ,  $\cdot$ ,  $[ , ]$ . Then, each  $L_i$  embeds in  $L$   
as its component, and  $[L_i, L_j] = 0$  for  $i \neq j$ .

Th. Let  $L$  be s.s. Then  $\exists$  ideals  $L_1, \dots, L_t \trianglelefteq L$  (14) each a simple Lie algebra s.t.  $L = L_1 \oplus \dots \oplus L_t$ . Every simple ideal of  $L$  is one of these  $L_i$ , and  $K_{L_i} = K|_{L_i \times L_i}$  for the Killing form  $K_{L_i}$  on  $L_i$  and  $K$  on  $L$ .

---

Pf. Step ①:  $\forall I \trianglelefteq L$  let  $I^\perp = \{x \in L \mid K(x, y) = 0, \forall y \in I\}$ . By assoc. of  $K$ , we see that  $I^\perp \trianglelefteq L$ . Apply Cartan's Crit. to Lie alg.  $I$  to get  $I \cap I^\perp \trianglelefteq L$  is solv. so  $I \cap I^\perp = 0$ . Details:  $\text{ad}(I) = \{\text{ad}_x \in \mathfrak{gl}(L) \mid x \in I\} \subseteq \mathfrak{gl}(L)$  and let  $J = I \cap I^\perp$ . C.C. gives  $J$  solvable if  $\text{Tr}(\text{ad}_{[x, y]} \circ \text{ad}_z) = 0, \forall x, y, z \in J$ . But that is  $K([x, y], z) = K(x, [y, z]) = 0$  since  $x \in I^\perp, [y, z] \in I$ .  $K$  nondeg.  $\Rightarrow \dim I + \dim I^\perp = \dim(L)$  so  $L = I \oplus I^\perp$ .

Step ②: By ind. on  $\dim(L)$ . If  $L$  has no proper non zero ideal then  $L$  is simple and  $L = L_1$  is the decomposition. Otherwise, let  $L_1$  be a minimal non zero ideal, and write  $L = L_1 \oplus L_1^\perp$ . Any ideal of  $L_1$  is an ideal of  $L$  and any ideal of  $L_1^\perp$  is an ideal of  $L$ . So  $\text{Rad}(L_1) \trianglelefteq L$  s.s.  $\Rightarrow L_1$  is s.s. and also for  $L_1^\perp$  s.s. (actually  $L_1$  is simple by minimality).

By ind.  $L_1^\perp = L_2 \oplus \dots \oplus L_t$  has a direct sum decomp. into simple ideals which are ideals of  $L$ .

Uniqueness: For simple  $I \trianglelefteq L$  have  $[I, L] \trianglelefteq L$  and  $[I, L] \neq 0$  since otherwise  $I \subseteq Z(L) = 0$ . So  $0 \neq [I, L] \trianglelefteq I$  with  $I$  simple gives  $[I, L] = I$ . But  $[I, L] = [I, L_1] \oplus [I, L_2] \oplus \dots \oplus [I, L_t] = I$  must be just one summand, say  $[I, L_j] = I \subseteq L_j$  so  $I = L_j$ .  $\square$

Cor. If  $L$  is s.s. then  $L = [L, L]$ , all ideals ||16  
and homomorphic images of  $L$  are s.s. Each  
ideal of  $L$  is a sum of certain simple ideals  
of  $L$ .

---

Inner Derivations:

Recall  $\forall x \in L, \forall \delta \in \text{Der}(L), [\delta, \text{ad}_x] = \text{ad}_{\delta x}$  which  
implies  $\text{ad}(L) = \{\text{ad}_x \in \text{gl}(L) \mid x \in L\} \trianglelefteq \text{Der}(L)$ .

Th. If  $L$  is s.s. then  $\text{ad}(L) = \text{Der}(L)$ , that is,  
 $\forall \delta \in \text{Der}(L), \delta \in \text{ad}(L)$ .

Pf.  $L$  s.s. gives  $Z(L) = 0$  so  $\text{ad}: L \rightarrow \text{ad}(L)$  is an  
isomorphism, and writing  $M = \text{ad}(L)$ , get that  
the Killing form  $K_M$  is non-deg. Letting  $D = \text{Der}(L)$ ,  
 $[D, M] \subseteq M$  so  $K_M = K_D|_{M \times M}$ .

Let  $I = M^\perp = \{\delta \in \mathcal{D} \mid \kappa_{\mathcal{D}}(\delta, \gamma) = 0, \forall \gamma \in M\}$  subspace [117]  
 of  $\mathcal{D}$ , then  $\kappa_M$  non-deg. implies  $I \cap M = 0$ . But  
 $I \trianglelefteq \mathcal{D}$  and  $M \trianglelefteq \mathcal{D}$  so  $[I, M] \subseteq I \cap M = 0$ .  
 $\forall \delta \in I, x \in L, \text{ad}_\delta(x) = [\delta, \text{ad}_x] \in [I, M] = 0$ , so  
 $\text{ad}$  injective implies  $\delta(x) = 0$ , so  $\delta = 0$  and  $M^\perp = 0$ .  
 $\mathcal{D} = M \oplus M^\perp = M$  so  $\text{Der}(L) = \text{ad}(L)$ .  $\square$

---

Abstract Jordan Decomposition for  $L$  s.s.:

Recall: For any  $F$ -alg.  $(\mathcal{A}, *)$  fin. dim'l,  $\text{Der}(\mathcal{A})$   
 contains semisimple and nilp. parts of its elts.  
 Since for  $L$  s.s.,  $\text{Der}(L) = \text{ad}(L) \cong L, \forall x \in L$   
 $\exists! s, n \in L$  s.t.  $\text{ad}_x = \text{ad}_s + \text{ad}_n$  is J-decomp. in  
 $\text{End}(L)$ . Also get  $x = s + n$  and  $[s, n] = 0$ , and  
 $s$  is  $\text{ad}$ -s.s. and  $n$  is  $\text{ad}$ -nilp. s.s. and nilp. parts of  $x$ .