

Ex. On page 110, we computed the trace $\frac{\text{tr} \phi}{135}$

$$B(x_i, x_j) = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 2 \end{bmatrix} = \text{Tr}(X_i X_j) \text{ for } L = \mathfrak{sl}(2, F)$$

using the natural rep'n on F^2 and basis $x_1 = e, x_2 = f, x_3 = h$. So the dual basis is $y_1 = f, y_2 = e, y_3 = \frac{1}{2}h$ and then

$$\begin{aligned} c_\phi &= ef + fe + \frac{1}{2}h^2 = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 \end{bmatrix} + \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix} + \frac{1}{2} \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & -1 \end{bmatrix}^2 \\ &= \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix} + \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix} + \begin{bmatrix} \frac{1}{2} & 0 \\ 0 & \frac{1}{2} \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} \frac{3}{2} & 0 \\ 0 & \frac{3}{2} \end{bmatrix} = \frac{3}{2} I_{F^2} \text{ computed} \end{aligned}$$

using 2×2 matrices representing these elements of $\text{End}(F^2)$. Of course, $\alpha = \frac{3}{2} = \frac{\dim(L)}{\dim(V)}$.

See Humphreys (p. 28) for remarks on what to do when ϕ is not faithful.

Weyl's Thm. on complete reducibility: 136

Lemma: Let $\phi: L \rightarrow \mathfrak{gl}(V)$ for L s.s. Then $\phi(L) \subseteq \mathcal{A}(V)$, and so $\dim(V) = 1 \Rightarrow \phi(L) = 0$.

Pf. For L s.s. we know $L = [L, L]$ so $\phi(L) = [\phi(L), \phi(L)]$ and all commutators have Trace 0 so $\phi(L) \subseteq \mathcal{A}(V)$.

Th (Weyl). Let $\phi: L \rightarrow \mathfrak{gl}(V)$ for L s.s., $\dim(V) < \infty$. Then ϕ is completely reducible, that is, L -mod. V is comp. redible.

Pf. First consider case when $\exists W \subseteq V$ L -submod. such that $\dim(W) = \dim(V) - 1$, so $\dim(V/W) = 1$ and V/W is a trivial 1-dim'l L -mod. by lemma.

Write $V/W \cong F$, so get exact sequence

$$0 \rightarrow W \rightarrow V \rightarrow F \rightarrow 0.$$

We do a proof by induction on $\dim(V)$ of this 137
special case. The inductive hypothesis is:

$\forall \phi: L^{\text{s.s.}} \rightarrow \mathfrak{gl}(U)$ s.t. $\dim(U) < \dim(V)$ and
s.t. $\exists U' \leq U$ L -submod with $\dim(U/U') = 1$,
then U' has a complement in U .

Let $0 \neq W' \leq W$ L -submod. Then get induced exact
seq. $0 \rightarrow W/W' \rightarrow V/W' \rightarrow F \rightarrow 0$ of L -modules.

By ind. with $U = V/W'$, $U' = W/W'$, \exists complement
($1 - \dim' l$) $\tilde{W}/W' \leq V/W'$ s.t. $V/W' = W/W' \oplus \tilde{W}/W'$.

This means we get an exact seq. $0 \rightarrow W' \rightarrow \tilde{W} \rightarrow F \rightarrow 0$
with $\dim(W') < \dim(W)$, so ind. hypothesis gives
a ($1 - \dim' l$) complement to W' in \tilde{W} , say $\tilde{W} = W' \oplus X$.

$W/W' \cap \tilde{W}/W' = 0/W'$ means $W \cap \tilde{W} \leq W'$ so $W \cap X = 0$.

$$\dim(W \oplus X) = \dim(W) + \dim(X) = \dim(W) + 1 = \dim(V) \quad (138)$$

so $W \oplus X = V$ gives an L -mod. compl. to W in V in the case when W is not irred.

We still need to cover the case when W is irred. We also assume ϕ is a faithful rep'n of L on V since otherwise we are looking at a faithful rep'n of a s.s. subalg. of L on V .

Let $C = C_\phi$ be the Casimir elt. so $[C, \phi(L)] = 0$. This means $C \circ \phi(x) = \phi(x) \circ C$ so C is an L -mod.

map (hom.), $C: V \rightarrow V$ and $C(W) \subseteq W$ and $\ker(C) \subseteq V$ is an L -submod. (W irred $\Rightarrow C|_W = \alpha I_W$)

But $\phi(L)(V) \subseteq W$ since L 's action on V/W is triv. so C , as a sum of products $\phi(x_i)\phi(y_i)$, does the same, C acts on V/W by 0, has Trace 0 on V/W .

W irred $\Rightarrow c|_W = \alpha I_W$ and $\alpha = \frac{\dim(L)}{\dim(W)} \neq 0$. 1139

This means $V = W \oplus \ker(c)$ with $\dim(\ker(c)) = 1$
and $\ker(c)$ is an L -submod., the desired complement
of W in V in this case.

General Case: Let $0 \neq W \subseteq V$ L -submod. so
 $0 \rightarrow W \rightarrow V \rightarrow V/W \rightarrow 0$ is an exact seq. of L -mods.

$\text{Hom}(V, W)$ is an L -mod. (Exercise, p. 126)

Let $\mathcal{V} = \{f \in \text{Hom}(V, W) \mid f|_W = \alpha I_W \text{ for some } \alpha \in F\}$.

Check that \mathcal{V} is an L -submod: $\forall x \in L, w \in W,$
 $(x \cdot f)(w) = x \cdot f(w) - f(x \cdot w) = x \cdot (\alpha w) - \alpha(x \cdot w) = 0$

so $(x \cdot f)|_W = 0$.

Let $\mathcal{W} = \{f \in \mathcal{V} \mid f|_W = 0\}$, so \mathcal{W} is an L -submod.
and we just saw that $L(\mathcal{V}) \subseteq \mathcal{W}$.

Claim: $\dim(V/W) = 1$.

1140

Pf. Let $\Psi: V \rightarrow F$ be the map s.t. $\Psi(f) = \alpha$ if $f|_W = \alpha I_W$. Ψ is clearly linear with $\ker(\Psi) = W$, so $\dim(V) = \dim(W) + \dim(\text{Range}(\Psi))$.

To get $\dim(\text{Range}(\Psi)) = 1$ we only need to find some $f \in V$ with $\Psi(f) = \alpha \neq 0$. That is easy to do since $W \leq V$ as a subspace, we can extend a basis $\{w_1, \dots, w_m\}$ for W to a basis $\{w_1, \dots, w_m, v_1, \dots, v_{n-m}\}$ of V and define $f: V \rightarrow W$ to be the lin. map s.t. $f(w_i) = \alpha w_i$, $f(v_j) = 0$.

So now we have an exact seq. of L -mods.

$$0 \rightarrow W \rightarrow V \rightarrow F \rightarrow 0$$

The first part of the proof tells us that $\dim V = 1$
 V has a 1-dim'l L -submod. complement to W .
 Let $f: V \rightarrow W$ be a basis for it chosen s.t.
 $f|_W = 1 I_W$. The trivial action of L on this
 1-dim'l L -mod. means $\forall v \in V, \forall x \in L,$
 $0 = (x \cdot f)(v) = x \cdot (f(v)) - f(x \cdot v)$, so
 $x \cdot f(v) = f(x \cdot v)$ which means f is an L -mod
 map, so $\text{Ker}(f) \leq V$ is an L -submod. of V .
 Finally, $V = W \oplus \text{Ker}(f)$ achieves the goal. \square

Weyl's Thm. can be used to prove that the
 abstract Jordan decomposition $X = X_s + X_n$ is
 compatible with J -decompositions coming from
 linear rep's of L .