

## Preservation of Jordan decomposition:

[142]

Ih. Let  $L \subseteq gl(V)$  be a s.s. Lie algebra,  $\dim(V) < \infty$ . Then  $L$  contains the s.s. and nilp. parts in  $gl(V)$  of all its elements. Therefore, the abstract and usual linear algebra Jordan decompositions in  $L$  coincide.

Pf. Uniqueness for both types of J-decomp. shows the last part of the Thm. follows from the first part.

Let  $x \in L$  and let  $x = x_s + x_n$  be the J-decomp. of  $x$  in  $gl(V)$ . Need to show  $x_s, x_n \in L$ . Since  $ad_x(L) \subseteq L$  and we know  $ad_{x_s}$  and  $ad_{x_n}$  are polys. in  $ad_x$  (with 0 constant term in each poly.) we get  $ad_{x_s}(L) \subseteq L$  and  $ad_{x_n}(L) \subseteq L$  where we understand these maps as being in  $gl(V)$ , that is,  $ad : V \rightarrow V$ .

This means  $x_s, x_n \in N_{\mathfrak{gl}(V)}(L) = N \leq \mathfrak{gl}(V)$  [143] and  $N$  is a Lie subalg. of  $\mathfrak{gl}(V)$  in which  $L \trianglelefteq N$ . Note that  $N \neq L$  since  $L \leq \mathfrak{sl}(V)$  but the scalar operators  $\alpha I_V \in N$  but  $\alpha I_V \notin L$  for  $\text{Tr}(\alpha I_V) = \alpha \dim(V) \neq 0$ .

$\forall W \leq V$   $L$ -submod. define

$$L_W = \{y \in \mathfrak{gl}(V) \mid y(w) \in W \text{ and } \text{Tr}(y|_W) = 0\}.$$

Then  $L_V = \mathfrak{sl}(V)$ , for example. Since  $L = [L, L]$  for  $L$  s.s., and  $W$  any  $L$ -mod. means  $L(W) \subseteq W$ , we see  $L \leq L_W$  for any  $L$ -mod.  $W$ .

Let  $L' = \left( \bigcap_{W \text{ } L\text{-mod}} L_W \right) \cap N$  so  $L \trianglelefteq L' \leq N$  but  $\alpha I_V \notin L'$  for  $\alpha \neq 0$ . Also,  $\forall x \in L, x_s, x_n \in L'$ .

Claim:  $L = L'$ . Since  $L'$  is a fin. dim'  $L$ -mod. #44

Weyl's Thm says  $L' = L \oplus M$  for some complement.  
 $L$ -mod.  $M$ . We know  $[L, L'] \subseteq L$  from  $L' \leq V$

so the  $L$  action on  $M$  is trivial,  $[L, M] \subseteq L \cap M = 0$ .

Let  $W \leq V$  be any irred  $L$ -submod.  $\forall y \in M$ ,  
 $[L, y] = 0$  so by Schur's lemma,  $y|_W = \alpha I_W$  is scalar,  
but  $y \in L_W$  means  $\text{Tr}(y|_W) = 0$  so  $\alpha = 0$ .

By Weyl's Thm,  $V$  is a direct sum of irred.  $L$ -mods  
so  $y = 0$  is the zero operator on all of  $V$ . Then  
 $M = 0$  and  $L = L'$  finishes the proof.  $\square$

Cor: Let  $L$  be s.s.,  $\phi: L \rightarrow \mathfrak{gl}(V)$  for 145  
 $\dim(V) < \infty$ . If  $x = s + n$  is the abstract J-decomp.  
of  $x \in L$ , then  $\phi(x) = \phi(s) + \phi(n)$  is the usual  
J-decomp. of  $\phi(x)$  in  $\mathfrak{gl}(V)$ .

Pf. Lie alg.  $\phi(L)$  is spanned by the e-vectors  
of  $\text{ad}_{\phi(s)}: \phi(L) \rightarrow \phi(L)$  since  $L$  is spanned by  
the e-vectors of  $\text{ad}_s: L \rightarrow L$ , so  $\text{ad}_{\phi(s)}$  is s.s.  
Similarly,  $\text{ad}_{\phi(n)}: \phi(L) \rightarrow \phi(L)$  is nilp. since  
 $\text{ad}_n: L \rightarrow L$  is nilp., and  $[\text{ad}_{\phi(s)}, \text{ad}_{\phi(n)}] =$   
 $\text{ad}_{[\phi(s), \phi(n)]} = 0$ . So  $\phi(x) = \phi(s) + \phi(n)$  is the abstract  
J-decomp. of  $\phi(x)$  in s.s. Lie alg.  $\phi(L)$ . The Thm.  
then gives the Cor.  $\square$