

$\text{ad}_{t_\lambda}$  and  $\text{ad}_{t_\mu}$  act diagonally by 0 on  $H$  (175) and by  $\alpha(t_\lambda)$  and  $\alpha(t_\mu)$  on each  $L_\alpha$ ,  $\alpha \in \Phi$ , where  $\dim(L_\alpha) = 1$ , so  $\text{Tr}_L(\text{ad}_{t_\lambda} \circ \text{ad}_{t_\mu}) =$

$$\sum_{\alpha \in \Phi} \alpha(t_\lambda) \alpha(t_\mu) = \sum_{\alpha \in \Phi} (\alpha, \lambda) (\alpha, \mu). \text{ This gives}$$

$$\forall \beta \in \Phi, (\beta, \beta) = \sum_{\alpha \in \Phi} (\alpha, \beta)^2 \text{ so } \frac{1}{(\beta, \beta)} = \sum_{\alpha \in \Phi} \frac{(\alpha, \beta)^2}{(\beta, \beta)^2}$$

$\in \mathbb{Q}$  since  $\frac{2(\alpha, \beta)}{(\beta, \beta)} \in \mathbb{Z} \forall \alpha, \beta \in \Phi$ , so  $(\beta, \beta) \in \mathbb{Q}$ .

Then  $(\alpha, \beta) \in \frac{(\beta, \beta)}{2} \mathbb{Z} \subseteq \mathbb{Q}$ . In particular,

$(\alpha_i, \alpha_j) \in \mathbb{Q}$  shows that  $(\cdot, \cdot)$  on  $E_{\mathbb{Q}}$  is rational valued, non-deg. sym. bil. form. For  $\lambda \in E_{\mathbb{Q}}$ ,  $(\lambda, \lambda) = \sum (\alpha, \lambda)^2 \geq 0$  is sum of rationals squared,

and  $(\lambda, \lambda) = 0$  implies  $(\alpha, \lambda) = 0$  for all  $\alpha \in \Phi$  [176]  
 so  $\lambda = 0$ . This means the form is positive def.  
 on  $E_{\mathbb{Q}}$ .

Let  $E_{\mathbb{R}} = \mathbb{R}\text{-span}\{\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_l\} = \mathbb{R} \otimes_{\mathbb{Q}} E_{\mathbb{Q}}$  the real  
 vector space, with the sym. pos. def. bil. form  
 $(\alpha_i, \alpha_j)$  as above.  $E = E_{\mathbb{R}}$  is a Euclidean space,  
 $\dim_{\mathbb{R}}(E) = l$  in which we have the following.

Th. With  $L, H, \Phi, E$  as defined above, we have

(a)  $\langle \Phi \rangle = E, 0 \notin \Phi$

(b) If  $\alpha \in \Phi$  then  $-\alpha \in \Phi$ , but  $r\alpha \in \Phi \Rightarrow r = \pm 1$

(c) If  $\alpha, \beta \in \Phi$  then  $\beta - \frac{2(\beta, \alpha)}{(\alpha, \alpha)}\alpha \in \Phi$

(d) If  $\alpha, \beta \in \Phi$  then  $\frac{2(\beta, \alpha)}{(\alpha, \alpha)} \in \mathbb{Z}$ .

# Root Systems:

Let  $E$  be a Euclidean space, that is, a real vector space of finite dimension with a pos. def. sym. bil. form  $(\alpha, \beta)$ . 177

Def: A reflection in  $E$  is a lin. map  $\sigma_\alpha: E \rightarrow E$  which fixes pointwise a hyperplane,  $P_\alpha = \{\beta \in E \mid (\beta, \alpha) = 0\}$  and s.t.  $\sigma_\alpha(\alpha) = -\alpha$ , so  $\sigma_\alpha(\beta) = \beta - \frac{2(\beta, \alpha)}{(\alpha, \alpha)} \alpha$ .

Fact: Any reflection  $\sigma_\alpha$  is an invertible orthogonal trans. on  $E$ , that is,  $(\sigma_\alpha(x), \sigma_\alpha(y)) = (x, y) \quad \forall x, y \in E$ . Also,  $\sigma_\alpha^{-1} = \sigma_\alpha$ .

Notation: Let  $\langle \beta, \alpha \rangle = \frac{2(\beta, \alpha)}{(\alpha, \alpha)} \quad \forall \alpha, \beta \in E, \alpha \neq 0$ .

Lemma: Let  $\Phi$  be finite set that spans  $E$ . Suppose  $\forall \alpha \in \Phi, \sigma_\alpha(\Phi) \subseteq \Phi$ . If  $\sigma \in GL(E)$  leaves  $\Phi$  inv. and fixes pointwise a hyperplane  $P$  and  $\sigma(\alpha) = -\alpha$  for some  $\alpha \in \Phi, \alpha \neq 0$ .

then  $\sigma = \sigma_\alpha$  and  $P = P_\alpha$ . (178)

Pf. Let  $\tau = \sigma \sigma_\alpha$  so  $\tau(\Phi) = \Phi$ ,  $\tau(\alpha) = \alpha$  so  $\tau|_{R_\alpha} = I_{R_\alpha}$ . The quotient space  $E/R_\alpha$  can be viewed as either  $\{v+R_\alpha \mid v \in P\}$  or  $\{v+R_\alpha \mid v \in P_\alpha\}$  since  $E = P \oplus R_\alpha = P_\alpha \oplus R_\alpha$ . From the first point of view,  $\sigma$  acts as the identity on  $E/R_\alpha$ , from the second point of view,  $\sigma_\alpha$  acts as the id. on  $E/R_\alpha$  so  $\tau$  acts on  $E/R_\alpha$  as the id. map. So the only e-value of  $\tau$  on  $E$  is 1 and its min. poly.  $m_\tau(T)$  divides  $(T-1)^{\dim(E)}$  for  $\dim(E) = \dim(E)$ .

Also, if  $k \geq |\Phi|$ , the set  $\{\beta, \tau(\beta), \dots, \tau^k(\beta)\}$  for any  $\beta \in \Phi$  is a subset of  $\Phi$  by invar. with  $k+1$  vectors listed, so they cannot all be distinct. If  $\tau^i(\beta) = \tau^j(\beta)$  for some  $0 \leq i < j \leq k$  then  $\tau^{j-i}(\beta) = \beta$ .

For each  $\beta \in \Phi$  we now have a  $k_\beta \geq 1$  s.t.  $\tau^{k_\beta}(\beta) = \beta$ . Let  $n = \text{l.c.m.}\{k_\beta \mid \beta \in \Phi\}$  so that  $\forall \beta \in \Phi, \tau^n(\beta) = \beta$ . Since  $\langle \Phi \rangle = E$  this implies  $\tau^n = I_E$  so  $\tau$  satisfies the poly  $T^n - 1$ . Then  $m_\tau(T)$  divides both  $(T-1)^2$  and  $(T^n - 1)$  so it divides  $\text{g.c.d.}((T-1)^2, T^n - 1) = T - 1$  so  $m_\tau(T) = T - 1$  and thus  $\tau = I_E$ .  $\square$

---

Def. Say  $\Phi \subset E$  is a root system when:

(R1)  $|\Phi| < \infty, \langle \Phi \rangle = E, 0 \notin \Phi$ .

(R2) If  $\alpha \in \Phi$  then  $c\alpha \in \Phi$  iff  $c = \pm 1$ .

(R3) If  $\alpha \in \Phi$  then  $\sigma_\alpha(\Phi) = \Phi$ .

(R4) If  $\alpha, \beta \in \Phi$  then  $\langle \beta, \alpha \rangle \in \mathbb{Z}$ .

Note: If  $(\cdot, \cdot)$  is rescaled by a nonzero real 180 factor, these axioms still hold.

Def. For root system  $\Phi$  in  $E$  let the Weyl gp.  
 $W = \langle \sigma_\alpha \in GL(E) \mid \alpha \in \Phi \rangle \leq GL(E)$ .

Then  $W$  acts as permutations of  $\Phi$ , so  $\Phi$  finite implies  $W$  is finite.

Lemma: Let  $\Phi$  be a root system in  $E$  with Weyl gp.  $W$ . If  $\sigma \in GL(E)$  leaves  $\Phi$  invariant then  
 $\forall \alpha \in \Phi, \sigma \sigma_\alpha \sigma^{-1} = \sigma_{\sigma(\alpha)}$  and  $\langle \beta, \alpha \rangle = \langle \sigma(\beta), \sigma(\alpha) \rangle$

$\forall \alpha, \beta \in \Phi$ .

Pf.  $\sigma \sigma_\alpha \sigma^{-1}(\sigma(\beta)) = \sigma \sigma_\alpha(\beta) \in \Phi$  since  $\sigma_\alpha(\beta) \in \Phi$ ,  
and  $\sigma \sigma_\alpha(\beta) = \sigma(\beta - \langle \beta, \alpha \rangle \alpha) = \sigma(\beta) - \langle \beta, \alpha \rangle \sigma(\alpha)$ .  
 $\{\sigma(\beta) \mid \beta \in \Phi\} = \Phi$  so  $\sigma \sigma_\alpha \sigma^{-1}(\sigma(\alpha)) = -\sigma(\alpha)$  and

$$\forall x \in P_\alpha, \sigma \sigma_\alpha \sigma^{-1}(\sigma(x)) = \sigma \sigma_\alpha(x) = \sigma(x) \quad \underline{181}$$

so  $\sigma \sigma_\alpha \sigma^{-1}$  fixes pointwise  $\sigma(P_\alpha)$ , and it leaves  $\Phi$  invariant as a set. By Lemma on page 177,

$$\sigma \sigma_\alpha \sigma^{-1} = \sigma_{\sigma(\alpha)} \text{ and so}$$

$$\sigma_{\sigma(\alpha)}(\sigma(\beta)) = \sigma(\beta) - \langle \sigma(\beta), \sigma(\alpha) \rangle \sigma(\alpha)$$

$$= \sigma(\beta) - \langle \beta, \alpha \rangle \sigma(\alpha) \quad \text{from beginning}$$

of the proof, we get  $\langle \sigma(\beta), \sigma(\alpha) \rangle = \langle \beta, \alpha \rangle$ .  $\square$

---

Def. Let  $(\Phi, E)$  and  $(\Phi', E')$  be two root systems.

Say they are isomorphic if  $\exists \phi: E \rightarrow E'$  vector space isomorphism (need not be an isometry) s.t.

$$\phi(\Phi) = \Phi' \text{ and } \langle \phi(\beta), \phi(\alpha) \rangle = \langle \beta, \alpha \rangle, \forall \alpha, \beta \in \Phi.$$

Then  $\sigma_{\phi(\alpha)}(\phi(\beta)) = \phi(\sigma_\alpha(\beta))$  gives an isom.