

Simple roots and Weyl group:

189

Def. Call $\Delta \subseteq \Phi$ a base of Φ if

(B1) Δ is a basis of E ,

(B2) $\forall \beta \in \Phi$ can write $\beta = \sum_{\alpha \in \Delta} k_\alpha \alpha$ where either
 $0 \leq k_\alpha \in \mathbb{Z}$ or $0 \geq k_\alpha \in \mathbb{Z}$.

Say $\alpha \in \Delta$ are simple roots. $|\Delta| = l = \dim(E)$.

Def. $\forall \beta \in \Phi$, the height of β wrt. Δ is $ht(\beta) = \sum_{\alpha \in \Delta} k_\alpha$

If $0 \leq k_\alpha$ say $\beta > 0$ (is positive), and

if $0 \geq k_\alpha$ say $\beta < 0$ (is negative).

$\Phi^\pm = \{\beta \in \Phi \mid \pm \beta > 0\}$, $\Phi^- = -\Phi^+$, $\Phi = \Phi^+ \cup \Phi^-$.

For $\alpha, \beta \in \Phi^+$, if $\alpha + \beta \in \Phi$ then $\alpha + \beta \in \Phi^+$.

Def. Define a partial order on E by $\mu \leq \lambda$ iff
 $\lambda = \mu$ or $\lambda - \mu = \sum_{\alpha \in \Delta} k_\alpha \alpha$ for all $k_\alpha \geq 0$ in \mathbb{Z}

This is an important and useful concept, but we don't yet know that a base exists for any rank 2 root system. In the rank 2 root systems we have seen, $\Delta = \{\alpha, \beta\}$ is a base, and $(\alpha, \beta) \leq 0$.

Lemma. If Δ is a base of Φ then $\forall \alpha \neq \beta$ in Δ we have $(\alpha, \beta) \leq 0$ and $\alpha - \beta \notin \Phi$.

Pf. Suppose $(\alpha, \beta) > 0$. Given $\alpha \neq \beta$ we must also have $\alpha \neq -\beta$ since otherwise $(\alpha, \beta) = (-\beta, \beta) < 0$. By last Lemma, $\alpha - \beta \in \Phi$ would contradict axiom (B2).

Th. Φ has a base.

We will develop a method to construct all possible bases.

Def. $\forall 0 \neq r \in E$ let $\Phi^+(r) = \{\alpha \in \Phi \mid (r, \alpha) > 0\}$ be those roots on the side of hyperplane P_r containing r .

Fact. E is not a finite union of hyperplanes, so [19]

$\bigcup_{\alpha \in \Phi} P_\alpha \nsubseteq E$. Say $r \in E - \bigcup_{\alpha \in \Phi} P_\alpha$ is regular,
but $r \in \bigcup_{\alpha \in \Phi} P_\alpha$ is singular. r regular means
 $(r, \alpha) \neq 0, \forall \alpha \in \Phi$, and then $\Phi = \Phi^+(r) \cup -\Phi^+(r)$
Def. Say $\alpha \in \Phi^+(r)$ is decomposable if $\alpha = \beta_1 + \beta_2$
for some $\beta_1, \beta_2 \in \Phi^+(r)$, otherwise say α is
indecomposable.

Th. Let $r \in E$ be regular. Then the set $\Delta(r)$ of
all indecomposable roots in $\Phi^+(r)$ is a base of Φ ,
and every base of Φ is a $\Delta(r)$ for some regular r .
Pf. Step(1): $\forall \alpha \in \Phi^+(r), \alpha = \sum_{\beta \in \Delta(r)} k_\beta \beta$ for $0 \leq k_\beta \in \mathbb{Z}$.

If not, let $\alpha \in \Phi^+(r)$ be chosen so (r, α) is minimal.

Clearly, $\alpha \notin \Delta(r)$ so it is decomposable,
 $\underline{192}$
 $\alpha = \beta_1 + \beta_2$ for some $\beta_1, \beta_2 \in \Phi^+(r)$, and $(r, \alpha) = (r, \beta_1) + (r, \beta_2)$
and $(r, \beta_i) > 0$ so each is smaller than (r, α) . By choice
of α , each β_i is a non-neg. \mathbb{Z} -lin. comb. from $\Delta(r)$,
so their sum is also, contradiction.

Step(2): If $\alpha, \beta \in \Delta(r)$ with $\alpha \neq \beta$ then $(\alpha, \beta) \leq 0$.

If $(\alpha, \beta) > 0$ then $\alpha - \beta \in \Phi$ so either $\alpha - \beta \in \Phi^+(r)$ or $\beta - \alpha \in \Phi^+(r)$.
If $\alpha - \beta \in \Phi^+(r)$ then $\alpha = \beta + (\alpha - \beta)$ makes α decomposable.
If $\beta - \alpha \in \Phi^+(r)$ then $\beta = \alpha + (\beta - \alpha)$ makes β decomposable.

Step(3): $\Delta(r)$ is lin. indep.

Suppose $\sum_{\alpha \in \Delta(r)} r_\alpha \alpha = 0$ for $r_\alpha \in \mathbb{R}$. Separate $r_\alpha > 0$ from
those with $r_\alpha < 0$ to get

$$E = \sum_{\alpha \in S_1} s_\alpha \alpha = \sum_{\beta \in S_2} t_\beta \beta \text{ for } S_1 \cap S_2 = \emptyset \text{ subsets of } \Delta(r),$$

$$s_\alpha > 0, t_\beta > 0.$$

Then $(E, E) = \sum_{\alpha \in S_1} \sum_{\beta \in S_2} s_\alpha t_\beta (\alpha, \beta) \leq 0$ by step(2), so $E = 0$.

Then $0 = (\gamma, \epsilon) = \sum_{\alpha \in S_1} s_\alpha(\gamma, \alpha) > 0$ is a contradiction. (193)

Note: The argument shows that any set of vectors on one side of a hyperplane in E with all pairs of vectors at an obtuse angle ($\theta \geq \pi/2$) must be lin. indep.

Step (4): $\Delta(\gamma)$ is a base of Φ .
 $\Phi = \Phi^+(\gamma) \cup -\Phi^+(\gamma)$ and Step (1) give (B2). $\langle \Phi \rangle = E$
and $\langle \Delta(\gamma) \rangle \supseteq \Phi$ so $\langle \Delta(\gamma) \rangle = E$. Step (3) shows $\Delta(\gamma)$ is a basis of E , so (B1) is true.

Each base Δ of Φ is a $\Delta(\gamma)$ for some regular $\gamma \in E$. Given base Δ of Φ , find $\{\gamma \in E \mid (\gamma, \alpha) > 0, \alpha \in \Delta\}$ is non-empty from Exercise 7 (p. 54 with hint), and pick any γ from that set. It must be regular by (B2) and $\Phi^+ \subset \Phi^+(\gamma)$, $\Phi^- \subset -\Phi^+(\gamma)$ so both are " $=$ ". $\Phi^+ = \Phi^+(\gamma)$ means $\Delta' \subseteq \Delta(\gamma)$ are indecomp. roots. $|\Delta| = l = |\Delta(\gamma)|$ so $\Delta = \Delta(\gamma)$. \square

Def. The connected components of $E - \bigcup_{\alpha \in \Phi} P_\alpha$ [194
are called (open) Weyl chambers.

Each regular $r \in E$ is in exactly one Weyl chamber,
denoted by $C(r)$. $C(r) = C(r')$ iff r and r' are on
the same side of each hyperplane P_α , $\alpha \in \Phi$, so
 $\Phi^+(r) = \Phi^+(r')$ and $\Delta(r) = \Delta(r')$. This gives a bijection
between Weyl chambers and bases.

Def. Let $C(\Delta) = C(r)$ if $\Delta = \Delta(r)$ and call this
the fundamental Weyl chamber relative to Δ .

$C(\Delta) = \bigcap_{\alpha \in \Delta} \{r \in E \mid (r, \alpha) > 0\}$ is an open convex set

bounded by the hyperplanes P_α , $\alpha \in \Delta$.

Exercise. For each rank 2 root system, relative to
our choice of base $\Delta = \{\alpha, \beta\}$, draw the fundamental
chamber. See Fig. 1 on p. 49 for case of A_2 .