

(c) It will be enough to prove that each  $\alpha \in \Phi$  is in some base. For  $\beta \neq \pm\alpha$ ,  $L_\beta \neq L_\alpha$  so

$L_\alpha \not\subseteq \bigcup_{\beta \neq \pm\alpha} L_\beta$  so  $\exists \gamma \in L_\alpha$  s.t.  $\gamma \notin \bigcup_{\beta \neq \pm\alpha} L_\beta$ .

Choose  $\gamma'$  close to  $\gamma$  s.t.  $(\gamma', \alpha) > \varepsilon > 0$  and with

$|(\gamma', \beta)| > \varepsilon, \forall \beta \neq \pm\alpha$ . Then  $\alpha \in \Delta(\gamma')$ .

(d)  $\forall \alpha \in \Phi$  let  $\sigma \in W'$  s.t.  $\sigma(\alpha) = \beta \in \Delta$ , so

$\sigma_\beta = \sigma \sigma_\alpha \sigma^{-1}$  so  $\sigma_\alpha = \sigma^{-1} \sigma_\beta \sigma \in W'$ .

This shows  $W = \langle \sigma_\alpha \mid \alpha \in \Phi \rangle = \langle \sigma_\alpha \mid \alpha \in \Delta \rangle = W'$ .

(e) If  $\sigma(\Delta) = \Delta$  for  $1 \neq \sigma \in W$ , write  $\sigma$  as a minimal length product of simple reflections as in the Cor. on page 198,  $\sigma = \sigma_1 \cdots \sigma_t$ . Then  $\sigma(\alpha_t) < 0$  contradicts  $\sigma(\Delta) = \Delta$ .  $\square$

Def. When  $\sigma \in W$  is written as a product of  $\lfloor 202$  simple roots,  $\sigma = \sigma_{\alpha_1} \cdots \sigma_{\alpha_t}$ , for  $\alpha_i \in \Delta$  and  $t$  minimal we call that a reduced expression for  $\sigma$  and call  $t = \ell(\sigma)$  the length of  $\sigma$  relative to  $\Delta$ .  
 $\ell(1) = 0$  by definition.

Def.  $\forall \sigma \in W$ , let  $n(\sigma)$  be the number of  $\alpha \in \Phi^+$  s.t.  $\sigma(\alpha) < 0$ .

Lemma.  $\forall \sigma \in W$ ,  $\ell(\sigma) = n(\sigma)$ .

Pf. By ind. on  $\ell(\sigma)$ . If  $\ell(\sigma) = 0$  then  $\sigma = 1$  so  $n(\sigma) = 0$ . Lemma B on page 196 gives the case  $\ell(\sigma) = 1$ . Assume  $\ell(\tau) = n(\tau)$  for all  $\tau \in W$  with  $\ell(\tau) < \ell(\sigma)$ . Write  $\sigma = \sigma_{\alpha_1} \cdots \sigma_{\alpha_t}$  in reduced form and let  $\alpha = \alpha_t$  so  $\sigma(\alpha) < 0$  by Cor. to Lemma C.

Lemma B says  $\sigma_\alpha$  permutes  $\Phi^+ - \{\alpha\}$  and 203  
we know  $\sigma_\alpha(\alpha) = -\alpha < 0$ , so  $n(\sigma\sigma_\alpha) = n(\sigma) - 1$   
( $\alpha$  is the only positive root counted in  $n(\sigma)$  which  
is not counted in  $n(\sigma\sigma_\alpha)$ .  $\sigma\sigma_\alpha(\alpha) = \sigma(-\alpha) > 0$ .)

But  $\sigma\sigma_\alpha = \sigma_1 \cdots \sigma_{t-1} \sigma_t \sigma_t = \sigma_1 \cdots \sigma_{t-1}$  has  
 $l(\sigma\sigma_\alpha) = t-1 < l(\sigma)$ , so by induction,  
 $l(\sigma\sigma_\alpha) = n(\sigma\sigma_\alpha)$  says  $l(\sigma) - 1 = n(\sigma) - 1$  so  
 $l(\sigma) = n(\sigma)$ .  $\square$

---

Def. A fundamental domain for  $W$  acting on  
 $E$  is a subset  $D \subseteq E$  s.t. each  $x \in E$  is  $W$ -conjugate  
to exactly one element of  $D$ .  $D$  contains one  
element from each  $W$ -orbit.

Lemma B. Let  $\lambda, \mu \in \overline{C(\Delta)}$ , the closure of [204]  
fundamental chamber  $C(\Delta)$ . If  $\sigma\lambda = \mu$  for  
some  $\sigma \in W$ , then  $\sigma$  is a product of simple  
reflections  $\sigma_{\alpha_i}$  s.t.  $\sigma_{\alpha_i}(\lambda) = \lambda$ , so  $\lambda = \mu$ .

Pf. By ind. on  $l(\sigma)$ .  $l(\sigma) = 0$  means  $\sigma = 1$  so  $\lambda = \mu$ .

Let  $l(\sigma) > 0$ , so  $n(\sigma) > 0$  and  $\sigma$  sends some  
positive root to  $\Phi^-$ , so  $\sigma$  must send some  
simple root to  $\Phi^-$ . So  $\exists \alpha \in \Delta$  s.t.  $\sigma(\alpha) < 0$ .

So  $0 \geq (\mu, \sigma\alpha) = (\sigma^{-1}\mu, \alpha) = (\lambda, \alpha) \geq 0$  since

$\lambda, \mu \in \overline{C(\Delta)}$ . Then  $(\lambda, \alpha) = 0$  so  $\sigma_{\alpha}(\lambda) = \lambda$  and

$(\sigma\sigma_{\alpha})\lambda = \mu$ . As before,  $l(\sigma\sigma_{\alpha}) = l(\sigma) - 1$  so  
induction gives the result for  $\sigma\sigma_{\alpha}$ , so it is true  
for  $\sigma$ .  $\square$

# Irreducible root systems

1205

Def. Say root system  $\Phi$  is irreducible when there is no partition  $\Phi = \Phi_1 \cup \Phi_2$  of  $\Phi$  into orthogonal disjoint proper subsets. Otherwise, say  $\Phi$  is reducible.

Ex.  $A_1, A_2, B_2, G_2$  are irreducible root systems but  $A_1 \times A_1$  is reducible with  $\Phi_1 = \{\pm\alpha\}, \Phi_2 = \{\pm\beta\}$ .

Th: Let  $\Delta$  be a base of root system  $\Phi$ . Then  $\Phi$  is irred. iff there is no partition of  $\Delta$  into orthog. proper disjoint subsets.

Pf. Suppose  $\Phi = \Phi_1 \cup \Phi_2$  with  $(\Phi_1, \Phi_2) = 0$  so  $\Phi$  is reducible. Then  $\Delta = \Delta_1 \cup \Delta_2$  for  $\Delta_i = \{\alpha \in \Delta \mid \alpha \in \Phi_i\}$ .

If  $\Delta \subset \Phi_1$ , then  $(\Delta, \Phi_2) = 0$  and  $\text{span}(\Delta) = E$  [206] gives  $(E, \Phi_2) = 0$  contradicting  $\Phi_2$  nonempty. Similarly, cannot have  $\Delta \subset \Phi_2$ , so  $\Delta_1$  and  $\Delta_2$  are proper subsets of  $\Delta$ . Thus,  $\Phi$  reducible implies  $\Delta$  is reducible.

Suppose  $\Phi$  is irred. but  $\Delta = \Delta_1 \cup \Delta_2$  with  $(\Delta_1, \Delta_2) = 0$  and  $\emptyset \neq \Delta_i \subsetneq \Delta$  disjoint.  $\forall \alpha \in \Phi$   $\exists \sigma \in W$  s.t.  $\sigma(\alpha) \in \Delta$ . Let  $\Phi_i = \{\alpha \in \Phi \mid \sigma(\alpha) \in \Delta_i \text{ for some } \sigma \in W\}$  so  $\Phi = \Phi_1 \cup \Phi_2$ . Note that if  $(\alpha, \beta) = 0$  then  $\sigma_\alpha(\beta) = \beta$  and  $\sigma_\beta(\alpha) = \alpha$  so  $\forall \gamma \in E$ ,  $\sigma_\alpha \sigma_\beta(\gamma) = \sigma_\alpha(\gamma - \langle \gamma, \beta \rangle \beta) = \gamma - \langle \gamma, \alpha \rangle \alpha - \langle \gamma, \beta \rangle \beta$ ,  $\sigma_\beta \sigma_\alpha(\gamma) = \sigma_\beta(\gamma - \langle \gamma, \alpha \rangle \alpha) = \gamma - \langle \gamma, \beta \rangle \beta - \langle \gamma, \alpha \rangle \alpha$  so  $\sigma_\alpha \sigma_\beta = \sigma_\beta \sigma_\alpha$ .

$W = \langle \sigma_\alpha \mid \alpha \in \Delta \rangle$  so  $\alpha \in \Phi_i$  means  $\alpha \in W(\Delta_i)$  [207]  
 and only simple reflections  $\sigma_\beta$  for  $\beta \in \Delta_i$  can move  
 simple roots in  $\Delta_i$ , giving roots in  $\text{span}(\Delta_i)$ .  
 Let  $E_i = \text{span}(\Delta_i)$ , so  $\Phi_i \subset E_i$  and  $(E_1, E_2) = 0$   
 so  $(\Phi_1, \Phi_2) = 0$ .  $\Delta_i \subset \Phi_i$  is nonempty, so  $\Phi$  is  
 reducible, contradiction.  $\square$

---

Lemma A. Let  $\Phi$  be irred. Then w.r.t. partial  
 ordering  $\leq$ , there is a unique maximal root  $\beta$ .  
 Thus,  $\forall \beta \neq \alpha \in \Phi$ ,  $\text{ht}(\alpha) < \text{ht}(\beta)$ , and  $\forall \alpha \in \Delta$ ,  $(\beta, \alpha) \geq 0$ .  
 Also,  $\beta = \sum_{\alpha \in \Delta} k_\alpha \alpha$  with all  $k_\alpha > 0$ .