

P.f. Let $\beta = \sum_{\alpha \in \Delta} k_{\alpha} \alpha$ be max. w.r.t. \leq . 208

Certainly $0 < \beta \in \Phi^+$ since $\Phi^- < \Phi^+$. Let
 $\Delta_1 = \{\alpha \in \Delta \mid k_{\alpha} > 0\}$ and $\Delta_2 = \{\alpha \in \Delta \mid k_{\alpha} = 0\}$, so

$\Delta = \Delta_1 \cup \Delta_2$ is a disjoint union. Suppose $\Delta_2 \neq \emptyset$.

Then $\forall \alpha \in \Delta_2, (\alpha, \beta) \leq 0$. Φ irred. implies $\exists \alpha \in \Delta_2$

$\exists \alpha' \in \Delta_1$ s.t. $(\alpha, \alpha') < 0$ so $(\alpha, \beta) = \sum_{\gamma \in \Delta_1} k_{\gamma} (\alpha, \gamma) < 0$

since $(\alpha, \gamma) \leq 0, \forall \gamma \in \Delta_1$ and $(\alpha, \alpha') < 0$.

But then $\beta + \alpha \in \Phi$ contradicts max. of β .

So $\Delta_2 = \emptyset$ and all $k_{\alpha} > 0, \Delta_1 = \Delta$.

Thus, $\forall \alpha \in \Delta, (\alpha, \beta) \geq 0$ and $\exists \alpha \in \Delta, (\alpha, \beta) > 0$ since

$(\Delta, \beta) = 0 \Rightarrow (E, \beta) = 0 \Rightarrow \beta = 0$.

To prove uniqueness of max. root, let β' be 209 another max. root, $\beta' = \sum_{\alpha \in \Delta} k'_\alpha \alpha$ with all $k'_\alpha > 0$ and $\exists \alpha' \in \Delta$ s.t. $(\alpha', \beta') > 0$ and $\forall \alpha \in \Delta, (\alpha, \beta') \geq 0$. Then $(\beta, \beta') = \sum_{\alpha \in \Delta} k_\alpha (\alpha, \beta') > 0$ so $\beta - \beta' \in \Phi \cup \{0\}$.

If $\beta - \beta' \in \Phi$ either $\beta - \beta' \in \Phi^+$ so $\beta > \beta'$ or else $\beta - \beta' \in \Phi^-$ so $\beta' - \beta \in \Phi^+$ so $\beta' > \beta$. Either way contradicts max. of β or β' , so $\beta = \beta'$. \square

Lemma B. Let Φ be irred. Then W acts irreducibly on E , and $\forall \alpha \in \Phi, \text{span}(W\alpha) = E$.

Pf. $\text{span}(W\alpha) \leq E$ is a W -invar. subspace of E , non-trivial since it contains α . Let $0 \neq E' \leq E$ be a W -invar subspace, $E'' = (E')^\perp$ its orthog. complement. Then E'' is also W -invar. and $E = E' \oplus E''$.

$$\forall x' \in E', y'' \in E'', \sigma \in W, (x', \sigma(y'')) = (\sigma^{-1}(x'), y'') \quad [210]$$

= 0 since $\sigma^{-1}(x') \in E'$.

On page 45 of Humphreys, Exercise ①, you are asked to prove that if reflection $\sigma_\alpha(E') \subseteq E'$ then either $\alpha \in E'$ or $E' \subset I_\alpha$. Pf. Suppose $\sigma_\alpha(E') \subseteq E'$ but $\alpha \notin E'$.

Then $\forall x' \in E', \sigma_\alpha(x') = x' - \langle x', \alpha \rangle \alpha \in E'$ gives $\langle x', \alpha \rangle \alpha \in E'$ so $\langle x', \alpha \rangle = 0$ so $x' \in I_\alpha$ so $E' \subset I_\alpha$ and $\alpha \in (E')^\perp$. \square

Applying this to $E = E' \perp E''$ (orthog. dir. sum), get $\alpha \notin E' \Rightarrow \alpha \in (E')^\perp = E''$. $\forall \alpha \in \Phi$, either $\alpha \in E'$ or $\alpha \in E''$.

$\Phi = \Phi' \cup \Phi''$ for $\Phi' = \{\alpha \in \Phi \mid \alpha \in E'\}$, $\Phi'' = \{\alpha \in \Phi \mid \alpha \in E''\}$

would be an orthog. partition of irred. Φ . Can't have both non-empty, so $E = E'$. \square

Lemma C. Let Φ be irred. Then at most two $\| \cdot \|$ root lengths occur in Φ , and all roots of a given length are in some W orbit.

Pf. $\forall \alpha, \beta \in \Phi$, $W\alpha$ spans E so $(W\alpha, \beta) = 0$ is not possible, $\exists \sigma \in W$ s.t. $(\sigma\alpha, \beta) \neq 0$. If $(\alpha, \beta) \neq 0$ then possible values of $(\beta, \beta)/(\alpha, \alpha) = \|\beta\|^2/\|\alpha\|^2$ are

$1, 2, 3, \frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{3}$ from table on page 185 of these notes. $\|\alpha\| = \|\sigma\alpha\|$, $\forall \sigma \in W$, and for some $\sigma \in W$, $(\sigma\alpha, \beta) \neq 0$ so $\|\beta\|^2/\|\sigma\alpha\|^2 \in \{1, 2, 3, \frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{3}\}$. If $\exists \alpha, \beta, \gamma \in \Phi$ with

three distinct lengths, $\|\alpha\| < \|\beta\| < \|\gamma\|$ and ratios $\|\beta\|^2/\|\alpha\|^2, \|\gamma\|^2/\|\beta\|^2 \in \{2, 3\}$ then $\|\gamma\|^2/\|\alpha\|^2 = \frac{3}{2}$

would not be a possible ratio.

Suppose $\|\alpha\| = \|\beta\|$ for $(\alpha, \beta) \neq 0$, if necessary [212]
after replacement of α by $\sigma(\alpha)$ for some $\sigma \in W$.

If $\alpha = \beta$ there is nothing more to prove, so suppose
 $\alpha \neq \beta$. By Table on p. 185, $\langle \alpha, \beta \rangle = \langle \beta, \alpha \rangle = \pm 1$.

If $\langle \alpha, \beta \rangle = -1$, then replace β by $-\beta = \sigma_\beta(\beta)$ so
 $\langle \alpha, \beta \rangle = 1$. Compute: $\sigma_\alpha \sigma_\beta \sigma_\alpha(\beta) = \sigma_\alpha \sigma_\beta(\beta - \alpha) =$
 $\sigma_\alpha(-\beta - \alpha + \beta) = \sigma_\alpha(-\alpha) = \alpha$ so α and β are in the
same W orbit. \square

For Φ irred with two distinct root lengths,
they are called long or short roots, accordingly.
A standard normalization is to say the
long roots have $\|\alpha\|^2 = 2$.

Lemma D. Let \mathbb{F} be irred. with two (23)
distinct root lengths. Then the max. root is
long.

P f. Let β be the max. root and $\alpha \in \mathbb{F}$ arbitrary.
Want to show $(\beta, \beta) \geq (\alpha, \alpha)$. We can replace α by

W conjugate $\sigma(\alpha) \in \overline{C(\Delta)}$ closure of fund.
chamber w.r.t. Δ . Since β is max. root, $\beta \geq \sigma(\alpha)$,
 $\beta - \sigma(\alpha) = \sum_{\delta \in \Delta} k_{\delta} \delta$ for $k_{\delta} \geq 0$, so $\forall \gamma \in \overline{C(\Delta)}$,

$(\gamma, \beta - \sigma(\alpha)) = \sum_{\delta \in \Delta} k_{\delta} (\gamma, \delta) \geq 0$ so $(\gamma, \beta) \geq (\gamma, \sigma(\alpha))$.

From Lemma A, $\beta \in \overline{C(\Delta)}$, and $\sigma(\alpha) \in \overline{C(\Delta)}$, so
using $\gamma = \beta$ and then $\gamma = \sigma(\alpha)$, get

$$(\beta, \beta) \geq (\beta, \sigma(\alpha)) \geq (\sigma(\alpha), \sigma(\alpha)) = (\alpha, \alpha). \quad \square$$