

Since  $\mu \in \Lambda^+$  and  $\delta - \sigma\delta = \sum_{i=1}^l k_i \alpha_i$  for 1263

$0 \leq k_i \in \mathbb{Z}$ ,  $(\mu, \delta - \sigma\delta) \geq 0$  so

$(\nu + \delta, \nu + \delta) \leq (\mu + \delta, \mu + \delta)$  with "=" iff

$(\mu, \delta - \sigma\delta) = 0$  iff  $(\mu, \delta) = (\mu, \sigma\delta) = (\nu, \delta)$  iff

$(\mu - \nu, \delta) = 0$ . But  $\nu \leq \mu$  from Lemma A, so

$\mu - \nu = \sum_{i=1}^l n_i \alpha_i$  for  $0 \leq n_i \in \mathbb{Z}$  so from Lemma C,

$$(\mu - \nu, \delta) = \sum_{i=1}^l n_i \sum_{j=1}^l (\alpha_i, \lambda_j) = \sum_{i=1}^l \sum_{j=1}^l n_i (\lambda_j, \alpha_i) =$$

$$\sum_{i=1}^l \sum_{j=1}^l n_i \frac{(\alpha_i, \alpha_i)}{2} \langle \lambda_j, \alpha_i \rangle = \sum_{i=1}^l n_i \frac{(\alpha_i, \alpha_i)}{2} = 0 \text{ iff}$$

$\lambda_j = \delta_{ij}$

all  $n_i = 0$  iff  $\mu = \nu$ .  $\square$

## Saturated sets of weights: 264

Def. Say that a subset  $\Pi \subseteq \Lambda$  is saturated if  $\forall \lambda \in \Pi, \forall \alpha \in \Phi$ , for all  $0 \leq i \leq \langle \lambda, \alpha \rangle$ , or  $\langle \lambda, \alpha \rangle \leq i \leq 0$ ,  $\lambda - i\alpha \in \Pi$ .

Note:  $\Pi$  saturated implies  $W(\Pi) \subseteq \Pi$  since  $\sigma_\alpha(\lambda) = \lambda - \langle \lambda, \alpha \rangle \alpha \in \Pi$  and  $W = \langle \sigma_\alpha \mid \alpha \in \Phi \rangle$ .

Def. Say saturated set  $\Pi$  has highest wt.  $\lambda$  (which must be in  $\Lambda^+$ ) if  $\lambda \in \Pi$  and  $\forall \mu \in \Pi$ ,  $\mu \leq \lambda$ .

Examples:  $\{0\}$  is saturated with highest wt.  $0$ .

$\Phi \cup \{0\}$  for root system  $\Phi$  of a semisimple Lie alg.

If  $\Phi$  is irred. and  $\Delta$  is a base of  $\Phi$  giving [265] a partial order  $\leq$ , then  $\Phi$  has a unique highest root and that is the highest wt. of  $\Pi = \Phi \cup \{0\}$ .

Lemma E. A saturated set with a highest weight  $\lambda$  must be a finite set.

Pf. Let  $\Pi$  be saturated,  $\lambda \in \Pi$  highest wt. From Lemma B,  $\{\mu \in \Lambda^+ \mid \mu \leq \lambda\}$  is finite so  $\Pi^+ \cap \{\mu \in \Lambda^+ \mid \mu \leq \lambda\}$  is finite.  $|W|$  is finite so  $|W(\Pi^+)|$  is finite.  $\Pi$  is  $W$ -invariant and each  $W$  orbit in  $\Pi$  includes an element  $\mu \in \Lambda^+ \cap \Pi = \Pi^+$  so  $\Pi = W(\Pi^+)$  is finite.  $\square$

Lemma E. Let  $\Pi$  be saturated with highest wt.  $\lambda$ . If  $\mu \in \Lambda^+$  and  $\mu \leq \lambda$  then  $\mu \in \Pi$ . 266

Pf. Suppose  $\mu' = \mu + \sum_{\alpha \in \Delta} k_\alpha \alpha \in \Pi$  for  $k_\alpha \in \mathbb{Z}^+$ .  
Note:  $\mu'$  need not be in  $\Lambda^+$ . We will show how to reduce a  $k_\alpha$  by 1 and stay in  $\Pi$ . Repeating the process eventually gives  $\mu' = \mu \in \Pi$ . The base case of this process is when  $\mu' = \lambda$ .

If  $\mu' \neq \mu$  then some  $k_\alpha > 0$  and  $(\sum k_\alpha \alpha, \sum k_\alpha \alpha) > 0$  so  $\exists \beta \in \Delta$  s.t.  $(\sum k_\alpha \alpha, \beta) > 0$  and  $k_\beta > 0$ .  
So  $\langle \sum k_\alpha \alpha, \beta \rangle > 0$ . Also,  $\mu \in \Lambda^+$  so  $\langle \mu, \beta \rangle \geq 0$  and then  $\langle \mu', \beta \rangle > 0$ .  $\Pi$  saturated, so  $\mu' - \beta \in \Pi$  giving a new  $\mu'$  and an expression for it with  $k_\beta - 1$  in place of  $k_\beta$ .  $\square$

Thus, saturated set  $\Pi$  with highest wt. 267  
 $\lambda$  is exactly  $W\{\mu \in \Lambda^+ \mid \mu \leq \lambda\}$  which is  
 uniquely determined by  $\lambda \in \Lambda^+$ , so could be  
 denoted by  $\Pi^\lambda$ .

Lemma G. Let  $\Pi^\lambda$  be a saturated set with  
 highest wt.  $\lambda \in \Lambda^+$ .  $\forall \mu \in \Pi^\lambda$  we have  
 $(\mu + \delta, \mu + \delta) \leq (\lambda + \delta, \lambda + \delta)$  with "=" iff  $\mu = \lambda$ .  
Pf. From Lemma D, it suffices to prove this  
 for  $\mu \in \Lambda^+$ . Write  $\mu = \lambda - \eta$  for  $\eta = \sum_{i=1}^2 n_i \alpha_i$   
 with  $0 \leq n_i \in \mathbb{Z}$ . Then  
 $(\lambda + \delta, \lambda + \delta) - (\mu + \delta, \mu + \delta) = (\lambda + \delta, \lambda + \delta) - (\lambda + \delta - \eta, \lambda + \delta - \eta)$   
 $= (\lambda + \delta, \eta) + (\eta, \lambda + \delta - \eta) = (\lambda + \delta, \eta) + (\eta, \mu + \delta) \geq (\lambda + \delta, \eta) \geq 0$

where we used  $\mu + \delta \in \Lambda^{++}$  and  $\lambda + \delta \in \Lambda^{++}$ . 1268  
 So only get "=" 0 when  $\eta = 0$ .  $\square$

Figure 8:  $A_2$  Weight Diagram For Irreducible Module  
 With Highest Weight  $3\lambda_1 + 2\lambda_2$

