

Jordan-Chevalley Decomposition

82

Assume F is alg. closed, but $\text{char}(F)$ is arbitrary.
Jordan block form for $x \in \text{End}(V)$, $\dim(V) < \infty$,
is a block diagonal matrix representing x w.r.t.
some basis, where the blocks look like

$$J(a, m) = \begin{bmatrix} a & 1 & & 0 \\ & a & \ddots & \\ & & \ddots & 1 \\ 0 & & & a \end{bmatrix} \in F^m \text{ for } a \in F$$
$$= a I_m + \sum_{i=1}^{m-1} E_{i(i+1)}$$
$$= a I_m + N(m)$$

so $N(m)$ is nilpotent
and $N(1) = [0] \in F^1$

and $N(m)^m = O_m^m$.

If $J_x = \begin{bmatrix} B_1 & & & 0 \\ & B_2 & & \\ & & \ddots & \\ 0 & & & B_r \end{bmatrix}$

Note: $[a, I_m, N(m)] = O_m^m$

is the Jordan block form
matrix rep'ing x , so each
 $B_i = J(a_i, m_i)$ for some
 $a_i \in F, 1 \leq m_i \in \mathbb{Z}$, then

$\dim(V) = n = m_1 + m_2 + \dots + m_r$, a_1, \dots, a_r need not 83
 be distinct, any power of J_X is also the Jordan
 block form matrix:

$$J_X^K = \begin{bmatrix} B_1^K & & 0 \\ & B_2^K & \\ 0 & & \ddots \\ & & & B_r^K \end{bmatrix} \text{ and } J_X = S_X + N_X \text{ where}$$

$$S_X = \begin{bmatrix} a_1 I_{m_1} & & 0 \\ & a_2 I_{m_2} & \\ 0 & & \ddots \\ & & & a_r I_{m_r} \end{bmatrix} \text{ is a diagonal matrix}$$

and

$$N_X = \begin{bmatrix} N(m_1) & & 0 \\ & N(m_2) & \\ 0 & & \ddots \\ & & & N(m_r) \end{bmatrix} \text{ is a nilp. matrix}$$

which commutes
with S_X .

Furthermore, the char. poly. of X is

184

$$\det(tI_n - J_X) = \prod_{i=1}^r (t - a_i)^{m_i}$$

but the minimal poly. of X is more subtle.

There can be Jordan blocks with the same diagonal entry, $J(a_1, m_1)$ and $J(a_2, m_2)$ could have $a_1 = a_2 = a$, and the sizes can be arranged in non-increasing order $m_1 \geq m_2$. To simplify notation, fix $a \in \{a_1, \dots, a_r\}$ and let all the Jordan blocks in J_X with diagonal entry a be listed with sizes as $J(a, m_{a1}), J(a, m_{a2}), \dots, J(a, m_{a n_a})$ that is, $m_{a1} \geq m_{a2} \geq \dots \geq m_{a n_a} \geq 1$ gives n_a J-blocks with e-value a in the sizes indicated with m_{a1} the largest size.

The usual Jordan form matrix J_X is made [85] with its sub-blocks organized into groups, one for each distinct e-value, say b_1, \dots, b_t , and for each one, $b = b_\kappa, 1 \leq \kappa \leq t$, we have

$$J(b) = \begin{bmatrix} J(b, m_{b1}) & & \\ & J(b, m_{b2}) & \\ & & \ddots \\ & & & J(b, m_{bn_b}) \end{bmatrix}$$

and $J_X = \begin{bmatrix} J(b_1) & & \\ & J(b_2) & \\ & & \ddots \\ & & & J(b_t) \end{bmatrix}$ grouping the t distinct e-values into blocks, each of which has the sub-block structure shown above. Letting $J(b_j) \in F_{k_j}^{k_j}$ for size

$$k_j = m_{b_j,1} + m_{b_j,2} + \dots + m_{b_j,n_{b_j}} \quad (\text{so many subscripts!})$$

the char. poly. of X can be written as 186

$$\prod_{j=1}^t (t - b_j)^{k_j}$$

which assumes b_1, \dots, b_t are distinct, with exponents for

using t in two ways! But now we can find

$$m_X(t) = \prod_{j=1}^t (t - b_j)^{m_j} \quad \text{the min. poly. of } X$$

where the power $m_j = m_{b_j}$ is the size of the largest Jordan block for b_j in $J(b_j)$.

Def. k_j is called the algebraic multiplicity of the eigenvalue b_j for operator $X \in \text{End}(V)$.

$g_j = \dim(X_{b_j}) = \underline{\text{geometric mult. of } b_j \text{ for } X}$, where

$X_{b_j} = \{v \in V \mid X \cdot v = b_j v\}$ is the b_j e-space of X .

Note. Using our notation for $J(b_j)$, [87]
the first column of each J-block
 $J(b_j, m_{b_j, i})$ for $1 \leq i \leq n_{b_j}$ corresponds
to a basis e-vector with e-value b_j . So
 $g_j = n_{b_j}$ is the number of J-blocks in $J(b_j)$.

Def. For $\dim(V) < \infty$, say $X \in \text{End}(V)$ is
semisimple when min. poly. of X is $m_X(t) =$
 $\prod_{j=1}^r (t - b_j)$ is a product of distinct linear
factors, so all $m_j = 1$, with no repeated roots.
For F alg. closed this means X is diagonalizable.

So X is diag-able when each $J(b_j)$ is diagonal, so $J(b_j) = b_j I_{k_j}$ and $n_{b_j} = k_j = g_j$ (alg. mult. = geom. mult. for each j). 188

Lemma: If $X, Y \in \text{End}(V)$ are commuting semisimple operators on V , they can be simultaneously diagonalized, that is, V has a basis w.r.t. which both X and Y are rep'd by diagonal matrices.

Pf. There is a direct sum decomposition $V = \bigoplus_{i=1}^m V_{\lambda_i}$ into e-spaces for Y . For any

$$v \in V_{\lambda_i}, \quad Y \cdot v = \lambda_i v \quad \text{so } Y \cdot (X \cdot v) = X \cdot (Y \cdot v) = \lambda_i (X \cdot v) \\ \text{so } X(V_{\lambda_i}) \subseteq V_{\lambda_i}$$

Since X is diag-able on V and stabilizes $\underline{189}$
each e -space for Y , X restricts to a diag-able
map on each V_{λ_i} . The min. poly. of the restriction
divides the $m_X(t)$, so it is also a product of
distinct linear factors.

There is an e -basis of each V_{λ_i} for X ,
and all such vectors are e -vectors for Y , so
they are simultaneous e -vectors for X and Y .

Cor. If $X, Y \in \text{End}(V)$ are commuting s.s. op's
on V then $X \pm Y$ are also semisimple.

Note: If $X \in \text{End}(V)$ is s.s. and for $W \subseteq V$
 W is X -invariant then $X|_W: W \rightarrow W$ is s.s.

Prop. Let $x \in \text{End}(V)$ for $\dim(V) < \infty$. 90

(a) $\exists! x_s, x_n \in \text{End}(V)$ s.t. $x = x_s + x_n$ for x_s semisimple, x_n nilp. and $[x_s, x_n] = 0$.

(b) $\exists p(T), q(T) \in F[T]$ s.t. $p(0) = 0 = q(0)$ and $x_s = p(x)$, $x_n = q(x)$. $\forall y \in \text{End}(V)$ s.t. $[x, y] = 0$ we have $[x_s, y] = 0 = [x_n, y]$.

(c) If $A \subset B \subset V$ are subspaces of V and $x \cdot B \subseteq A$ then $x_s \cdot B \subseteq A$ and $x_n \cdot B \subseteq A$.

Note: $x = x_s + x_n$ is called the Jordan-Chevalley decomposition of x into its semisimple and nilpotent parts.

Pf. For F alg. closed the char. poly of x is
is $\prod_{i=1}^{\kappa} (T - a_i)^{m_i}$ for distinct e-values a_1, \dots, a_{κ}
with alg. multiplicities m_1, \dots, m_{κ} (Humphreys'
notation). Let $V_i = \ker(x - a_i I_V)^{m_i}$ so that
 $V = \bigoplus_{i=1}^{\kappa} V_i$ and $x \cdot V_i \subseteq V_i$ for $1 \leq i \leq \kappa$.

The restriction $x|_{V_i}: V_i \rightarrow V_i$ has char. poly.
 $(T - a_i)^{m_i}$. The polynomials $(T - a_1)^{m_1}, \dots, (T - a_{\kappa})^{m_{\kappa}}$
are pairwise relatively prime. If all $a_i \neq 0$
then these are also relatively prime to T .
If one of the e-values is 0 then there is a
 T^{m_i} in the list for some i .

The Chinese Remainder Theorem (CRT) is a

basic ring theory result which applies to 92
ring $F[T]$ and gives the existence of a poly.

$p(T)$ satisfying the congruences:

$$p(T) \equiv a_i \pmod{(T-a_i)^{m_i}} \text{ for } 1 \leq i \leq k \text{ and}$$

$$p(T) \equiv 0 \pmod{T}, \text{ which is implied by}$$

one above if some $a_i = 0$, given by CRT if all
 $a_i \neq 0$ since T is rel. prime to each $(T-a_i)^{m_i}$.

Let $g(T) = T - p(T)$, so $p(0) = 0 = g(0)$, and
let $x_s = p(x)$, $x_n = g(x)$. These are both polys.
in x so $[x_s, x_n] = 0$ and $\forall y \in \text{End}(V)$ s.t.

$$[x, y] = 0 \text{ we have } [x_s, y] = [p(x), y] = 0 \text{ and}$$

$$[x_n, y] = [g(x), y] = 0.$$

Any subspace of V stabilized by x is also [93] stabilized by $p(x)$ and $q(x)$, for example, each generalized e-space V_i . Using congruence $p(T) \equiv a_i \pmod{(T-a_i)^{m_i}}$ we see that

$$p(x) - a_i I_V = x^s - a_i I_V = (x - a_i I_V)^{m_i} \text{ is } 0 \text{ on } V_i$$

So $x_s|_{V_i} = a_i I_{V_i}$ for each $1 \leq i \leq \kappa$ makes x_s semisimple on V . We defined

$$x_n = q(x) = x - p(x) = x - x_s$$

so on V_i we have $x_n^{m_i} = (x - a_i I_{V_i})^{m_i} = 0$ and then x_n is nilp on all of V , killed by the power $\text{Max}(m_1, \dots, m_\kappa)$.

(c) is true because for $A \subset B \subset V$ with $x \cdot B \subseteq A$ we have $x^i \cdot B \subseteq x^{i-1} \cdot (x \cdot B) \subseteq x^{i-1} \cdot A \subseteq x^{i-1} \cdot B \subseteq A$ by induction on $i \geq 1$. [94]

Note that $x^0 \cdot B = B$ need not be in A , but $x_s = p(x)$ and $x_n = q(x)$ only involve powers of x^i for $i \geq 1$ since $p(T)$ and $q(T)$ have 0 constant terms.

To finish the proof we only need to show the uniqueness of x_s and x_n in part (a). Suppose there is another decomposition $x = s + n$ where s is s.s., n is nilp., $[s, n] = 0$. Then $x_s - s = n - x_n$ and $[s, x] = 0 = [n, x]$ so by (b), $[s, x_s] = 0 = [s, x_n]$, $[n, x_s] = 0 = [n, x_n]$.

Thus, $x_s - s$ is a difference of two commuting (95) s.s. endo's of V , so it is s.s., and $n - x_n$ is a diff. of two comm. nilp. endo's of V , so it is nilp.

The only operator in $\text{End}(V)$ which is both s.s. and nilp. is the zero operator, 0_V .

So $s = x_s$ and $n = x_n$ gives uniqueness. \square

Applications: For $\dim(V) < \infty$ let $L = \mathfrak{gl}(V)$

and its rep'n $\text{ad}: L \rightarrow \text{End}(L)$.

We have seen that $x \in \mathfrak{gl}(V)$ nilp. $\Rightarrow \text{ad}_x$ nilp.

Lemma: If $x \in \mathfrak{gl}(V)$ is s.s. then ad_x is s.s.

Pf. Let $S = \{v_1, \dots, v_n\}$ be a basis of V such that