

Let X be a set, $\{A_i : i \in I\}$ a collection of subsets of X , $B \subseteq X$. We say that the sets $A_i, i \in I$ cover B , if $B \subseteq \bigcup_{i \in I} A_i$.
 In particular, we say that $\{A_i : i \in I\}$ is a covering of X if $X = \bigcup_{i \in I} A_i$.

Definition: A topological space X is called compact if any covering $\{U_i : i \in I\}$ of X by open sets has a finite subset which still covers X , i.e. has a finite subcovering.
 In other words, there is a finite set of indices $i_1, \dots, i_n \in I$ such that $X = U_{i_1} \cup \dots \cup U_{i_n}$.

Example: (1) Every finite topological space is compact.

(2) The subspace $X = \{0, 1, \frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{3}, \frac{1}{4}, \dots\}$ of \mathbb{R} is compact.
 In fact, let $\{U_i : i \in I\}$ be an open covering of X . Then $0 \in U_j$ for some $j \in I$. Since $1, \frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{3}, \dots$ converges to 0 , U_j contains all but a finite number of $1, \frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{3}, \dots$, i.e. $\frac{1}{k} \in U_j$ for all $k > N$ for some N .
 Now $1 \in U_{i_1}, \frac{1}{2} \in U_{i_2}, \dots, \frac{1}{N} \in U_{i_N}$ for some $i_1, \dots, i_N \in I$. Thus the sets $U_{i_1}, \dots, U_{i_N}, U_j$ cover X . \square

Exercise: If a_1, a_2, \dots is a sequence in a topological space X which converges to $a \in X$ then the subset $\{a_1, a_2, a_3, \dots\}$ is compact.

Non-example: (1) \mathbb{R} is not compact. The covering $\{(-n, n) : n \in \mathbb{N}\}$

has no finite sub-coverings.

(2) Any infinite discrete space is not compact.

(3) (X, d) metric space s.t. X is not bounded (i.e. there are points in X at arbitrary large distance) then X is not compact.

Exercise: Prove (3) in details.

Theorem (Heine - Borel). The interval $[0, 1]$ is compact.

Proof: Consider an open cover $\{U_i : i \in I\}$ of $[0, 1]$. Let
 $T = \{t \in [0, 1] : [0, t] \text{ can be covered by finitely many sets from } \{U_i : i \in I\}\}$.

If $1 \in T$, then we are done. Assume that $1 \notin T$. We will show that this leads to a contradiction by proving that both T and $[0, 1] - T$ are open (which contradicts the connectedness of $[0, 1]$).

Note that: ① $0 \in T$; ② if $a \in T$ then $[0, a] \subseteq T$. A
Let $a \in T$. Then $a < 1$ and $a \in U_j$ for some $j \in I$. Since U_j is open,
there is $\varepsilon > 0$ such that $[a, a + \varepsilon) \subseteq U_j$. Now $[0, a]$ is covered by
finitely many U_i 's and $[a, a + \frac{\varepsilon}{2}] \subseteq U_j$, i.e. $[a, a + \frac{\varepsilon}{2}]$ is covered by U_j .

It follows that $[0, a + \frac{\varepsilon}{2}] = [0, a] \cup [a, a + \frac{\varepsilon}{2}]$ is also covered by
finitely many of the U_i 's. Thus $a + \frac{\varepsilon}{2} \in T$ and $[0, a + \frac{\varepsilon}{2}] \subseteq T$.

We showed that with every point $a \in T$, an open neighborhood
 $[0, a + \frac{\varepsilon}{2})$ of a is contained in T . Thus T is open.

Now suppose $b \notin T$. Then $b > 0$ and $b \in U_k$ for some $k \in I$.
Since U_k is open, we have $(b - \varepsilon, b) \subseteq U_k$ for some $\varepsilon > 0$.

If there was $t \in T$ such that $t \in (b - \varepsilon, b)$, then $[0, t]$ would be
covered by finitely many U_i 's and $[t, b) \subseteq U_k$, so $[0, b) = [0, t] \cup [t, b)$
would also be covered by finitely many U_i 's, which is false.

It follows that $(b - \varepsilon, b) \cap T = \emptyset$, hence $(b - \varepsilon, 1) \cap T = \emptyset$ (by —)

Thus we proved that $[0, 1] - T$ is open. Since $T, [0, 1] - T$ are
non-empty, disjoint, open sets s.t. $[0, 1] = T \cup ([0, 1] - T)$, $[0, 1]$ is
not connected, a contradiction. \square

Proposition: Let B be a basis of a topological space X .
 Then X is compact iff any open cover of X by sets from B has a finite subcover.

Pf: \Rightarrow clear

\Leftarrow Let $\{U_i : i \in I\}$ be an open cover of X . For any $x \in X$ there is $i(x) \in I$ s.t. $x \in U_{i(x)}$. Since B is a basis, there is $B_x \in B$ such that $x \in B_x \subseteq U_{i(x)}$. The set $\{B_x : x \in X\}$ is a cover of X by open sets from B . Thus there is a finite subcover: there are $x_1, \dots, x_m \in X$ s.t. B_{x_1}, \dots, B_{x_m} cover X . Since $B_{x_i} \subseteq U_{i(x_i)}$ for all i , we conclude that $U_{i(x_1)}, U_{i(x_2)}, \dots, U_{i(x_m)}$ is a finite covering of X . \square

Theorem: If X, Y are compact then $X \times Y$ is compact.

Proof: Consider an open cover $\{U_i \times V_i : i \in I\}$ of $X \times Y$ by open basic sets. (so U_i is open in X , V_i is open in Y).

Fix $y \in Y$. Given $x \in X$, the point $(x, y) \in U_{i_y(x)} \times V_{i_y(x)}$ for some $i_y(x) \in I$.

The collection $\{U_{i_y(x)} : x \in X\}$ is an open cover of X . Since X is compact, $U_{i_y(x_1)}, \dots, U_{i_y(x_m)}$ is a finite subcover of X for some $x_1, \dots, x_m \in X$. Let $V_y = V_{i_y(x_1)} \cap \dots \cap V_{i_y(x_m)}$. Then V_y is open in Y and $y \in V_y$. Also the "strip" $X \times V_y$ is covered by

finitely many of the sets $\{U_i \times V_i : i \in I\}$:

$$X \times V_y = U_{i_y(x_1)} \times V_y \cup \dots \cup U_{i_y(x_m)} \times V_y \subseteq U_{i_y(x_1)} \times V_{i_y(x_1)} \cup \dots \cup U_{i_y(x_m)} \times V_{i_y(x_m)}$$

Now the set $\{V_y : y \in Y\}$ is ~~a finite cover~~ an open cover of Y , so it has a finite subcover: $Y = V_{y_1} \cup \dots \cup V_{y_n}$ for some

$y_1, y_2, \dots, y_n \in Y$. This means that $X \times Y = X \times V_{y_1} \cup \dots \cup X \times V_{y_n}$.

We see that $X \times Y$ is a union of finitely many "strips" $X \times V_{y_1} \cup \dots \cup X \times V_{y_n}$, and each strip is covered by finitely many of the sets $\{U_i \times V_i : i \in I\}$. It follows that $X \times Y$ is also covered by finitely many of the sets $\{U_i \times V_i : i \in I\}$. \square

It is more difficult to prove the following

Theorem (Tichonov): Product of any collection of compact topological spaces is compact.

The following useful result expresses compactness in terms of closed sets.

Theorem: A topological space X is compact iff for any collection $\{A_i : i \in I\}$ of closed subsets of X such that $\bigcap_{i \in I} A_i = \emptyset$, there is a finite subcollection A_{i_1}, \dots, A_{i_k} such that $A_{i_1} \cap \dots \cap A_{i_k} = \emptyset$.

Proof: Note that: (1) A_i is closed iff $X - A_i$ is open

$$(2) \bigcap_{i \in I} A_i = \emptyset \text{ if and only if } \bigcup_{i \in I} (X - A_i) = X$$

$$\text{(since } X - \bigcap_{i \in I} A_i = \bigcup_{i \in I} (X - A_i)\text{)}$$

\Rightarrow Let X be compact. If $\{A_i : i \in I\}$ consists of closed sets s.t. $\bigcap_{i \in I} A_i = \emptyset$, then $\{X - A_i : i \in I\}$ is an open cover of X .

Hence $(X - A_{i_1}) \cup \dots \cup (X - A_{i_m}) = X$ for some ~~finite~~ $i_1, \dots, i_m \in I$

which is the same as $A_{i_1} \cap \dots \cap A_{i_m} = \emptyset$.

\Leftarrow Let $\{U_i : i \in I\}$ be an open cover of X . Then $X - U_i$ are closed and $\bigcap_{i \in I} (X - U_i) = X - (\bigcup_{i \in I} U_i) = \emptyset$. Thus there are

$i_1, \dots, i_m \in I$ such that $(X - U_{i_1}) \cap \dots \cap (X - U_{i_m}) = \emptyset$. This is the same as $U_{i_1} \cup \dots \cup U_{i_m} = X$, so we get a finite subcover. \square

Theorem: Let A be a closed subset of a compact space X .

Then A is compact.

Pf: Let $\{A_i : i \in I\}$ be a collection of closed subsets of A s.t. $\bigcap_{i \in I} A_i = \emptyset$. Since A is closed, each A_i is closed in X . Since X is compact, $A_{i_1} \cap \dots \cap A_{i_m} = \emptyset$ for some $i_1, \dots, i_m \in I$. Thus A is compact. \square

Theorem: If X is Hausdorff and $A \subseteq X$, A compact then A is closed (i.e. a compact subset of a Hausdorff space is closed).

Proof: Take any $x \notin A$. For any $a \in A$ there are open sets U_a, V_a s.t. $a \in U_a, x \in V_a$ and $U_a \cap V_a = \emptyset$. The collection $\{U_a \cap A : a \in A\}$ is an open cover of A . Thus $A \subseteq U_{a_1} \cup \dots \cup U_{a_m}$ for some $a_1, \dots, a_m \in A$. Take $V_x = V_{a_1} \cap \dots \cap V_{a_m}$. Then

V_x is open, $x \in V_x$ and $V_x \cap U_{a_i} = \emptyset$ for $i=1, \dots, m$. It follows that $V_x \cap (U_{a_1} \cup \dots \cup U_{a_m}) = \emptyset$, hence $V_x \cap A = \emptyset$ (as $A \subseteq U_{a_1} \cup \dots \cup U_{a_m}$). Since $V_x \cap A = \emptyset$, $x \notin \bar{A}$. We proved that if $x \notin A$ then $x \notin \bar{A}$. This means $A = \bar{A}$, i.e. A is closed. \square