

Q: (from last time) Is a finitely-generated module over a Noetherian ring necessarily a Noetherian module?

A: Yes, in fact this is an iff. See Ex 8, Sec 15.1, p. 668. The fundamental lemma is Ex 6:

If $0 \rightarrow M' \rightarrow M \rightarrow M'' \rightarrow 0$ is an exact sequence of ~~the~~ R -modules, M is Noetherian iff M' and M'' are Noetherian.

From this it follows that (Ex 7) submodules, quotients, and finite direct sums of Noetherian R -modules are Noetherian.

The R -module R is Noetherian iff R is a Noetherian ring.

More about this next semester.

In the meantime: a technique for learning about rational canonical form, and other

things. Sometimes there is a mysterious-seeming procedure and we can learn by trying to figure out why it works.

An example from my nephew:

Let $f(x) = 2x + 3$ find $f^{-1}(x)$.

Procedure: ① replace $f(x)$ with y

$$y = 2x + 3$$

② Solve for x .

$$x = \frac{1}{2}(y - 3)$$

③ Replace x with y and vice versa.

$$y = \frac{1}{2}(x - 3)$$

④ Replace y with $f^{-1}(x)$.

$$f^{-1}(x) = \frac{1}{2}(x - 3)$$

Asking why, with my nephew:

Q: What is $f^{-1}(x)$?

A: It is an f with a -1 on top.

Q: What ~~is~~ is $f^{-1}(f(x))$?

A: How should I know? Why would you even ask that question?

Q: What is the definition of $f^{-1}(x)$?

A: The thing you obtain with the procedure above,

We now present a mysterious procedure for finding the conjugacy classes of $GL_3(\mathbb{F}_2)$ and propose to ask some appropriate "why" questions, later.

$$|GL_3(\mathbb{F}_2)| = (2^3 - 1)(2^3 - 2)(2^3 - 2^2) = 168 = 2^3 \cdot 3 \cdot 7$$

So the possible orders of elements include:

$$1, 2, 3, 4, 7$$

Suppose (following Example 5, p. 487) that

$$A \in GL_3(\mathbb{F}_2) \text{ and } A^7 = I$$

The minimal polynomial of A must divide $x^7 - 1$.

$$\text{In } \mathbb{F}_2[x] \quad x^7 - 1 = x^7 + 1 = (x+1)(x^3+x^2+1)(x^3+x+1)$$

~~The minimal polynomial of any matrix B in $GL_3(\mathbb{F}_2)$ which~~

If $B \in GL_3(\mathbb{F}_2)$ has $m_B(x) \mid (x^7 - 1)$ in $\mathbb{F}_2[x]$,

then $B^7 = I$. Also $\deg(m_B(x)) \leq 3$.

Thus $m_B(x) = x+1$, x^3+x^2+1 , or x^3+x+1 .

$$\text{If } m_B(x) = x+1 \quad B = I$$

$$\text{If } m_B(x) = x^3+x^2+1, \text{ then } C_B(x) = x^3+x^2+1$$

and we have B (up to conjugacy) is

$$B = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 1 \end{pmatrix}$$

Similarly if $m_B(x) = x^3 + x + 1 = c_B(x)$

up to conjugacy $B = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$

Now suppose we consider the possibility of an element of order 4. Then the minimal polyn. must divide

$$x^4 - 1 = x^4 + 1 = (x+1)^4 \in \mathbb{F}_2[x]$$

If $B \in GL_3(\mathbb{F}_2)$ is a matrix with $m_B(x) \mid (x+1)^4$ then $m_B(x) = x+1$, $(x+1)^2$, or $(x+1)^3$, because

$$\deg m_B(x) \leq 3.$$

If $m_B(x) = x+1$, then $B = I$.

If $m_B(x) = (x+1)^2 = x^2 + 1$, $B^2 = I$ and

B has order 2, not order 4.

$$\text{Thus } m_B(x) = (x+1)^3 = x^3 + x^2 + x + 1.$$

$$= c_B(x).$$

Up to conjugacy, $B = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 1 & 1 \end{pmatrix}$

Now we can explain why there are no elements of order 6 or 8.

Consider first the possibility of an element of order 8. Then we would have $m_B(x) \mid x^8 + 1 = (x+1)^8$

So $m_B(x) = x+1, (x+1)^2$, or $(x+1)^3$.

Thus the order of B is 1, 2, or 4.

So no elements of order 8.

Suppose we had an element of order 6.

Then $m_B(x) \mid (x^6 + 1) = (x+1)^2 (x^2 + x + 1)^2$

and thus $m_B(x) = x+1, (x+1)^2, (x^2 + x + 1)$, or $(x+1)(x^2 + x + 1)$

Since $(x^2 + x + 1)(x+1) = x^3 + 1$, the last 2 cases

result in an element of order 3 and the first two give elements of orders 1, 2.

Notice that if there is no element of order 6, there is no element of order $42 = 6 \cdot 7$.

Reasoning similar to the previous reasoning will eliminate all orders of elements except 1, 2, 3, 4, 7.

For elements of order 2, we know that

$$m_B(x) \mid (x^2 + 1) = (x + 1)^2, \text{ so } m_B(x) = (1 + x)^2$$

$$\text{and } c_B(x) = (x + 1)^3 = x^3 + x^2 + x + 1$$

Thus up to conjugacy $B = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 1 & 1 \end{pmatrix}$

Now we can do 2 things:

- Go through this reasoning and ask "why?"
- Check our work with the computer!

Quiz

Wed Dec 6.

Example (5) p. 487

Sec 12.2.

Slightly pathological linear transformations of ∞ -dim'l vector spaces.

$V =$ sequences of real numbers

$$\{(a_0, a_1, a_2, \dots) \mid a_i \in \mathbb{R}\}.$$

$W =$ { sequences in V that are eventually 0. }

$$T: V \rightarrow V \quad T(a_0, a_1, a_2, \dots) = (a_1, a_2, a_3, \dots)$$

$$S: V \rightarrow V \quad S(a_0, a_1, a_2, \dots) = (0, a_0, a_1, a_2, \dots)$$