

SMOOTHING H-SPACES II

ERIK KJÆR PEDERSEN

0. INTRODUCTION

In [8] we showed that certain H -spaces obtained by homotopy mixing are homotopy equivalent to smooth, parallelizable manifolds. Unfortunately (as was added in proof) we needed the restriction on the fundamental group π , that $D(\mathbf{Z}\pi) = 0$. It is the purpose of this sequel to [8] to remove this restriction, and also to generalize the main theorem considerably. I want to thank I. Hambleton for pointing out the error in [8].

1. NOTATION. STATEMENT OF RESULTS

Throughout the paper space will mean topological space of the homotopy type of a connected CW complex. For a set of primes l and a nilpotent space X , X_l denotes the localization at l in the sense of [5]. A space X is called quasifinite if $H_*(X) = \bigoplus H_i(X; \mathbf{Z})$ is a finitely generated abelian group. If $H_*(X)$ is a \mathbf{Z}_l -module X is called l -locally quasifinite if $H_*(X)$ is finitely generated as a \mathbf{Z}_l -module.

To state our main theorem we need a couple of definitions. Let S^i denote the i -sphere.

1.1. DEFINITION. A nilpotent space X admits a special 1-torus if, up to homotopy, there is a diagram of orientable fibrations

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} S^1 & \longrightarrow & S^3 & \longrightarrow & S^2 \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ S^1 & \longrightarrow & X & \longrightarrow & B \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ * & \longrightarrow & A & \longrightarrow & A \end{array}$$

such that

- (a) A is quasifinite, B is stably reducible.
- (b) Localized at 0 the diagram is homotopy equivalent to

$$\begin{array}{ccccc}
S_0^1 & \longrightarrow & S_0^3 & \longrightarrow & S_0^2 \\
\downarrow & & \downarrow & & \downarrow \\
S_0^1 & \longrightarrow & A_0 \times S_0^3 & \longrightarrow & A_0 \times S_0^2 \\
\downarrow & & \downarrow \text{pr}_1 & & \downarrow \text{pr}_1 \\
* & \longrightarrow & A_0 & \longrightarrow & A_0
\end{array}$$

We remark that since X is nilpotent and the fibrations are orientable A and B are nilpotent so localization makes sense.

1.2. **EXAMPLE.** *Given a bundle $S^3 \rightarrow X \rightarrow A$ with A quasifinite stably reducible and the bundle stably trivial then X admits a special 1-torus by dividing out the subgroup $S^1 \subset S^3$ (see [8, lemma 3.6]). All compact Lie groups other than $SO(3)^k \times T^l$ have subgroups isomorphic to S^3 as is seen by classification and all these Lie groups admit special 1-tori. This will be further discussed in section 5.*

We need a p -local version of definition 1.1. Let X be a nilpotent space, p a prime.

1.3. **DEFINITION.** X admits a p -local special 1-torus if, up to homotopy, there is a diagram of orientable fibrations

$$\begin{array}{ccccc}
S_p^1 & \longrightarrow & S_p^3 & \longrightarrow & S_p^2 \\
\downarrow & & \downarrow & & \downarrow \\
S_p^1 & \longrightarrow & X & \longrightarrow & B \\
\downarrow & & \downarrow & & \downarrow \\
* & \longrightarrow & A & \longrightarrow & A
\end{array}$$

such that

- (a) A is p -locally quasifinite and B is p -locally stably reducible, i. e. there are integers n and i and a map $S_p^{n+1} \rightarrow \Sigma^i B$ inducing isomorphism in homology in dimensions $\geq n + i$.
- (b) as in definition 1.1

It is clear that if X admits a special 1-torus then X_p admits a p -local special 1-torus.

We prove the following

1.4. **THEOREM.** *Let X be a quasifinite H -space. Assume for every prime p that X_p is homotopy equivalent to a product $C(p) \times D(p)$ and $C(p)$ admits a p -local special 1-torus. Then X is homotopy equivalent to a smooth, stably parallelizable manifold.*

1.5. **REMARK.** If $H_3(X) \supset \mathbf{Z}$ the condition of the theorem is trivially satisfied for all but finitely many primes. This is because for all but finitely many primes X_p is homotopy

equivalent to a product of localized spheres which must include the 3-sphere. We also note that in view of example 1.2 (see section 5) this theorem is stronger than theorem 1.1 of [8].

2. SURGERY

We use the special 1-tori for the following

2.1. PROPOSITION. *Let X be a quasifinite, nilpotent Poincaré complex admitting a special 1-torus. Then X is homotopy equivalent to a stably parallelizable smooth manifold.*

REMARK. This result only needs condition (a) of definition 1.1 Condition (b) is needed to ensure that the property of having a special 1-torus is a generic property.

Proof of proposition 2.1. In the diagram of orientable fibrations

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} S^1 & \longrightarrow & S^3 & \longrightarrow & S^2 \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ S^1 & \longrightarrow & X & \longrightarrow & B \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ * & \longrightarrow & A & \longrightarrow & A \end{array}$$

A is nilpotent and quasifinite, hence by [7] A is finitely dominated. It follows that X and B are finitely dominated [6]. Also A and B are Poincaré Duality spaces since X is [3]. It follows from [10] that X has 0 finiteness obstruction. Considering (B, X) a Poincaré Duality pair, we may use the stable reduction of B and a standard transversality procedure to produce a surgery problem

$$(M, \partial M) \xrightarrow{\phi} (B, X) \quad \hat{\phi} : \nu_M \rightarrow \varepsilon$$

where ε is the trivial bundle. Let $\sigma(B)$ be the finiteness obstruction of B . Consider the exact sequence

$$\dots \rightarrow H^{n+1}(\mathbf{Z}_2; \tilde{K}_0(\mathbf{Z}\pi)) \rightarrow L_n^h(\pi) \xrightarrow{\delta} L_n^p \rightarrow$$

where $\pi = \pi_1(B) = \pi_1(X)$. The class of $\sigma(B)$, $\{\sigma(B)\}$, is an element of $H^{n+1}(\mathbf{Z}_2; \tilde{K}_0(\mathbf{Z}\pi))$. It follows from [9], that the surgery obstruction of $\partial M \rightarrow X$ is $\delta\{\sigma(B)\}$. However, since A is a P. D, space of dimension $n - 3$ we have $\sigma(A) = (-1)^{n-3}\sigma(A)^*$ and by [10], $\sigma(B) = 2\sigma(A) = \sigma(A) + (-1)^{n+1}\sigma(A)^*$ and hence $\{\sigma(B)\} = 0$ in $H^{n+1}(\mathbf{Z}_2; \tilde{K}_0(\mathbf{Z}\pi))$ and we are done.

3. REDUCIBILITY OF H -SPACES.

Browder and Spanier have shown that a finite H -space is stably reducible [2]. This is one of the steps in the attempt to prove X is a manifold, since it implies that the Spivak normal fibre space is trivial. We need to generalize the results of Browder and Spanier to a p -local situation. This is mostly straightforward. We shall nevertheless indicate the line of argument in this section. The aim of this section is to prove:

3.1. THEOREM. *Let D be a p -locally quasi finite H -space. Then D is p -locally stably reducible.*

We need a p -local edition of S -duality.

3.2. PROPOSITION. *Let X be a simply connected p -locally quasifinite space. Then X admits a p -local CW-structure, i. e. X is homotopy equivalent to a space Y with a filtration $* = K_0 \subset K_1 \subset \dots \subset K_n = Y$ such that K_i is the mapping cone of some map $f_i : S_p^{n(i)} \rightarrow K_{i-1}$, $n(i)$ a nondecreasing function of i .*

Proof. By the Hurewicz theorem we may find a finite wedge of local spheres and a map $f \vee S_p^k \rightarrow X$ such that $H_*(f)$ is onto in dimensions $\leq k$, $k \leq 2$. Using the relative Hurewicz theorem we inductively attach local cells to make $H_*(f)$ an isomorphism in higher dimensions. Since X is p -locally quasifinite and finitely generated \mathbf{Z}_p -module have free resolutions of length one, we eventually obtain a homotopy equivalence.

Let X and Y be p -locally quasifinite spaces. A p -local S -duality map is a map $X \wedge Y \rightarrow S_p^n$ so that slant product

$$f^*(i)/- : \tilde{H}_*(X) \rightarrow \tilde{H}^{n-*}(Y)$$

is an isomorphism. Here i is the generator of $H^n(S_p^n)$.

Given a p -locally finite space X we note that the suspension ΣX is a simply connected p -locally quasifinite space and thus admits a p -local CW structure by Proposition 3.2. We may now go through exercises F1-7 page 463 in Spanier [11] to prove existence and stable uniqueness of a p -local S -dual with the usual functorial properties. We need the concept to complete the

Proof of Theorem 3.1. $H^*(D; \mathbf{Q})$ and $H^*(D; \mathbf{Z}/p\mathbf{Z})$ are Hopf algebras and we may argue as in the finite case [1] that D satisfies Poincaré Duality with \mathbf{Z}_p coefficients. We now only need to produce a map $D \rightarrow S_p^n$ inducing isomorphisms in dimensions $\geq n$. Then we may use Hopf algebra arguments (as in the finite case [2]) to prove that the composite $D^+ \wedge D^+ = (D \times D)^+ \rightarrow D \rightarrow S_p^n$ is a p -local S -duality map, so D^+ is selfdual and the dual of $D^+ \rightarrow S_p^n$ will be a stable reduction.

Localized at 0 D is a product of odd dimensional spheres so if we let l be the set of primes different from p and form the homotopy pullback

$$\begin{array}{ccc} Y & \longrightarrow & \prod S_l^{2n_i+1} \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ D & \longrightarrow & \prod S_0^{2n_i+1} \end{array}$$

then Y is quasifinite, nilpotent and satisfies Poincaré Duality at all primes hence [5] and [7] is a finitely dominated Poincaré Duality space. By Wall [12] Y has the homotopy type of $K \cup e^n$ where K is $n-1$ -dimensional and we may thus produce a map $Y \rightarrow K \cup e^n \rightarrow S^n$ by

collapsing K to a point. Localizing at p we obtain $D \cong K_p \rightarrow S_p^n$ with the required property and we are done

4. PROOF OF MAIN THEOREM.

The proof will consist of two lemmas.

4.1. LEMMA. *If X is a quasifinite H -space and $X_p = C(p) \times D(p)$ where $C(p)$ admits a p -local special 1-torus, then X_p does.*

Proof. Crossing the special 1-torus diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} C(p) & \longrightarrow & B \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ A & \longrightarrow & A \end{array}$$

with $D(p)$ reduces the lemma to showing $B \times D(p)$ is p -locally stably reducible. Now $D(p)$ is a retract of a p -locally quasifinite H -space and is thus itself a p -locally quasifinite H -space and thus p -locally stably reducible by theorem 3.1

4.2. LEMMA. *If X is a quasifinite H -space such that each X_p admits a p -local special 1-torus, then X admits a special 1-torus.*

Proof. At all but finitely many primes X is a product of spheres, so we may consider X a homotopy pullback

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} & & X & & \\ & \swarrow & & \searrow & \\ X_l & & X_{p_1} & \cdots & X_{p_k} \\ & \swarrow & & \searrow & \\ & & X_0 & & \end{array}$$

where X_l is a product of odd dimensional spheres and X_{p_i} also admit special 1-tori. Mixing the special 1-tori in the obvious way we obtain \overline{X} which admits a special 1-torus and such that $\overline{X}_l \cong X_l$ and $\overline{X}_{p_i} \cong X_{p_i}$; in other words \overline{X} is in the genus of X . We now argue as in [8, proposition 3.2] to show that admitting a special 1-torus is a generic property for an H -space. The key step is the result of Zabrodsky that one obtains the whole genus of an H -space by mixings defined by diagonal matrices and the observation in [8] that one of these diagonal entries may be assumed to be 1.

5. EXAMPLES.

In this section we show that compact Lie groups other than $SO(3)^k \times T^l$ do admit special 1-tori. This implies that our theorem 1.4 is indeed stronger than theorem 1.1 of [8].

5.1. PROPOSITION. *Let G be a compact connected Lie group which is not isomorphic to $SO(3)^k \times T^l$. Then G has a subgroup isomorphic to S^3 .*

Proof. We use classification of compact Lie groups. Any compact connected Lie group is a quotient of $H \times T^l$ by a discrete central subgroup A . Here H is a simply connected compact Lie group. Furthermore H is a product of groups in a list, see [4, p. 346]. If we can find an S^3 subgroup of H that intersects A trivially we are done. There are two cases. First assume $H = (S^3)^k$. Then A can not contain the center of $(S^3)^k$ since if it does G will be isomorphic to $SO(3)^k \times T^l$. This being the case it is easy to find a subgroup isomorphic to S^3 not intersecting A . If H is not a product of S^3 's it has a simple factor different from S^3 and we will be done if we can find a subgroup isomorphic to S^3 in this factor, intersecting the center trivially. We do this by checking the list. We have $S^3 = SU(2) \subset SU(n)$ ($n \leq 3$) intersecting the center trivially since the central element of $SU(n)$ are the diagonal matrices with the same n 'th root of unity as entry. Similarly $S^3 = SP(1) \subset SP(n)$ ($n \geq 2$) and $S^3 = SU(2) \subset SO(4) \subset SO(n)$, ($n \geq 5$) do not contain $-I$ which is the only central element $\neq I$. Furthermore $E_8 \supset E_7 \supset E_6 \supset SU(6) \supset S^3$ and since the center of E_6 has order 3, S^3 must intersect it trivially and E_6 must intersect the center of E_7 (cyclic of order 2) trivially. Finally $F_4 \supset SP(3)$ and $G_2 \supset SU(2)$ and these groups have trivial center. We are done.

5.2. REMARK. It would be nice to have a conceptual proof of Proposition 5.1. Working in the Lie algebra it is not hard to find a subgroup isomorphic to $SO(3)$ or S^3 but it is crucial for us to be in the latter case.

5.3. PROPOSITION. *Let G be a compact Lie group with S^3 as a subgroup $G \supset S^3$. Then*

$$\begin{array}{ccccc}
 S^1 & \longrightarrow & S^3 & \longrightarrow & S^2 \\
 \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \downarrow \\
 S^1 & \longrightarrow & G & \longrightarrow & G/S^1 \\
 \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \downarrow \\
 * & \longrightarrow & G/S^3 & \longrightarrow & G/S^3
 \end{array}$$

is a special 1-torus in G .

Proof. Lemma 3.4 of [8] shows that G/S^1 is stably parallelizable. It follows from [8, lemma 3.3] that $H^3(G; \mathbf{Q}) \rightarrow H^3(S^3; \mathbf{Q})$ is onto. Let $G_0 \rightarrow K(\mathbf{Q}, 3) = S_0^3$ represent an element in $H^3(G; \mathbf{Q})$ hitting the generator of $H^3(S^3; \mathbf{Q})$ then one sees by a spectral sequence argument

that $G_0 \rightarrow (G/S^3)_0 \times S_0^3$ is a homology equivalence hence a homotopy equivalence and we are done.

FINAL REMARKS. In case $D(\mathbf{Z}\pi) = 0$ we could replace the concept special 1-torus by the concept 1-torus (see [8]). Since admitting a 1-torus is a weaker condition than admitting a special 1-torus, it is not entirely a loss to have both concepts.

REFERENCES

- [1] W. Browder, *Torsion in H-spaces*, Ann. of Math. (2) **74** (1961), 24–51.
- [2] W. Browder and E. H. Spanier, *H-spaces and duality*, Pacific J. Math. **12** (1961), 411–414.
- [3] D. Gottlieb, *Poincaré duality and fibrations*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. **76** (1979), 148–150.
- [4] S. Helgason, *Differential Geometry and Symmetric Spaces*, Pure and Applied Mathematics, vol. 12, Academic Press, New York, 1962.
- [5] P. Hilton, G. Mislin, and J. Roitberg, *Localization of Nilpotent Groups and Spaces*, North Holland Mathematics studies, vol. 15, North - Holland Publishing co., Amsterdam - New York, 1975.
- [6] V. J. Lal, *The wall obstruction of a fibration*, Invent. Math. **6** (1968), 67–77.
- [7] G. Mislin, *Finitely dominated nilpotent spaces*, Ann. of Math. (2) **103** (1976), 547–556.
- [8] E. K. Pedersen, *Smoothing H-spaces*, Math. Scand. **43** (1978), 185–196.
- [9] E. K. Pedersen and A. A. Ranicki, *Projective surgery theory*, Topology **19** (1980), 239–254.
- [10] E. K. Pedersen and L. Taylor, *The Wall finiteness obstruction for a fibration*, Amer. J. Math. **100** (1978), 887–896.
- [11] E. Spanier, *Algebraic topology*, McGraw-Hill Inc., New York, 1966.
- [12] C. T. C. Wall, *Poincaré complexes*, Ann. of Math. (2) **86** (1970), 213–245.

MATEMATISK INSTITUT, ODENSE UNIVERSITET, DANMARK