Arguing as before, we find that (4) holds, and from it we again infer that

$$f(x)f(-y) = f(y)f(-x)$$

for all real *x* and *y*.

Now setting y = t and x = -t yields  $f(-t)^2 = 1$ , so  $f(-t) = \pm 1$ . The choice f(-t) = 1 leads to the conclusion that f is even and g is constant, which is not the case. Thus f is odd and (17) and (4) become the "-" half of (3) and (2), respectively. This furnishes a solution to Klee's problem. Note that we have used the conditions f(t) = 1, g(t) = 0 a couple of times.

As remarked at the end of the solution of E1079, the usual formula for  $cos(x \pm y)$  and  $sin(x \pm y)$  follow purely algebraically from the formula for cos(x - y).

ACKNOWLEDGMENT This paper is dedicated to Professor Z. Moszner on the occasion of his 73rd birthday.

## REFERENCES

- 1. J. Aczél, Lectures on Functional Equations and Their Applications, Academic Press, New York, 1966.
- J. Aczél and J. Dhombres, *Functional Equations in Several Variables*, Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 1989.
- 3. T. S. Chihara, Solution to E1079, this MONTHLY **61** (1954) 197.
- 4. PL. Kannappan, The functional equation  $f(xy) + f(xy^{-1}) = 2f(x)f(y)$  for groups, *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.* **19** (1968) 69–74.
- 5. \_\_\_\_\_, *Theory of Functional Equations*, Matscience Report, no. 48, Institute of Math. Sciences, Chennai, India, 1969.
- 6. V. L. Klee, E1079, this MONTHLY 60 (1953) 479.
- 7. S. Kurepa, On some functional equations in Banach spaces, Studia Math. 19 (1960) 149-158.
- 8. S. Parameswaran, Trigonometry retold, Math. Gazette 42 (1958) 81-83.
- 9. A. L. Rukhin, The solution of the functional equation of d'Alembert's type for commutative groups, *Internat. J. Math. Sci.* **5** (1982) 315–355.
- L. Vietoris, Zur Kennzeichnung des Sinus und verwandter Funktionen durch Funktionalgleichungen, J. Reine Angew. Math. 186 (1944) 1–15.
- 11. W. H. Wilson, On certain related functional equations, Bull. Amer. Math. Soc. 26 (1919–1920) 300–312.

Department of Pure Mathematics, University of Waterloo, Waterloo, Ontario, Canada N2L 3G1 plkannappan@pythagoras.uwaterloo.ca

## Green's Theorem and the Fundamental Theorem of Algebra

## **Paul Loya**

One proof of the fundamental theorem of algebra uses Liouville's theorem, which follows from Cauchy's theorem, which in turn can be derived from Green's theorem; see, for instance, the beautiful book [1]. The purpose of this note is to show that Green's theorem is sufficient. The proof does not use any topology or analytic function theory. Let p(w) be a polynomial in w = x + iy of degree  $n \ge 1$  with complex coefficients. We show that p(w) must have a zero. As usual we proceed by contradiction, assuming that p(w) is not zero for any w. Then  $f(x, y) := \ln |p(x + iy)|$  is a smooth function of (x, y) in  $\mathbb{R}^2$ . For r > 0, let  $D_r$  be the disk of radius r centered at the origin, and let  $C_r$  be the boundary of  $D_r$  oriented counterclockwise. We will work out both sides of Green's formula

$$\int_{C_r} P \, dx + Q \, dy = \iint_{D_r} (\partial_x Q - \partial_y P) \, dx \, dy, \tag{1}$$

with  $P = -\partial_y f$  and  $Q = \partial_x f$ . We first work out the right-hand side.

In fact, we show that the right-hand side is zero. Note that  $\partial_x Q - \partial_y P = \partial_x^2 f + \partial_y^2 f$ . Since  $f(x, y) = (1/2) \ln |p(x + iy)|^2$ , we have

$$\partial_x f = \frac{1}{2} \frac{\partial_x |p(x+iy)|^2}{|p(x+iy)|^2}, \quad \partial_y f = \frac{1}{2} \frac{\partial_y |p(x+iy)|^2}{|p(x+iy)|^2}.$$
 (2)

Thus,

$$\partial_x^2 f = \frac{1}{2} \frac{\partial_x^2 |p|^2}{|p|^2} - \frac{1}{2} \frac{(\partial_x |p|^2)^2}{|p|^4}, \quad \partial_y^2 f = \frac{1}{2} \frac{\partial_y^2 |p|^2}{|p|^2} - \frac{1}{2} \frac{(\partial_y |p|^2)^2}{|p|^4}.$$
 (3)

We claim that  $\partial_y p = i \partial_x p$  and  $\partial_y \overline{p} = -i \partial_x \overline{p}$ . Indeed, by linearity of derivatives, it suffices to prove these identities for  $q = (x + iy)^k$ . In this case,  $q = \sum_{l=0}^k {k \choose l} x^{k-l} i^l y^l$  by the binomial theorem. Hence,  $\partial_y q = \sum_{l=1}^k {k \choose l} x^{k-l} i^l y^{l-1}$ . Setting l = j + 1 and noting that

$$\binom{k}{j+1}(j+1) = \binom{k}{j}(k-j)$$

gives

$$\partial_y q = \sum_{j=0}^{k-1} \binom{k}{j} (k-j) x^{k-j-1} i^{j+1} y^j,$$

which is just  $i\partial_x q$ . The second of these identities is proved similarly or can be proved by taking the complex conjugate of the first identity. Now employing these identities and Leibniz's rule on  $|p|^2 = p \overline{p}$ , the reader can verify with a straightforward computation using the equations for  $\partial_x^2 f$  and  $\partial_y^2 f$  found in (3) that  $\partial_y^2 f = -\partial_x^2 f$ . Thus,  $\partial_x Q - \partial_y P = 0$  and so the right-hand side of (1) is zero.

We now estimate the left-hand side of (1). Without loss of generality, we assume that the leading coefficient of p(w) is one. Then p(x + iy) is of the form  $(x + iy)^n$  plus a polynomial in x + iy of degree at most n - 1. Hence, we can write

$$|p(x+iy)|^{2} = p(x+iy)\overline{p(x+iy)} = (x^{2}+y^{2})^{n} + \widetilde{p}(x,y),$$
(4)

where  $\tilde{p}(x, y)$  is a polynomial in the variables x and y of degree at most 2n - 1. Taking the partials of  $|p(x + iy)|^2 = (x^2 + y^2)^n + \tilde{p}(x, y)$  with respect to x and y

December 2003]

and plugging the results into (2), we see that

$$P = -\partial_y f = -\frac{ny}{x^2 + y^2} + \widetilde{P}, \qquad Q = \partial_x f = \frac{nx}{x^2 + y^2} + \widetilde{Q},$$

where

$$\widetilde{P} = -\frac{(x^2 + y^2) \,\partial_y \widetilde{p}(x, y) - 2ny \,\widetilde{p}(x, y)}{2|p(x + iy)|^2 \,(x^2 + y^2)},\\ \widetilde{Q} = \frac{(x^2 + y^2) \,\partial_x \widetilde{p}(x, y) - 2nx \,\widetilde{p}(x, y)}{2|p(x + iy)|^2 \,(x^2 + y^2)}.$$

Using the curve  $c_r(t) := (r \cos t, r \sin t)$ , which traces out  $C_r$  for  $0 \le t \le 2\pi$ , a direct computation gives

$$\int_{C_r} \frac{-ny}{x^2 + y^2} \, dx + \frac{nx}{x^2 + y^2} \, dy = 2\pi n.$$

Thus,

$$\int_{C_r} P \, dx + Q \, dy = 2\pi n + g(r), \tag{5}$$

where

$$g(r) = \int_{C_r} \widetilde{P} \, dx + \widetilde{Q} \, dy.$$

We analyze g(r) as follows. Since  $\tilde{p}(x, y)$  is a polynomial in x and y of degree at most 2n - 1,  $\partial_x \tilde{p}(x, y)$  and  $\partial_y \tilde{p}(x, y)$  are polynomials in x and y of degree at most 2n - 2. Hence, the numerators of  $\tilde{P}$  and  $\tilde{Q}$  are polynomials in x and y of degree at most 2n. As a result, these numerators are each bounded, in absolute value, by a constant times  $(x^2 + y^2)^n$ . Since  $\tilde{P}$  and  $\tilde{Q}$  contain  $|p(x + iy)|^2 (x^2 + y^2)$  in their denominators, in view of (4) it follows that  $|\tilde{P}|$  and  $|\tilde{Q}|$  are each bounded by a constant times  $(x^2 + y^2)^{-1}$ . These estimates on  $|\tilde{P}|$  and  $|\tilde{Q}|$  imply that |g(r)| is bounded by a constant times  $r^{-1}$ . Since the right-hand side of (1) was shown to be zero, letting  $r \to \infty$  in (5) gives the contradiction  $0 = 2\pi n$ . Thus, our original assumption that p(w) has no zero must be false.

**ACKNOWLEDGMENT.** The author was supported by a Ford Foundation Fellowship administered by the National Research Council.

## REFERENCES

1. B. Fine and G. Rosenberger, The Fundamental Theorem of Algebra, Springer-Verlag, New York, 1997.

Department of Mathematics, Binghamton, NY 13902 paul@math.binghamton.edu