# Index theory on singular manifolds I Index theory on manifolds with corners: "Generalized Gauss-Bonnet formulas"

Paul Loya

# Outline of talk: Four main points

### I. The Gauss-Bonnet formula

# Outline of talk: Four main points

- The Gauss-Bonnet formula
- II. Index version of Gauss-Bonnet

- The Gauss-Bonnet formula
- I. Index version of Gauss-Bonnet
- III. The Atiyah-(Patodi-)Singer index formula

- The Gauss-Bonnet formula
- I. Index version of Gauss-Bonnet
- III. The Atiyah-(Patodi-)Singer index formula
- V. Index formulas on mwcs

# **Preview of Part I**

 The Gauss-Bonnet formula for a mwc (= manifold with corners) involves topology, geometry, and linear algebra.

• The interior, smooth boundary components, and the corners all contribute to the G-B formula.

Euler Characteristic.







 $\chi(\mathbb{T}) = v - e + f = 0.$ 

No matter how many dots you mark and how you connect them, you get 0.

 Curvature – deviation of the metric from being a Euclidean metric.

Let's make the torus flat:



Curvature = deviation of the metric from being a Euclidean metric.

Let's make the torus flat:

θ

$$\frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{\mathbb{T}} K = \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{\mathbb{T}} 0 = 0.$$

Curvature = deviation of the metric from being a Euclidean metric.

θ

Let's make the torus flat:

 $\mathbb{T} = \mathbb{S}^1_{\theta} \times \mathbb{S}^1_{\varphi} \qquad \therefore K = 0$  $g = d\theta^2 + d\varphi^2 \qquad$ 

$$\therefore \quad \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{\mathbb{T}} K = \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{\mathbb{T}} 0 = 0.$$
$$0 = 0 \implies \qquad \chi(\mathbb{T}) = \int_{\mathbb{T}} K.$$

#### Gauss-Bonnet theorem



(G-BI) Given an oriented, compact, 2-dim., Riemannian manifold *M* without boundary, we have



What happens when M has corners?





 $\therefore \quad \chi(M) = 1.$ 

$$1 \neq 0 \implies \chi(M) \neq \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_M K.$$





(G-B II) Given an oriented, compact, 2-dim., Riemannian manifold *M* with corners, we have

 $\chi(M) = \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_M K + \frac{1}{2\pi} (\text{total geodesic curvature of } \partial X)$ 

 $+\frac{1}{2\pi}($ sum of exterior angles)

The G-B formula bridges three areas of math: topology, diff. geometry, and linear algebra.

## **Summary of Part I**

• Gauss-Bonnet for smooth case: Given an oriented, compact, 2-dim., Riemannian manifold *M* without boundary,



When corners are present, we have ....

## **Summary of Part I**

 Gauss-Bonnet for singular case: Given an oriented, compact, 2-dimensional, Riemannian manifold M with corners,



**Upshot:** Smooth boundary components and corners give new contributions to the G-B formula.

### **Preview of Part II**

 We shall see how to interpret the Gauss-Bonnet formula as an index formula.

Top/Geo Data: Let M be a compact, oriented,
 2-dimensional Riemannian manifold without boundary.



Top/Geo Data: Let M be a compact, oriented,
 2-dimensional Riemannian manifold without boundary.



• Function spaces:  $C^{\infty}(M, \Lambda^k)$ . Let (x, y) be local coordinates on M.

 $C^{\infty}(M) = C^{\infty}(M, \Lambda^0) = 0$ -forms

 $C^{\infty}(M, \Lambda^1) = 1$ -forms  $f \, dx + g \, dy$ 

 $C^{\infty}(M, \Lambda^2) = 2$ -forms  $f \, dx \wedge dy$ 

(There are no 3-forms on *M*.)

Operators.

### The exterior derivative

$$d: C^{\infty}(M, \Lambda^k) \to C^{\infty}(M, \Lambda^{k+1}).$$

**0-forms:**  $df = \partial_x f \, dx + \partial_y f \, dy$  "gradient" **1-forms:**  $d(f \, dx + g \, dy) = (\partial_x g - \partial_y f) \, dx \wedge dy$  "curl" **2-forms:** d = 0.

Operators.

### The exterior derivative

$$d: C^{\infty}(M, \Lambda^k) \to C^{\infty}(M, \Lambda^{k+1}).$$

**0-forms:**  $df = \partial_x f \, dx + \partial_y f \, dy$  "gradient" **1-forms:**  $d(f \, dx + g \, dy) = (\partial_x g - \partial_y f) \, dx \wedge dy$  "curl" **2-forms:** d = 0.

*d* has an adjoint:

$$d^*: C^{\infty}(M, \Lambda^{k+1}) \to C^{\infty}(M, \Lambda^k).$$

Operators.

The Gauss-Bonnet operator is

$$D_{GB} = d + d^* : C^{\infty}(M, \Lambda^{ev}) \to C^{\infty}(M, \Lambda^{odd}).$$

#### Facts:

1)  $D_{GB}$  is elliptic. 2)  $\sigma(D^*_{GB}D_{GB})(\xi) = |\xi|^2$  (= the Riemannian metric).

Operators.

#### The Gauss-Bonnet operator is

$$D_{GB} = d + d^* : C^{\infty}(M, \Lambda^{ev}) \to C^{\infty}(M, \Lambda^{odd}).$$

#### Facts:

1)  $D_{GB}$  is elliptic. 2)  $\sigma(D^*_{GB}D_{GB})(\xi) = |\xi|^2$  (= the Riemannian metric).

#### Note:

$$D_{GB}^* D_{GB} = (d^* + d)(d + d^*) = (d + d^*)^2 =: \Delta = \text{Laplacian}.$$

Therefore  $D_{GB}$  is a "square root" of the Laplacian.  $D_{GB}$  is called a "Dirac operator".

### • Two theorems:

**Theorem 1:**  $D_{GB}: C^{\infty}(M, \Lambda^{ev}) \to C^{\infty}(M, \Lambda^{odd})$  is Fredholm:

1) dim ker  $D_{BG} < \infty$ . 2) dim  $\left(C^{\infty}(M, \Lambda^{odd}) / \operatorname{Im} D_{GB}\right) < \infty$ .

 $\therefore$  ind  $D_{GB} := \dim \ker D_{GB} - \dim \operatorname{coker} D_{GB} \in \mathbb{Z}$ .

### • Two theorems:

**Theorem 1:**  $D_{GB}: C^{\infty}(M, \Lambda^{ev}) \to C^{\infty}(M, \Lambda^{odd})$  is Fredholm:

1) dim ker  $D_{BG} < \infty$ . 2) dim  $\left(C^{\infty}(M, \Lambda^{odd}) / \operatorname{Im} D_{GB}\right) < \infty$ .

 $\therefore$  ind  $D_{GB} := \dim \ker D_{GB} - \dim \operatorname{coker} D_{GB} \in \mathbb{Z}$ .

**Theorem 2:** ind  $D_{GB} = \chi(M)$ 

#### • Two theorems:

**Theorem 1:**  $D_{GB}: C^{\infty}(M, \Lambda^{ev}) \to C^{\infty}(M, \Lambda^{odd})$  is Fredholm:

1) dim ker  $D_{BG} < \infty$ . 2) dim  $\left(C^{\infty}(M, \Lambda^{odd}) / \operatorname{Im} D_{GB}\right) < \infty$ .

 $\therefore$  ind  $D_{GB} := \dim \ker D_{GB} - \dim \operatorname{coker} D_{GB} \in \mathbb{Z}$ .

**Theorem 2:**  $\operatorname{ind} D_{GB} = \chi(M)$ 

$$= \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_M K.$$

#### **Conclusion:**

$$\operatorname{ind} D_{GB} = \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_M K$$

The index version of Gauss-Bonnet.

### **Summary of Part II**

**Gauss-Bonnet: index version** If M is an oriented, compact, 2-dim., Riem. manifold without boundary, then

 $D_{GB}: C^{\infty}(M, \Lambda^{ev}) \to C^{\infty}(M, \Lambda^{odd})$ 

is Fredholm, and



The index formula interpretation of Gauss-Bonnet.

How does the A(P)S index formula generalize the "index" Gauss-Bonnet formula?

### **Preview of Part III**

 The Atiyah-Singer index formula is a higher dimensional version of the index Gauss-Bonnet formula.

• The Atiyah-Patodi-Singer index formula extends the Atiyah-Singer formula to manifolds with boundary.

• As expected from Part I on the Gauss-Bonnet formula, the A-S and A-P-S formulas differ by a boundary term.

Top/Geo Data: Let E, F be Hermitian vector bundles over an even-dimensional, compact, oriented, Riemannian manifold M without boundary.
 Functional Ana. Data: Let

$$D: C^{\infty}(M, E) \to C^{\infty}(M, F)$$

be a Dirac-type operator:

1) *D* is elliptic.

2)  $\sigma(D^*D)(\xi) = |\xi|^2$  (= the Riemannian metric).

Thus,  $D^*D = \Delta$  (at the principal symbol level). Roughly speaking, *D* is a "square root" of the Laplacian.

### Why "Dirac" operator?



Paul Dirac (1902–1984), recipient of 1933 Nobel prize.

In developing quantum theory in the 1920's he factorized the Laplacian as a square of a first order operator.

Abuse of terminology: We'll say "Dirac operator" instead of "Dirac-type" operator.

### • Examples of $D: C^{\infty}(M, E) \to C^{\infty}(M, F)$ • X 1: Let $E = \Lambda^{ev}$ , $F = \Lambda^{odd}$ , and $D_{GB} = d + d^*$ .

• Examples of 
$$D: C^{\infty}(M, E) \to C^{\infty}(M, F)$$
  
• X 1: Let  $E = \Lambda^{ev}$ ,  $F = \Lambda^{odd}$ , and  $D_{GB} = d + d^*$ .

**Ex 2:**  $M = \mathbb{R}^2$ ,  $E = F = \mathbb{C}$ , and

 $D_{CR} = \partial_x + i\partial_y : C^{\infty}(\mathbb{R}^2, \mathbb{C}) \to C^{\infty}(\mathbb{R}^2, \mathbb{C}).$
Examples of 
$$D: C^{\infty}(M, E) \to C^{\infty}(M, F)$$
  
**x** 1: Let  $E = \Lambda^{ev}$ ,  $F = \Lambda^{odd}$ , and  $D_{GB} = d + d^*$ .

**Ex 2:**  $M = \mathbb{R}^2$ ,  $E = F = \mathbb{C}$ , and

$$D_{CR} = \partial_x + i\partial_y : C^{\infty}(\mathbb{R}^2, \mathbb{C}) \to C^{\infty}(\mathbb{R}^2, \mathbb{C}).$$

Observe:

$$D_{CR}^* D_{CR} = (-\partial_x + i\partial_y)(\partial_x + i\partial_y) = -\partial_x^2 - \partial_y^2$$
$$= -(\partial_x^2 + \partial_y^2)$$
$$= \Delta_{\mathbb{R}^2}.$$

General Dirac operators share many of the same properties of  $D_{CR}$ .

#### **FLASHBACK:**

**Gauss-Bonnet: index version** If M is an oriented, compact, 2-dim., Riem. manifold without boundary, then

$$D_{GB}: C^{\infty}(M, \Lambda^{ev}) \to C^{\infty}(M, \Lambda^{odd})$$

is Fredholm, and



The Index formula interpretation of Gauss-Bonnet.

Michael Atiyah (1929-) and Isadore Singer (1924-)



Atiyah-Singer index theorem (1963):

 $D: C^{\infty}(M, E) \to C^{\infty}(M, F) \text{ is Fredholm, and}$   $\inf D = \int_{M} K_{AS}$   $\uparrow \qquad \uparrow$ analytical geometrical where  $K_{AS} = \widehat{A}(M) \operatorname{Ch}((E \oplus F)/\operatorname{Sp})$ , an *explicitly* defined polynomial in the curvatures of M, E, and F.

Question: How does the AS formula  $\operatorname{ind} D = \int_M K_{AS}$ change when *M* has a smooth boundary? Question: How does the AS formula  $\operatorname{ind} D = \int_M K_{AS}$ change when *M* has a smooth boundary?

 Top/Geo Data: Let E, F be Hermitian vector bundles over an even-dimensional, compact, oriented, Riemannian manifold M with smooth boundary.

$$M \cong [0,1)_s \times Y$$

• Functional Ana. Data: Let

 $D: C^{\infty}(M, E) \to C^{\infty}(M, F)$ 

be a Dirac operator.

$$M \cong [0,1)_s \times Y$$

Assumptions on  $D: C^{\infty}(M, E) \to C^{\infty}(M, F)$ :

Over the collar  $[0,1)_s \times Y$ , assume

$$g = ds^{2} + h$$
  

$$E \cong E|_{s=0}, F \cong F|_{s=0}$$
  

$$D = \Gamma(\partial_{s} + D_{Y}),$$

where

$$\Gamma: E|_{s=0} \to F|_{s=0}$$
,  $\Gamma^*\Gamma = \text{Id}$ ,  
and  $D_Y: C^{\infty}(Y, E|_{s=0}) \to C^{\infty}(Y, E|_{s=0})$   
is a self-adjoint Dirac operator on Y.

Question: Is  $D : C^{\infty}(M, E) \to C^{\infty}(M, F)$  Fredholm, and if so, what is ind D = ?

Question: Is  $D : C^{\infty}(M, E) \to C^{\infty}(M, F)$  Fredholm, and if so, what is ind D = ?

**Theorem:**  $D: C^{\infty}(M, E) \rightarrow C^{\infty}(M, F)$  is NEVER Fredholm. In fact, dim ker  $D = \infty$ .

Question: Is  $D : C^{\infty}(M, E) \to C^{\infty}(M, F)$  Fredholm, and if so, what is ind D = ?

**Theorem:**  $D: C^{\infty}(M, E) \rightarrow C^{\infty}(M, F)$  is NEVER Fredholm. In fact, dim ker  $D = \infty$ .

**Ex:** Consider  $M = [0, 1]_s \times \mathbb{S}^1_y$  and  $D_{CR} = \partial_s + i\partial_y$ .

ker  $D_{CR}$  = hol. functions on  $[0,1] \times \mathbb{R}$ , of period  $2\pi$  in y. (Note:  $e^{kz} = e^{kx}e^{iky} \in \ker D_{CR}$  for all  $k \in \mathbb{Z}$ .

Question: Is  $D : C^{\infty}(M, E) \to C^{\infty}(M, F)$  Fredholm, and if so, what is ind D = ?

**Theorem:**  $D: C^{\infty}(M, E) \rightarrow C^{\infty}(M, F)$  is NEVER Fredholm. In fact, dim ker  $D = \infty$ .

**Ex:** Consider  $M = [0, 1]_s \times \mathbb{S}^1_y$  and  $D_{CR} = \partial_s + i\partial_y$ .

ker  $D_{CR}$  = hol. functions on  $[0,1] \times \mathbb{R}$ , of period  $2\pi$  in y. (Note:  $e^{kz} = e^{kx}e^{iky} \in \ker D_{CR}$  for all  $k \in \mathbb{Z}$ .

At least two ways to fix this problem.

- 1) Put boundary conditions.
- 2) Attach an infinite cylinder. — —

**x con't:** 
$$M = [0,1]_s \times \mathbb{S}_y^1$$
 and  $D_{CR} = \partial_s + i\partial_y$ .  
**b**  $\widehat{M} = (-\infty,\infty)_s$   
Let  $\widehat{C}^{\infty}(\widehat{M},\mathbb{C}) =$  smooth functions on  $(-\infty,\infty) \times \mathbb{S}^1$   
that  $\to 0$  exp. as  $|s| \to \infty$ .  
 $\widehat{C}$  on  $\widehat{M} = (-\infty,\infty)_s$ 

$$\widehat{D}_{CR} = \partial_s + i\partial_y : \widehat{C}^{\infty}(\widehat{M}, \mathbb{C}) \to \widehat{C}^{\infty}(\widehat{M}, \mathbb{C}).$$





**Recall:** E, F are Hermitian vector bundles over an even-dimensional, compact, oriented, Riemannian manifold M with smooth boundary and

 $D: C^{\infty}(M, E) \to C^{\infty}(M, F)$ is a Dirac operator. Over the collar  $[0, 1)_s \times Y$ ,

$$g = ds^{2} + h$$
  

$$E \cong E|_{s=0}, F \cong F|_{s=0}$$
  

$$D = \Gamma(\partial_{s} + D_{Y}).$$

Attach infinite cylinder:





Atiyah (1929–), Patodi (1945–1976), and Singer (1924–)



Answer: Atiyah-Patodi-Singer index theorem (1975):  $\widehat{D}: \widehat{C}^{\infty}(\widehat{M}, \widehat{E}) \to \widehat{C}^{\infty}(\widehat{M}, \widehat{F})$  is Fredholm, and

$$\operatorname{ind}\widehat{D} = \int_{M} K_{AS} - \frac{1}{2} \Big( \eta(D_Y) + \operatorname{dim} \ker D_Y \Big).$$

where  $\overline{K_{AS}}$  is the Atiyah-Singer polynomial and  $\eta(D_Y)$  is the eta invariant of  $D_Y$ .

 $\eta(D_Y) + \dim \ker D_Y$ "boundary term"

 $\int_{M} K_{AS}$ 

$$\operatorname{ind}\widehat{D} = \int_{M} K_{AS} - \frac{1}{2} \Big( \eta(D_Y) + \operatorname{dim} \ker D_Y \Big).$$

Notes:

**1)** Without a boundary, we have  $\operatorname{ind} D = \int_M K_{AS}$ . Thus, adding a boundary adds a boundary term.

$$\operatorname{ind}\widehat{D} = \int_{M} K_{AS} - \frac{1}{2} \Big( \eta(D_Y) + \operatorname{dim} \ker D_Y \Big).$$

Notes:

**1)** Without a boundary, we have  $\operatorname{ind} D = \int_M K_{AS}$ . Thus, adding a boundary adds a boundary term.

**2)**  $\eta(D_Y)$  is a "spectral invariant": Recall that if  $\{\lambda_j\}$  are the eigenvalues of  $D_Y$ ,

$$\eta(D_Y) = \sum_{\lambda_j \neq 0} \operatorname{sign}(\lambda_j)$$
$$= \sum_{\lambda_j > 0} 1 - \sum_{\lambda_j < 0} 1$$
$$= \# \text{ of pos. e.v. } - \# \text{ of neg. e.v.}$$

#### Notes:

3) Another expression for  $\eta(D_Y)$  is

$$\eta(D_Y) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{\pi}} \int_0^\infty t^{-1/2} \operatorname{Tr}\left(D_Y e^{-tD_Y^2}\right) dt.$$

#### Notes:

3) Another expression for  $\eta(D_Y)$  is

$$\eta(D_Y) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{\pi}} \int_0^\infty t^{-1/2} \operatorname{Tr}\left(D_Y e^{-tD_Y^2}\right) dt.$$

"Proof:" We have

$$\frac{1}{\sqrt{\pi}} \int_0^\infty t^{-1/2} \operatorname{Tr} \left( D_Y e^{-tD_Y^2} \right) dt = \sum_j \frac{1}{\sqrt{\pi}} \int_0^\infty t^{-1/2} \lambda_j e^{-t\lambda_j^2} dt$$
$$= \sum_{\lambda_j \neq 0} \frac{1}{\sqrt{\pi}} \frac{|\lambda_j|}{\lambda_j} \int_0^\infty t^{-1/2} e^{-t} dt$$
$$= \sum_{\lambda_j \neq 0} \operatorname{sign}(\lambda_j) = \eta(D_Y).$$

### **Summary of Part III**

 Dirac operators on compact manifolds without boundary are *always* Fredholm. The Atiyah-Singer theorem computes the index in terms of geo./top. data.

• On compact manifolds with boundary, Dirac operators are *never* Fredholm. However, the operator  $\widehat{D}$  on the noncompact manifold  $\widehat{M}$  is always Fredholm.

$$\widehat{D} = \int_{M} K_{AS} -\frac{1}{2} \Big( \eta(D_{Y}) + \dim \ker D_{Y} \Big)$$

$$\widehat{\uparrow} \qquad \widehat{\uparrow} \qquad \widehat{\uparrow} \qquad \widehat{\uparrow} \qquad \widehat{\uparrow} \qquad 1$$
analytical geometrical spectral

# • Question: How does the APS formula ind $\widehat{D} = \int_{M} K_{AS} - \frac{1}{2} \Big( \eta(D_Y) + \dim \ker D_Y \Big).$ change when *M* has corners?

• Answer: We pick up additional terms from the corners.

• Like the Gauss-Bonnet formula, these extra terms are "exterior angles".

Top/Geo Data: Let E, F be Hermitian vector bundles over an even-dimensional, compact, oriented, Riemannian manifold M with a corner of codim. 2. Ex: codim 1  $\operatorname{codim} 2$  $\operatorname{codim} 3$ Ex: • Functional Ana. Data: Let  $D: C^{\infty}(M, E) \to C^{\infty}(M, F)$ 

be a Dirac operator.

• Assume  $D: C^{\infty}(M, E) \to C^{\infty}(M, F)$  is of product-type near  $\partial M$ .



• Assume  $D: C^{\infty}(M, E) \to C^{\infty}(M, F)$  is of product-type near  $\partial M$ .



• Assume  $D: C^{\infty}(M, E) \to C^{\infty}(M, F)$  is of product-type near  $\partial M$ .



Question: Is  $D : C^{\infty}(M, E) \to C^{\infty}(M, F)$  Fredholm, and if so, what is ind D = ?

Question: Is  $D : C^{\infty}(M, E) \to C^{\infty}(M, F)$  Fredholm, and if so, what is ind D = ?

**Theorem:**  $D: C^{\infty}(M, E) \rightarrow C^{\infty}(M, F)$  is NEVER Fredholm. In fact, dim ker  $D = \infty$ .

Question: Is  $D : C^{\infty}(M, E) \to C^{\infty}(M, F)$  Fredholm, and if so, what is ind D = ?

**Theorem:**  $D: C^{\infty}(M, E) \rightarrow C^{\infty}(M, F)$  is NEVER Fredholm. In fact, dim ker  $D = \infty$ .

Ex: Consider  $M = [0, 1]_s \times [0, 1]_y$  and  $D_{CR} = \partial_s + i\partial_y$ .

 $\ker D_{CR} = \text{hol. functions on the rectangle.}$ 

 $\implies \dim \ker D_{CR} = \infty.$ 

Question: Is  $D : C^{\infty}(M, E) \to C^{\infty}(M, F)$  Fredholm, and if so, what is ind D = ?

**Theorem:**  $D: C^{\infty}(M, E) \rightarrow C^{\infty}(M, F)$  is NEVER Fredholm. In fact, dim ker  $D = \infty$ .

Ex: Consider  $M = [0, 1]_s \times [0, 1]_y$  and  $D_{CR} = \partial_s + i\partial_y$ .

 $\ker D_{CR} = \text{hol.}$  functions on the rectangle.

 $\implies \dim \ker D_{CR} = \infty.$ 

What do we do? Copy mwb case: Attach infinite cylinders.







**Recall:** E, F are Hermitian vector bundles over an even-dimensional, compact, oriented, Riemannian manifold M with a corner of codim. 2 and

 $D: C^{\infty}(M, E) \to C^{\infty}(M, F)$ is a Dirac operator of product-type near  $\partial M$ :



Recall: E, F are Hermitian vector bundles over an even-dimensional, compact, oriented, Riemannian manifold M with a corner of codim. 2 and

 $D: C^{\infty}(M, E) \to C^{\infty}(M, F)$ is a Dirac operator of product-type near  $\partial M$ :



**Recall:** E, F are Hermitian vector bundles over an even-dimensional, compact, oriented, Riemannian manifold M with a corner of codim. 2 and

 $D: C^{\infty}(M, E) \to C^{\infty}(M, F)$ is a Dirac operator of product-type near  $\partial M$ :



The Riemannian metric, E, F, and D extend to  $\widehat{M}$ ; denote the extended objects by "hats".




if and only if ker  $A_Y = 0$ .



What happens if ker  $D_Y \neq 0$ ?

Theorem (Melrose-Nistor): ker  $D_Y$  is a symplectic vector space and given any Lagrangian subspace  $\Lambda \subseteq \ker D_Y$ , there is an operator  $\mathcal{R}$  such that

 $\widehat{D} + \mathcal{R} : \widehat{C}^{\infty}(\widehat{M}, \widehat{E}) \to \widehat{C}^{\infty}(\widehat{M}, \widehat{F})$ is Fredholm. **Theorem (Melrose-Nistor):** ker  $D_Y$  is a symplectic vector space and given any Lagrangian subspace  $\Lambda \subseteq \ker D_Y$ , there is an operator  $\mathcal{R}$  such that

 $\widehat{D} + \mathcal{R} : \widehat{C}^{\infty}(\widehat{M}, \widehat{E}) \to \widehat{C}^{\infty}(\widehat{M}, \widehat{F})$ is Fredholm.

Idea: Write  $\ker D_Y = \Lambda \oplus \Lambda^{\perp}$  and define  $r : \ker D_Y \to \ker D_Y$  by  $r = \begin{cases} +1 & \text{on } \Lambda \\ -1 & \text{on } \Lambda^{\perp} \end{cases}$ . Choose  $\mathcal{R}$  such that its restriction  $\mathcal{R}_Y$  to Y is r. Then  $\ker(D_Y + \mathcal{R}_Y) = 0$ , so  $\widehat{D} + \mathcal{R}$  is Fredholm.

Question: What is  $\operatorname{ind}(\widehat{D} + \mathcal{R}) = ?$ 

**FLASHBACK:** For an oriented, compact, 2-dimensional, Riemannian manifold M with corners,

$$\begin{split} \chi(M) &= \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_M K + \frac{1}{2\pi} (\operatorname{curv} \text{ of } \partial M) \\ \uparrow & \uparrow & \uparrow \\ \text{topological geometrical boundary correction} \\ &+ \frac{1}{2\pi} (\operatorname{sum of exterior angles}) \\ &\uparrow \\ \text{linear algebra, correction from corners} \end{split}$$



#### **Remarks** • **Recall** $\Lambda \subseteq \ker D_Y$ .

- $\Lambda_{sc} \subseteq \ker D_Y$  is the "scattering Lagrangian."
- First explain ext.  $\angle$ , then  $\Lambda_{sc}$ .

• Let V be a Hermitian symplectic vector space (E.g.  $V = \ker D_Y$ .)

• If  $\Lambda_1$  and  $\Lambda_2$  are two Lagrangian subspaces of V, then one can show that

 $V \cong \mathbb{C}^2 \oplus \mathbb{C}^2 \oplus \cdots \oplus \mathbb{C}^2$  s.t.

 $\Lambda_1 = \operatorname{span}(1,1)$  in *k*-th copy of  $\mathbb{C} \times \mathbb{C}$ 

 $\Lambda_2 = \operatorname{span}\left(e^{i(\pi-\theta_k)}, 1\right)$  in *k*-th copy of  $\mathbb{C} \times \mathbb{C}$ .

Then ext.  $\angle(\Lambda_1, \Lambda_2) := \theta_1 + \theta_2 + \theta_3 + \cdots$ .



Consider  $\widehat{D}_2$  and let u be *bounded* on  $\widehat{H}_2$  such that  $\widehat{D}_2 u = 0$ . A-P-S showed that

 $\lim_{s_2 \to -\infty} u(s_2, y) \quad \text{exists}$ 

and this limit lies in ker  $D_Y$ .  $\Lambda_{sc,2} := \{\text{limiting values}\} \subseteq \ker D_Y.$ Similarly, can define  $\Lambda_{sc,1}$ .

### **Summary of Part V**

 On compact mwcs of codim. 2, Dirac operators are never Fredholm. Unfortunately, there is no theory of BVP's for Dirac operators on mwcs.

• So, we try to get a Fredholm problem by considering the operator  $\widehat{D}$  on the noncompact manifold  $\widehat{M}$ .

- The operator  $\widehat{D}$  is Fredholm if and only if  $\ker(D_Y) = 0$ .
- (Müller) In this case, we have the index formula:  $\operatorname{ind} \widehat{D} = \int_{M} K_{AS} - \frac{1}{2} \sum_{i=1}^{2} \left( \eta(D_i) + \operatorname{dim} \ker D_i \right)$

### **Summary of Part V**

• If ker  $D_Y \neq 0$ , then to any  $\Lambda \subseteq \ker D_Y$  there is an operator  $\mathcal{R}$  such that  $\widehat{D} + \mathcal{R}$  is Fredholm.

We have ind $(\widehat{D} + \mathcal{R}) = \int_M K_{AS} -\frac{1}{2} \sum_{i=1}^2 \left( \eta(D_i) + \dim \ker D_i \right)$ analytical geometrical spectral  $-\frac{1}{2}\dim(\Lambda \cap \Lambda_{sc}) + \frac{1}{2\pi}$ ext.  $\angle(\Lambda, \Lambda_{sc})$ symplectic geometry

 The Gauss-Bonnet formula for a mwc has contributions from the interior of the manifold and the faces of its boundary.

• The Atiyah-Singer theorem (and its extensions to mwb and mwc) are "higher" Gauss-Bonnet formulas.

• Advertisement: Main ingredient to do index theory: A space of  $\Psi$ dos tailored to the geometric situation at hand.

Next lecture we'll discuss the *b*-calculus, the "right" space of  $\Psi$ dos for manifolds with cylindrical ends. Then we'll use it to prove the A-P-S index theorem.