

**Test 1, take-home**  
due on Tuesday, April 1

**Problem 1.** Find the minimal polynomial of  $\sqrt[3]{2} + \sqrt[3]{4}$  over  $\mathbb{Q}$ . What are the other roots of this polynomial?

**Solution:** Let  $a = \sqrt[3]{2} + \sqrt[3]{4}$ . Let  $f$  be the minimal polynomial of  $a$  over  $\mathbb{Q}$  and let  $K$  be a splitting field of  $x^3 - 2$  over  $\mathbb{Q}$ . Clearly  $a \in K$ . Let  $u$  be the primitive 3-rd root of 1, so  $\sqrt[3]{2}$ ,  $u\sqrt[3]{2}$ , and  $u^2\sqrt[3]{2}$  are the roots of  $x^3 - 2$  in  $K$ . It follows that  $u \in K$ . From Galois theory, there are automorphisms  $\sigma, \tau$  of  $K/\mathbb{Q}$  such that  $\sigma(\sqrt[3]{2}) = u\sqrt[3]{2}$  and  $\sigma(u\sqrt[3]{2}) = u^2\sqrt[3]{2}$  (since  $x^3 - 2$  is irreducible over  $\mathbb{Q}$ ). Note that  $\sqrt[3]{4} = \sqrt[3]{2}^2$ . It follows that  $\sigma(a) = u\sqrt[3]{2} + u^2\sqrt[3]{4}$  and  $\tau(a) = u^2\sqrt[3]{2} + u\sqrt[3]{4}$  are roots of  $f$ . Note that

$$(x-a)(x-\sigma(a))(x-\tau(a)) = x^3 - (a+\sigma(a)+\tau(a))x^2 + (a\sigma(a)+a\tau(a)+\sigma(a)\tau(a))x - a\sigma(a)\tau(a).$$

Now  $a + \sigma(a) + \tau(a) = (1 + u + u^2)(a + a^2) = 0$ ,  $a\sigma(a) + a\tau(a) + \sigma(a)\tau(a) = -6$ ,  $a\sigma(a)\tau(a) = 6$  so

$$(x-a)(x-\sigma(a))(x-\tau(a)) = x^3 - 6x - 6$$

. It follows that  $x^3 - 6x - 6$  is the minimal polynomial of  $a$ .

**Another solution:** Clearly  $a \in M = \mathbb{Q}(\sqrt[3]{2})$ , so the degree of  $a$  over  $\mathbb{Q}$  is a divisor of  $[M : \mathbb{Q}] = 3$ . Note that  $1, \sqrt[3]{2}, \sqrt[3]{2}^2 = \sqrt[3]{4}$  is a basis of  $M/\mathbb{Q}$ . Thus  $a = \sqrt[3]{2} + \sqrt[3]{4}$  is not in  $\mathbb{Q}$  and hence it has degree 3 over  $\mathbb{Q}$ . Note that

$$a^2 = (\sqrt[3]{2} + \sqrt[3]{4})^2 = 4 + 2\sqrt[3]{2} + \sqrt[3]{4}$$

and

$$a^3 = (\sqrt[3]{2} + \sqrt[3]{4})^3 = 2 + 6\sqrt[3]{2} + 6\sqrt[3]{4} + 4 = 6(1 + a).$$

Thus  $a^3 - 6a - 6 = 0$ , so  $x^3 - 6x - 6$  is the minimal polynomial of  $a$  over  $\mathbb{Q}$ .

**Problem 2.** Let  $L/K$  be a finite extension of fields. Suppose that  $f \in K[x]$  is irreducible over  $K$  and its degree is relatively prime to  $[L : K]$ . Prove that  $f$  is irreducible in  $L[x]$ .

**Solution:** Let  $F$  be a field extension of  $L$  in which  $f$  has a root  $a$ . Since  $f$  is irreducible in  $K[x]$ , we have  $[K(a) : K] = d$ , where  $d$  is the degree of  $f$ . Let

$m = [L(a) : L]$ , so clearly  $m \leq d$ . Then  $[L(a) : K] = m[L : K]$ . On the other hand,  $[L(a) : K] = [L(a) : K(a)][K(a) : K] = d[L(a) : K(a)]$ . Thus  $d|m[L : K]$ , and since  $d$  and  $[L : K]$  are relatively prime, we have  $d|m$ . But  $m \leq d$ , so we must have  $m = d$ . This means that the minimal polynomial of  $a$  over  $L$  has degree  $d$  and therefore  $f$  is the minimal polynomial of  $a$  over  $L$ . In particular,  $f$  is irreducible over  $L$ .

**Problem 3.** Let  $\Phi_n(x)$  be the  $n$ -th cyclotomic polynomial and let  $p$  be a prime number.

- a) Prove that if  $p|n$  then  $\Phi_{pn}(x) = \Phi_n(x^p)$ .
- b) Prove that if  $p \nmid n$  then  $\Phi_n(x^p) = \Phi_n(x)\Phi_{np}(x)$ .

**Solution:** Let  $u$  be a primitive  $np$ -th root of 1. Then  $u^p$  is a primitive  $n$ -th root of 1 so  $\Phi_n(u^p) = 0$ . It follows that every root of  $\Phi_{np}$  is a root of  $\Phi_n(x^p)$ , so  $\Phi_{np}|\Phi_n(x^p)$ . If  $p|n$ , then  $\phi(np) = p\phi(n)$ , so  $\Phi_{np}$  and  $\Phi_n(x^p)$  have the same degree and are both monic. It follows that  $\Phi_{pn}(x) = \Phi_n(x^p)$  which proves a).

Suppose now that  $p \nmid n$ . If  $w$  is a primitive  $n$ -th root of 1, then  $w^p$  is also a primitive  $n$ -th root of 1. Thus  $\Phi_n(w^p) = 0$ . We see that every root of  $\Phi_n$  is also a root of  $\Phi_n(x^p)$ . Thus  $\Phi_n|\Phi_n(x^p)$ . Since  $\Phi_n$  and  $\Phi_{np}$  are relatively prime, we see that  $\Phi_n(x)\Phi_{np}(x)|\Phi_n(x^p)$ . But both  $\Phi_n(x)\Phi_{np}(x)$  and  $\Phi_n(x^p)$  are monic and have degree  $\phi(np) = \phi(n)\phi(p)$ , so we must have  $\Phi_n(x^p) = \Phi_n(x)\Phi_{np}(x)$ . This proves b).

**Problem 4.** Let  $L$  be a field and let  $p$  be a prime number. Suppose that  $F_1, F_2, K$  are subfields of  $L$  such that  $F_1/K$  and  $F_2/K$  are Galois and both  $\text{Gal}(F_1/K)$  and  $\text{Gal}(F_2/K)$  are  $p$ -groups. Prove that the Galois groups of  $F_1F_2/K$  and  $F_1 \cap F_2/K$  are also  $p$ -groups.

**Solution:** Let  $L = F_1F_2$ . Since both  $F_1/K$  and  $F_2/K$  are Galois, so is  $L/K$ . Let  $G = \text{Gal}(L/K)$  and let  $H_1, H_2$  be the subgroups corresponding to  $F_1, F_2$  respectively (so  $H_i = \text{Gal}(L/F_i)$ ,  $i = 1, 2$ ). Thus  $H_i \triangleleft G$  and  $G/H_i$  is isomorphic to  $\text{Gal}(F_i/K)$ ,  $i = 1, 2$ . Thus  $G/H_1$  and  $G/H_2$  are  $p$ -groups. Recall that  $\text{Gal}(F_1F_2/K)$  is isomorphic to  $G/H_1 \cap H_2$  and  $\text{Gal}(F_1 \cap F_2/K)$  is isomorphic to  $G/H_1H_2$ .

Thus we reduced the problem to a problem about groups: if  $H_1, H_2$  are normal subgroups of a group  $G$  such that  $G/H_1$  and  $G/H_2$  are  $p$ -groups then  $G/H_1 \cap H_2$  and  $G/H_1H_2$  are also  $p$ -groups.

Note that there is a surjective homomorphism  $G/H_i \longrightarrow G/H_1H_2$  which sends a coset  $gH_i$  to the coset  $g(H_1H_2)$  ( $i=1,2$ ). Since an image of a  $p$ -group under a homomorphism is also a  $p$ -group (why?), we see that  $G/H_1H_2$  is a  $p$ -group.

To see that  $G/H_1 \cap H_2$  is a  $p$ -group recall the following very useful observation: the group  $G/H_1 \cap H_2$  is isomorphic to a subgroup of  $G/H_1 \times G/H_2$ . In fact, it is easy to check that the map which sends a coset  $g(H_1 \cap H_2)$  to the pair  $(gH_1, gH_2)$  is an injective homomorphism  $G/H_1 \cap H_2 \longrightarrow G/H_1 \times G/H_2$  (see Problem 2d) from Homework 37 of Math 401 for more details). Since a product of two  $p$  groups is a  $p$ -group and a subgroup of a  $p$ -group is also a  $p$ -group, we see that  $G/H_1 \cap H_2$  is a  $p$ -group.

**Problem 5.** a) Prove that the Galois group of the splitting field of  $x^3 - 3$  over  $\mathbb{Q}$  is isomorphic to the symmetric group  $S_3$  hence is nonabelian.

b) Prove that  $\mathbb{Q}(\sqrt[3]{3})$  is not a subfield of any cyclotomic field  $\mathbb{Q}(\zeta_n)$ .

**Solution:** a) Let  $L$  be a splitting field of  $x^3 - 3$  over  $\mathbb{Q}$ . Let  $a = \sqrt[3]{3}$  and let  $u$  be a primitive 3-rd root of 1. Then  $a, ua, u^2a$  are the roots of  $x^3 - 3$  so  $L = \mathbb{Q}(a, u)$ . Note that  $[\mathbb{Q}(a) : \mathbb{Q}] = 3$ , since  $x^3 - 3$  is irreducible over  $\mathbb{Q}$ . Since  $\mathbb{Q}(a)$  consists of real numbers and  $u$  is not real, we have  $u \notin \mathbb{Q}(a)$ . Since  $u$  is of degree 2 over  $\mathbb{Q}$ , we must have  $[\mathbb{Q}(a, u) : \mathbb{Q}(a)] = 2$  and  $[\mathbb{Q}(a, u) : \mathbb{Q}] = 6$ . Thus the Galois group  $G$  of  $L/\mathbb{Q}$  has order 6. Note that  $G$  permutes the roots of  $x^3 - 2$  so it can be identified with a subgroup  $S_3$ . But both  $G$  and  $S_3$  have order 6, so  $G$  is isomorphic to  $S_3$ . In particular,  $G$  is not abelian.

b) Suppose that  $\mathbb{Q}(\sqrt[3]{3})$  is a subfield of some cyclotomic field  $\mathbb{Q}(\zeta_n)$ . Recall that  $\mathbb{Q}(\zeta_n)/\mathbb{Q}$  is Galois. Thus  $x^3 - 2$  must have all its roots in  $\mathbb{Q}(\zeta_n)$ , so  $L \subseteq \mathbb{Q}(\zeta_n)$ . Let  $A$  be the Galois group  $Gal(\mathbb{Q}(\zeta_n)/\mathbb{Q})$  and let  $B$  be the subgroup corresponding to  $L$  (so  $B = Gal(\mathbb{Q}(\zeta_n)/L)$ ). Since  $L/\mathbb{Q}$  is Galois, we have  $B \triangleleft A$  and  $G$  is isomorphic to  $A/B$ . Recall that  $A$  is abelian, which implies that  $A/B$  is abelian. Thus  $G$  is abelian, which contradicts a). Our assumption that  $\mathbb{Q}(\sqrt[3]{3})$  is a subfield of some cyclotomic field  $\mathbb{Q}(\zeta_n)$  is then false.