

Homework 10, solutions

Problem 1. Suppose that m_1, m_2, \dots, m_k are positive integers such that a primitive root modulo m_i exists for each i . Prove that there is an integer a which is a primitive root modulo m_i for every i . Hint: Chinese Remainder Theorem should be useful.

Solution. We may assume that $m_1 = 4$ and $m_i = p_i^{k_i}$ or $m_i = 2p_i^{k_i}$ for some odd prime p_i for $i > 1$.

Recall that we proved that there is a primitive root b modulo an odd prime p such that $p^2 \nmid (b^{p-1} - 1)$ and any such b is a primitive root modulo p^t for every positive integer t . Replacing b by $b + p^2$ if necessary, we may assume that b is odd and then b is also a primitive root modulo $2p^t$ for every $t > 0$. Choose any such b and call it a_p .

By the Chinese Remainder Theorem, we can find an integer a such that

$$a \equiv -1 \pmod{4}, \text{ and } a \equiv a_{p_i} \pmod{p_i^2}$$

for $i = 2, 2 \dots k$. Then a is a primitive root modulo 4, $p_i^t, 2p_i^t$ for every $t > 0$ and $i = 2, \dots k$. Thus a has the required property.

Problem 2. Suppose that $p < q$ are odd prime numbers. Prove that pq is not a Carmichael number. Hint: use a which is a primitive root modulo both p and q .

Solution. By the first problem, there is an integer a which is a primitive root modulo p and a primitive root modulo q . In particular, a is relatively prime to pq . Suppose pq is a Carmichael number. Then $a^{pq} \equiv a \pmod{pq}$ and therefore $a^{pq-1} \equiv 1 \pmod{pq}$ (since $\gcd(a, pq) = 1$). We may assume that $p < q$. Since $a^{p(q-1)} \equiv 1 \pmod{q}$ and the order of a modulo q is $q-1$ we have $(q-1) | (pq-1)$. However, $pq-1 = p(q-1) + p-1$, so $(q-1) | (p-1)$. This is however impossible since $p < q$. The contradiction shows that pq is not a Carmichael number.

Remark. The suggestion in the hint is in fact unnecessary as in the above argument it suffices to choose a which is a primitive root modulo q .

Problem 3. Let p be an odd prime number. Suppose a, b, c are integers and $p \nmid a$. Prove that the congruence $ax^2 + bx + c \equiv 0 \pmod{p}$ is solvable if and only if $b^2 - 4ac$ is either congruent to 0 modulo p or it is a quadratic residue modulo p .

Solution. Since p is odd and $p \nmid a$, we have $\gcd(p, 4a) = 1$. Thus the congruence $ax^2 + bx + c \equiv 0 \pmod{p}$ is equivalent to the congruence $4a(ax^2 + bx + c) \equiv 0 \pmod{p}$. Note that

$$4a(ax^2 + bx + c) = (2ax + b)^2 - (b^2 - 4ac)$$

Thus, if x is a solution to our congruence then $y = 2ax + b$ satisfies

$$y^2 \equiv b^2 - 4ac \pmod{p}$$

so $b^2 - 4ac$ is either divisible by p or a quadratic residue modulo p . Conversely, if $b^2 - 4ac$ is either divisible by p or a quadratic residue modulo p then the congruence $y^2 \equiv b^2 - 4ac \pmod{p}$ has a solution y . The congruence $2ax + b \equiv y \pmod{p}$ is also solvable (since $\gcd(2a, p) = 1$) and any solution x satisfies our original congruence $ax^2 + bx + c \equiv 0 \pmod{p}$.

Problem 4. Prove that if a, b, c are non-zero integers then

$$\text{lcm}(\gcd(a, b), \gcd(a, c)) = \gcd(a, \text{lcm}(b, c)).$$

Solution. We will use the following simple fact: if u, w are positive integers then $u = w$ if and only if $e_p(u) = e_p(w)$ for every prime number p . Recall also, that if $e_p(u) = s$ and $e_p(w) = t$ then $e_p(\gcd(u, w)) = \min(s, t)$ and $e_p(\text{lcm}(u, w)) = \max(s, t)$.

Let p be a prime number and let $e_p(a) = \alpha$, $e_p(b) = \beta$, $e_p(c) = \gamma$. We may assume that $\beta \leq \gamma$ (replacing the roles of b and c if necessary).

There are three case to consider:

1. case 1. $\alpha < \beta \leq \gamma$
2. case 2. $\beta \leq \alpha \leq \gamma$
3. case 3 $\beta \leq \gamma < \alpha$.

In case 1 we have $e_p(\gcd(a, b)) = \alpha$, $e_p(\gcd(a, c)) = \alpha$, $e_p(\text{lcm}(b, c)) = \gamma$. Thus

$$e_p(\text{lcm}(\gcd(a, b), \gcd(a, c))) = \alpha, \text{ and } e_p(\gcd(a, \text{lcm}(b, c))) = \alpha.$$

In case 2 we have $e_p(\gcd(a, b)) = \beta$, $e_p(\gcd(a, c)) = \alpha$, $e_p(\text{lcm}(b, c)) = \gamma$. Thus

$$e_p(\text{lcm}(\gcd(a, b), \gcd(a, c))) = \alpha, \text{ and } e_p(\gcd(a, \text{lcm}(b, c))) = \alpha.$$

Finally, in case 3 we have $e_p(\gcd(a, b)) = \beta$, $e_p(\gcd(a, c)) = \gamma$, $e_p(\text{lcm}(b, c)) = \gamma$.

Thus

$$e_p(\text{lcm}(\gcd(a, b), \gcd(a, c))) = \gamma, \text{ and } e_p(\gcd(a, \text{lcm}(b, c))) = \gamma.$$

In every case, we have

$$e_p(\text{lcm}(\gcd(a, b), \gcd(a, c))) = e_p(\gcd(a, \text{lcm}(b, c)))$$

and therefore

$$\text{lcm}(\gcd(a, b), \gcd(a, c)) = \gcd(a, \text{lcm}(b, c)).$$