

### Homework 4, solutions

**Solution to problem 19.** Let  $a_k a_{k-1} \dots a_0$  be a decimal representation of a positive integer  $n$ . This means that  $n = a_0 + a_1 \cdot 10 + a_2 \cdot 10^2 + \dots + a_k \cdot 10^k$ , where  $a_i$  are the decimal digits of  $n$ . We can also write this as

$$n = (a_2 a_1 a_0) + (a_5 a_4 a_3) \cdot 10^3 + (a_8 a_7 a_6) \cdot (10^3)^2 + (a_{11} a_{10} a_9) \cdot (10^3)^3 \dots,$$

where  $a_{i+2} a_{i+1} a_i = a_i + 10a_{i+1} + 100a_{i+2}$  is the three digit number formed by 3 consecutive digits of  $n$ . Now  $1001 = 7 \cdot 11 \cdot 13$  means that for  $p \in \{7, 11, 13\}$  we have  $10^3 \equiv -1 \pmod{p}$ . Thus

$$\begin{aligned} n &= (a_2 a_1 a_0) + (a_5 a_4 a_3) \cdot 10^3 + (a_8 a_7 a_6) \cdot (10^3)^2 + (a_{11} a_{10} a_9) \cdot (10^3)^3 \dots \equiv \\ &\equiv (a_2 a_1 a_0) - (a_5 a_4 a_3) + (a_8 a_7 a_6) - (a_{11} a_{10} a_9) + \dots \pmod{p}. \end{aligned}$$

For example,

$$123456789 \equiv 789 - 456 + 123 = 456 \pmod{13}.$$

This observation provides a fairly fast algorithm to replace a given number by a congruent three digit number when the modulus is 7, 11, or 13 (or any other divisor of 1001). The three digit number has to be then further reduced by hand. In the example above, we see that  $456 \equiv 1 \pmod{13}$ .

**Solution to problem 21.** We have  $(172195)(572167) = 985242x6565$ . We will work modulo 11 and use what we learned in class (see problem 18 in the book). We have

$$172195 \equiv 5 - 9 + 1 - 2 + 7 - 1 = 1 \pmod{11}, \quad 572167 \equiv 7 - 6 + 1 - 2 + 7 - 5 = 2 \pmod{11},$$

and

$$985242x6565 \equiv 5 - 6 + 5 - 6 + x - 2 + 4 - 2 + 5 - 8 + 9 = 4 + x \pmod{11}.$$

It follows that  $4 + x \equiv 1 \cdot 2 = 2 \pmod{11}$ , i.e.  $x \equiv -2 \pmod{11}$ . The only digit which satisfies this congruence is  $x = 9$ .

**Solution to problem 26.** a) The congruence  $a^2 \equiv b^2 \pmod{p}$  means that

$$p \mid (a^2 - b^2) = (a - b)(a + b).$$

Since  $p$  is a prime number, Euclid's Lemma tells us that either  $p|(a-b)$  or  $p|(a+b)$ . The first case says  $a \equiv b \pmod{p}$ , the second case says  $a \equiv -b \pmod{p}$ . In other words,  $a \equiv \pm b \pmod{p}$ .

b) We use same reasoning as in a). We have  $p|(a^2 - a) = a(a - 1)$ , so either  $p|a$  or  $p|(a - 1)$  (by Euclid's Lemma). Thus either  $a \equiv 0 \pmod{p}$  or  $a \equiv 1 \pmod{p}$ .

**Remark.** The above argument easily extends to the following general result (equivalent to Euclid's Lemma):

if  $p$  is a prime and  $ab \equiv 0 \pmod{p}$  then either  $a \equiv 0 \pmod{p}$  or  $b \equiv 0 \pmod{p}$ .

Read section 2.1 in the book. Solve problems 19, 21, 26 to section 2.1.