

## Solutions to the Midterm, Math 525

**Problem 1.** Let  $R$  be a commutative ring such that for every  $a \in R$  there is a natural number  $n > 1$  such that  $a^n = a$ .

a) Prove that every prime ideal in  $R$  is maximal. Hint: What can you say when  $R$  is an integral domain? (6 points)

b) Prove that the intersection of all prime ideals of  $R$  is trivial. (2 points)

**Solution.** a) Let  $P$  be a prime ideal of  $R$  and let  $\pi : R \rightarrow R/P$  be the quotient homomorphism. Since  $P$  is prime, the ring  $R/P$  is a domain. Let  $b \in R/P$ . Since  $\pi$  is surjective, we have  $b = \pi(a)$  for some  $a \in R$ . We know that  $a^n = a$  for some  $n > 1$ . It follows that

$$b = \pi(a) = \pi(a^n) = \pi(a)^n = b^n.$$

Thus  $b(b^{n-1} - 1) = 0$ . Since  $R/P$  is a domain, we conclude that either  $b = 0$  or  $b^{n-1} = 1$ . It follows that if  $b \neq 0$  then  $b^{n-1} = 1$ , so  $b$  is invertible. In other words, every non-zero element of  $R/P$  is invertible, so  $R/P$  is a field. This means that  $P$  is a maximal ideal.

b) By problem 3 d) from Homework 1 we know that the intersection of all prime ideals in a commutative ring is equal to the nilradical. Let  $a$  belong to all prime ideals of  $R$ , so  $a$  is nilpotent:  $a^m = 0$  for some  $m > 0$ . We also know that  $a^n = a$  for some  $n > 1$ . It follows that  $a^{n^k} = a$  for every  $k > 0$ . Take  $k$  such that  $n^k > m$ . Then  $a = a^{n^k} = a^m a^{n^k - m} = 0$ . Thus the only element in the intersection of all prime ideals is 0.

**Problem 2.** Let  $R = \mathbb{Z}[\sqrt{-3}] = \{a + b\sqrt{-3} : a, b \in \mathbb{Z}\}$  (so this ring is a subring of  $R_{-3}$ ).

a) Find all invertible elements in  $R$ . (1 point)

b) Prove that  $2, 1 + \sqrt{-3}, 1 - \sqrt{-3}$  are irreducible in  $R$ . Conclude that  $R$  is not a UFD. (3 points)

c) Prove that the ideal  $I = \langle 2, 1 + \sqrt{-3} \rangle$  of  $R$  is not principal and that it is maximal. Prove that  $I^2 = 2I$ . Is there an  $n$  such that  $I^n$  is principal? (4 points)

**Solution.** a) Recall that we have the norm map  $N : R \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}$ ,  $N(a + b\sqrt{-3}) = a^2 + 3b^2$  which has the property that  $N(xy) = N(x)N(y)$  for any  $x, y \in R$ . If  $xy = 1$  then  $N(x)N(y) = N(1) = 1$  so  $N(x) = N(y) = 1$  (since  $N(x)$  is a non-negative integer for all  $x \in R$ ). Now  $a^2 + 3b^2 = 1$  for integers  $a, b$  if and only if  $a = \pm 1$  and  $b = 0$ . Thus the only invertible elements of  $R$  are 1 and  $-1$ .

Alternatively, we found in class all 6 invertible elements in  $R_{-3}$  and only  $\pm 1$  belong to  $R$ .

b) Note that each of the three elements has norm 4. Suppose that one of the elements factors as  $xy$ . Then  $N(x)N(y) = 4$ . If  $N(x) = 1$  then  $x = \pm 1$  is invertible. Similarly for  $N(y) = 1$ . The only other possibility is that  $N(x) = N(y) = 2$ . However  $a^2 + 3b^2 = 2$  is not possible for any integers  $a, b$ . Thus one of  $x, y$  must be invertible proving that each of the three elements is irreducible.

Note that no two of the elements  $2, 1 + \sqrt{-3}, 1 - \sqrt{-3}$  are associated and  $4 = 2 \cdot 2 = (1 - \sqrt{-3})(1 + \sqrt{-3})$ . Thus 4 has two inequivalent factorizations into irreducible elements, hence  $R$  is not a UFD.

A different argument:  $(1 - \sqrt{-3})(1 + \sqrt{-3}) \in 2R$  but neither  $(1 - \sqrt{-3})$  nor  $(1 + \sqrt{-3})$  is in  $2R$ . This means that  $2R$  is not a prime ideal so 2 is irreducible but not prime. Hence  $R$  is not a UFD.

c) Let us start by proving that  $I^2 = 2I$ . Since  $2 \in I$ , clearly  $2I \subseteq I^2$ . Note that  $I^2$  is generated by  $2^2 = 4 \in 2I$ ,  $2(1 + \sqrt{-3}) \in 2I$  and

$$(1 + \sqrt{-3})^2 = -2 + 2\sqrt{-3} = 2((1 + \sqrt{-3}) - 2) \in 2I.$$

Thus all three generators belong to  $2I$ , so  $I^2 \subseteq 2I$ . Hence  $I^2 = 2I$  as claimed.

If  $I$  was principal, we would have  $I = xR$  for some  $x \in R$ , and therefore  $x^2R = (2x)R$ . This means that  $x^2$  and  $2x$  are associated, i.e.  $x^2 = \pm 2x$ . Since  $R$  is a domain, we conclude that  $x = \pm 2$  and  $I = 2R$ , which is clearly false. This shows that  $I$  is not principal (so, in particular, it is proper).

Alternatively, note first that  $I$  is a proper ideal. Indeed, elements of  $I$  are of the form  $2(a + b\sqrt{-3}) + (1 + \sqrt{-3})(c + d\sqrt{-3}) = (2a + c - 3d) + (2b + c + d)\sqrt{-3}$ . Note that the integers  $2a + c - 3d$  and  $2b + c + d$  have the same parity so  $2a + c - 3d = 1$  and  $2b + c + d = 0$  is not possible. Thus  $1 \notin I$ . Yet another argument: since we already know that  $I^2 = 2I$ ,  $I$  must be proper as  $R^2 = R \neq 2R$ .

Now if  $I = xR$  was principal then  $x$  would divide 2. But 2 is irreducible, so 2 and  $x$  would be associated and consequently  $I = 2R$ , which is false.

Note that a straightforward induction shows that  $I^n = 2^{n-1}I$  for all  $n$ . Since  $I$  is not principal,  $I^n$  is not principal for all  $n > 0$  (a simple exercise: if  $R$  is a domain,  $a \neq 0$  and  $I$  is an ideal such that  $aI$  is principal then  $I$  is principal).

Note that the additive group of  $R$  is  $\mathbb{Z} \oplus \mathbb{Z}$ . Thus  $R/2R$  has 4 elements. Since  $I$  strictly contains  $2R$ ,  $R/I$  has 2 elements. Thus  $R/I$  must be the field  $\mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z}$ , so  $I$  is maximal.

Alternatively, note that  $1 + I = \sqrt{-3} + I$ , so  $(a + b\sqrt{-3}) + I = (a + b) + I$  which is  $I$  if  $a + b$  is even and  $1 + I$  if  $a + b$  is odd. Thus  $R/I$  has 2 elements.

**Problem 3.** Prove that the polynomial  $f = x^2y^{2017} + x^{2017}y + x^2 - y - 1$  is a prime element in the ring  $\mathbb{Q}[x, y]$ . Hint: Consider  $f$  as a polynomial in  $R[y]$ , where  $R = \mathbb{Q}[x]$ . A homework problem may be useful. (8 points)

**Solution.** We have  $f = x^2y^{2017} + (x^{2017} - 1)y + (x^2 - 1) \in R[y]$ . Note that  $R = \mathbb{Q}[x]$  is a PID, hence a UFD. It follows that  $R[y]$  is a UFD, so it suffices to show that  $f$  is irreducible in  $R[y]$ . We will use the Eisenstein criterion (see Problem 2b) of Homework 3). Note that  $P = (1 - x)R$  is a prime ideal of  $R$  as  $1 - x \in R$  is irreducible in  $R$  (hence prime). Note that  $x^2 \notin P$ , all the other coefficients of  $f$  are in  $P$  (as  $1 - x$  divides  $x^{2017} - 1$  and  $x^2 - 1$ ) and  $x^2 - 1$  is not in  $P^2$  (as  $(x - 1)^2$  does not divide  $x^2 - 1$ ). By Eisenstein criterion, if  $f = gh$  for some  $g, h \in R[y]$  then one of  $g, h$  is in  $R$  (i.e. is constant as a polynomial in  $y$ ). Since  $f$  is primitive (as  $\gcd(x^2, x^{2017} - 1, x^2 - 1) = 1$  in  $R$ ), this constant must be invertible in  $R$ , hence  $f$  is irreducible.

**Problem 4.** Let  $R$  be a PID and let  $I, J$  be proper ideals of  $R$ .

a) Prove that the intersection of all the ideals  $I^n$ ,  $n = 1, 2, \dots$ , is trivial (this is true, but much harder to prove, for any Noetherian integral domain and any ideal  $I$ ). (2 points)

b) Prove that if  $J \neq \{0\}$  then  $\bigcap_{n=1}^{\infty} (J + I^n) = J + I^k$  for some  $k$ . (6 points)

**Solution.** a) Since  $R$  is a PID,  $I = aR$  is principal. We may assume  $a \neq 0$  (otherwise the result is clear). Let  $b \in \bigcap_{n=1}^{\infty} I^n$  so  $b \in I^n = a^nR$  for every  $n$ . This means that  $b = a^n w_n$  for some  $w_n \in R$ . Suppose that  $b \neq 0$ . Then  $w_n \neq 0$  for all  $n$ . Since  $R$  is a UFD,  $a$  is a product of  $k$  irreducible elements for some  $k \geq 1$ . Thus  $b = a^n w_n$  is a product of at least  $nk$  irreducible elements. Since  $n$  is arbitrary,  $b$  has many factorizations into irreducible elements, a contradiction.

Alternatively, note that  $aw_{n+1} = w_n$ . It follows that  $w_1R \subseteq w_2R \subseteq w_3R \dots$ . Since  $R$  is Noetherian (or has PACC), we must have  $w_kR = w_{k+1}R$  for some  $k$ , which implies that  $a$  is invertible, a contradiction. This argument actually shows the result in a more general situation, when  $R$  is an integral domain with PACC and  $I$  is principal.

Yet another argument is based on the following observation we proved in class: if  $R$  is a PID and  $K$  is a non-zero ideal of  $R$  then  $R$  has only finitely many ideals containing  $K$ . Note that  $I^{n+1} \subsetneq I^n$  for every  $n$  (as  $a^{n+1}R = a^nR$  would imply that  $a^n = a^{n+1}r$ , i.e.  $1 = ar$ , so  $a$  would be invertible). So if the intersection  $K = \bigcap_{n=1}^{\infty} I^n$  was nontrivial we would have infinitely many different ideals  $I^n$ ,  $n = 1, 2, \dots$  all containing  $K$ , a contradiction.

b) We proved in class that if  $R$  is a PID and  $J$  is a non-zero ideal of  $R$  then  $R$  has only finitely many ideals containing  $J$ . Note that  $J + I \supseteq J + I^2 \supseteq J + I^3 \supseteq \dots \supseteq J$  is a descending chain of ideals containing  $J$ . The finiteness of the set of ideals containing  $J$  implies that  $J + I^k = J + I^{k+1} = J + I^{k+2} = \dots$  for some  $k$  and therefore  $\bigcap_{n=1}^{\infty} (J + I^n) = J + I^k$ .

Another way is to show first that in a UFD, given any two non-zero elements  $a, b$  there is  $k$  such that  $\gcd(b, a^k) = \gcd(b, a^{k+1}) = \gcd(b, a^{k+2}) \dots$ . In a PID, when  $I = aR$  and  $J = bR$ , we have  $J + I^n = \gcd(b, a^n)R$ , so the result follows.

**Problem 5.** Let  $R$  be a commutative ring and  $I = \langle a, b \rangle$  be an ideal of  $R$  generated by two elements  $a, b$  and such that  $I^2 = I$ .

- Show that every element of  $I$  is of the form  $ia + jb$  for some  $i, j \in I$  (2 points).
- Suppose that  $p, q, s, t \in R$  are such that  $pa + qb = 0$  and  $sa + tb = 0$ . Show that  $(pt - sq)a = 0 = (pt - sq)b$  (one way to approach it is by using  $2 \times 2$  matrices). (2 points)
- Use a) and b) to show that there is  $e \in I$  such that  $(1 - e)a = 0 = (1 - e)b$  (3 points).
- Show that  $e^2 = e$  and  $I = Re$  (hint: what is  $(1 - e)I$ ?). Conclude that  $I$  is a unital ring and  $J = R(1 - e)$  is also a unital ring and  $R = I \oplus J$ . (3 points)
- (Optional for extra credit) Prove c) when you only know that  $I$  is finitely generated.

**Solution.** a) We will show first that if  $I = \langle a_1, \dots, a_k \rangle$  is a finitely generated ideal and  $J$  is any ideal then every element of  $IJ$  is of the form  $a_1j_1 + \dots + a_kj_k$  for some  $j_1, \dots, j_k \in J$ . In fact, every element  $x$  of  $IJ$  is of the form  $x = i_1t_1 + i_2t_2 + \dots + i_mt_m$  for some  $i_1, \dots, i_m \in I$  and  $t_1, \dots, t_m \in J$ . Now  $i_n = r_{n,1}a_1 + r_{n,2}a_2 + \dots + r_{n,k}a_k$  for some  $r_{n,1}, \dots, r_{n,k} \in R$ . Thus

$$x = \sum_{n=1}^m \left( \sum_{l=1}^k r_{n,l}a_l \right) t_n = \sum_{l=1}^k a_l \sum_{n=1}^m r_{n,l}t_n$$

and  $j_l = \sum_{n=1}^m r_{n,l}t_n \in J$  for  $l = 1, 2, \dots, k$ . This proves our claim.

Applying our observation to  $I = \langle a, b \rangle$  and  $J = I$ , we see that every element of  $I^2$  is of the form  $ia + jb$  for some  $i, j \in I$ . Part a) follows now from the assumption that  $I = I^2$ .

b) Let  $A = \begin{bmatrix} p & q \\ s & t \end{bmatrix}$  and  $v = \begin{bmatrix} a \\ b \end{bmatrix}$ . Then our assumption is  $Av = 0$ . Now take  $B = \begin{bmatrix} t & -q \\ -s & p \end{bmatrix}$ . Then  $BA = \begin{bmatrix} pt - sq & 0 \\ 0 & pt - sq \end{bmatrix}$ . Now  $(BA)v = B(Av) = B0 = 0$ , i.e.  $\begin{bmatrix} pt - sq & 0 \\ 0 & pt - sq \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} a \\ b \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ 0 \end{bmatrix}$ , which is exactly what we are asked to prove.

A more direct argument (which is not that useful for answering part e)) is to note that  $0 = t(pa + qb) - q(sa + tb) = (pt - sq)a$  and  $0 = p(sa + tb) - s(pa + qb) = (pt - sq)b$ .

c) By  $a$  we can write  $a = i_1a + j_1b$  and  $b = i_2a + j_2b$  for some  $i_1, i_2, j_1, j_2 \in I$ . In other words,  $(i_1 - 1)a + j_1b = 0 = i_2a + (j_2 - 1)b$ . Note that  $(i_1 - 1)(j_2 - 1) - j_1i_2 = 1 - (j_2 + 1 + j_1i_2 - j_2i_1) = 1 - e$ , where  $e = j_2 + 1 + j_1i_2 - j_2i_1 \in I$ . By part b) we have  $(1 - e)a = 0 = (1 - e)b$ .

d) Since every element  $x$  in  $I$  is of the form  $r_1a + r_2b$  for some  $r_1, r_2 \in R$ , we see from c) that  $(1 - e)x = 0$ . In other words  $x = ex = xe$  for all  $x \in I$ . Thus  $I \subseteq Re$ . Since  $e \in I$ , we have  $e = e^2$  and  $Re \subseteq I$ . It follows that  $I = Re$  and  $e \in I$  serves as identity for multiplication in  $I$ . Thus  $I$  is a unital ring (the only thing potentially missing for an ideal to be a unital ring is the identity for multiplication). Note that  $(1 - e)(1 - e) = 1 - e$  so  $1 - e$  is the identity for multiplication within the ideal  $J = R(1 - e)$ . Now  $x = xe + x(1 - e)$  for all  $x \in R$  so  $R = I + J$ . Finally, if  $u \in I \cap J$  then  $eu = u$  and  $u = (1 - e)u = u - eu = 0$ , so  $I \cap J = \{0\}$ . This shows that  $R = I \oplus J$ .

e) We are assuming that  $I$  is a finitely generated ideal such that  $I^2 = I$  and we want to prove that  $(1 - e)I = 0$  for some  $e \in I$ . Let  $I = \langle a_1, \dots, a_n \rangle$ . Using part a), we see that

$$a_k = i_{k,1}a_1 + i_{k,2}a_2 + \dots + i_{k,n}a_n$$

for some  $i_{s,t} \in I$ ,  $1 \leq s \leq n$ ,  $1 \leq t \leq n$ . Let  $A$  be the  $n \times n$  matrix whose  $(s, t)$ -entry is  $i_{s,t}$ . Then  $(I_n - A)v = 0$ , where  $v$  is the column vector  $(a_1, \dots, a_n)$  and  $I_n$  is the  $n \times n$  identity matrix.

We need now some facts about determinants. The determinant of a matrix is a polynomial expression in the entries of the matrix and it makes sense over any commutative ring. If  $f : R \rightarrow S$  is a ring homomorphism then  $\det(f(D)) = f(\det(D))$  for any square matrix  $D$ , where  $f(D)$  is obtained from  $D$  by applying  $f$  to every entry of  $D$ . Moreover for every matrix  $A$  there is a matrix  $B$  (with entries in  $R$ ) such that  $BA = AB = \det(A)I_n$  (the  $s, t$ -entry of  $B$  is  $(-1)^{s+t}$  times the determinant of the matrix obtained from  $A$  by removing its  $t$ -th row and  $s$ -th column). The matrix  $B$  is usually denoted by  $A^D$  and called the adjoint matrix of  $A$ .

Returning to our problem, note that  $\det(I_n - A) = 1 - e$  for some  $e \in I$ . Indeed, the natural homomorphism  $R \rightarrow R/I$  takes  $I_n - A$  to the identity matrix, so it takes  $\det(I_n - A)$  to 1. This means that  $\det(I_n - A) = 1 - e$  for some  $e \in I$ . Now  $0 = (I_n - A)^D((I_n - A)v) = ((I_n - A)^D(I_n - A))v = \det(I_n - A)v = (1 - e)v$ . This means that  $(1 - e)a_i = 0$  for  $i = 1, \dots, n$ , i.e.  $(1 - e)I = 0$ . Now we can repeat part d) to conclude that  $e^2 = e$  and  $I = Re$ .

**Problem 6.** Let  $R$  be a commutative ring.

a) Let  $a \in R$  and let  $M$  be an ideal of  $R$ . Show that the set  $J = \{r \in R : ra \in M\}$  is an ideal containing  $M$ . (2 points)

b) Let  $\mathcal{F}$  be the set of all ideals of  $R$  which are not finitely generated. Suppose that  $\mathcal{F}$  is not empty. Prove that it contains maximal elements (with respect to inclusion). (3 points)

c) Let  $M$  be a maximal element of  $\mathcal{F}$  and let  $a \notin M$ . Show that  $M = N + Ja$  for some finitely generated ideal  $N$  contained in  $M$ , where  $J$  is the ideal from part a). Hint: what can you say about the ideal  $M + Ra$ ? Conclude that  $J = M$ . Conclude that  $M$  is a prime ideal. (5 points)

**Solution.** a) If  $s, t \in J$ . Then  $sa \in M$  and  $ta \in M$ , so  $sa + ta = (s + t)a \in M$  and  $s + t \in J$ . Thus  $J$  is closed under addition. Clearly  $0 \in J$  as  $0 \cdot a = 0 \in M$ . Finally, since  $sa \in M$ , for any  $r \in R$  we

have  $r(sa) \in M$ , i.e.  $(rs)a \in M$ , so  $rs \in M$ . This is all we need to verify that  $J$  is an ideal. Clearly if  $m \in M$  then  $am = ma \in M$  so  $m \in J$ . Thus  $M$  is contained in  $J$ .

b) We will use Zorn's Lemma. If  $\mathcal{N}$  is a subset of  $\mathcal{F}$  which is a chain then consider the union  $K$  of all the ideals in  $\mathcal{N}$ . We know that  $K$  is an ideal. We need to check that  $K$  is in  $\mathcal{F}$ . Then  $K$  will be an upper bound for our chain. Well, if  $K$  was not in  $\mathcal{F}$ , then  $K$  would be finitely generated:  $K = \langle a_1, \dots, a_m \rangle$ . As  $K$  is the union of our chain, there are ideals  $M_i \in \mathcal{N}$  such that  $a_i \in M_i$ . Since these ideals are in a chain, one of them contains all the others. Thus, for some  $j$  we have  $a_1, \dots, a_m \in M_j$ . It follows that  $K = \langle a_1, \dots, a_m \rangle \subseteq M_j \subseteq K$ , i.e.  $K = M_j$  is finitely generated, a contradiction. Thus  $K$  is in  $\mathcal{F}$ , i.e. every chain in  $\mathcal{F}$  has an upper bound in  $\mathcal{F}$ . By Zorn's Lemma,  $\mathcal{F}$  contains maximal elements.

c) Since  $a \notin M$ , the ideal  $M + Ra$  strictly contains  $M$ . Since  $M$  is maximal in  $\mathcal{F}$ , the ideal  $M + Ra$  is not in  $\mathcal{F}$ . Thus  $M + Ra$  is finitely generated. Let  $m_1 + t_1a, m_2 + t_2a, \dots, m_k + t_ka$  be generators of  $M + Ra$ , where  $m_1, \dots, m_k \in M$  and  $t_1, \dots, t_k \in R$ . Let  $N$  be the ideal generated by  $m_1, \dots, m_k$ , so  $N \subseteq M$  and  $N$  is finitely generated. Note that  $t_ia = (m_i + t_ia) - m_i \in M$ , so  $t_i \in J$ . Thus  $M \subseteq N + Ja$ . On the other hand, both  $N$  and  $Ja$  are contained in  $M$ , so  $N + Ja \subseteq M$ . Hence  $M = N + Ja$ . We know from a) that  $J$  contains  $M$ . If  $J$  was strictly larger than  $M$  then  $J$  would not be in  $\mathcal{F}$ , i.e.  $J$  would be finitely generated:  $J = \langle j_1, \dots, j_n \rangle$ . But then the elements  $m_s + j_t a$  with  $1 \leq s \leq k$  and  $1 \leq t \leq n$  would generate  $N + Ja = M$ , contrary to the fact that  $M$  is not finitely generated. This shows that  $J = M$ . Thus if  $ba \in M$  then  $b \in M$ . Since  $a$  was an arbitrary element not in  $M$ , we see that  $M$  is a prime ideal.

We have established the following result:

**Theorem.** If  $R$  is a commutative ring in which every prime ideal is finitely generated then  $R$  is Noetherian.

Indeed, if  $R$  was not Noetherian, the set  $\mathcal{F}$  would be non-empty but then it would contain a prime ideal, which would not be finitely generated.

\*\*\*\*\*

Extra credit. This problem is optional.

**Problem 7.** Let  $R = M_n(K)$  be the ring of all  $n \times n$  matrices with entries in a field  $K$ ,  $n \geq 2$ . Let  $V = K^n$ . We consider elements of  $V$  as  $1 \times n$  matrices and define  $v \cdot A$  to be matrix multiplication ( $v \in V$  and  $A \in R$ ).

- Show that this multiplication makes  $V$  a right  $R$ -module. Prove that  $V$  is a simple  $R$ -module..
- Find two different right ideals  $I, J$  of  $R$  such that  $V$  is isomorphic to each  $R/I$  and  $R/J$  (consult our discussion of simple modules in the last 8 minutes of lecture 13).
- Show that if  $S$  is a commutative ring and  $I, J$  are ideals of  $S$  such that the  $R$ -modules  $R/I$  and  $R/J$  are isomorphic then  $I = J$ .
- Prove that the right  $R$ -modules  $R$  and  $V^n$  are isomorphic.
- Conclude that every simple  $R$ -module is isomorphic to  $V$ .

**Solution.** a) The fact that  $V$  is a right  $R$ -module is a straightforward consequence of properties of matrix multiplication. We leave the details to the reader.

Let  $e_i \in V$  be the element  $(0, \dots, 0, 1, 0, \dots, 0)$ , where 1 is in the  $i$ -th place and 0 is everywhere else. Note that for any  $v \in V$  there is  $A \in R$  such that  $e_1 A = v$ . Indeed, take matrix  $A$  whose first row is  $v$  and all other rows consist of zeros. If  $w \neq 0$  then there is  $B \in R$  such that  $wB = e_1$ . Indeed, if the  $i$ -th coordinate  $t$  of  $w$  is non-zero then take  $B$  with  $i$ -th row  $(1/t, 0, \dots, 0)$  and all other rows consisting of 0. This two observation together mean that for any two non-zero elements  $u, w \in V$  there is  $A \in R$  such that  $uA = w$ . It follows that if a submodule of  $V$  contains a non-zero element then it contains all  $V$ . This means that  $V$  is simple.

b) If  $W$  is a simple right  $R$ -module and  $w \in W$  is any non-zero element then the function  $f : R \rightarrow W$ ,  $f(r) = wr$  is a surjective homomorphism of  $R$ -modules and  $W \cong R/\ker f$ . Let us apply this to  $W = V$  and  $w = e_i$ . In this case  $\ker f = J_i$  consists of all matrices whose  $i$ -th row is 0. Thus  $R/J_i$  are all isomorphic to  $V$  for  $i = 1, \dots, n$ .

c) For any right  $R$ -module  $M$  define  $\text{ann}(R) = \{r \in R : mr = 0 \text{ for all } m \in M\}$ . It is easy to see that  $\text{ann}(R)$  is an ideal of  $R$  called the annihilator of  $M$ . Clearly, isomorphic  $R$ -modules have equal annihilators. When  $R$  is commutative and  $I$  is an ideal of  $R$  then the annihilator of  $R/I$  is  $I$ . Indeed, if  $r \in \text{ann}(I)$  then  $I = (1 + I)r = r + I$ , so  $r \in I$ . Conversely, if  $r \in I$  and  $a \in R$  then  $(a + I)r = ar + I = ra + I = I$ , so  $r \in \text{ann}(I)$  (why doesn't it work for non-commutative rings?). Thus, if  $R/I$  and  $R/J$  are isomorphic then they have the same annihilators so  $I = J$ .

d) Consider the function  $T : V^n \rightarrow R$  which takes  $(v_1, \dots, v_n)$  to the matrix whose  $i$ -th row is  $v_i$ ,  $i = 1, \dots, n$ . It is straightforward to see that  $T$  is a bijection and it is a homomorphism of right  $R$ -modules (since the  $i$ -th row of the matrix  $BA$  is  $b_i A$ , where  $b_i$  is the  $i$ -th row of  $B$ ). Thus  $R$  and  $V^n$  are indeed isomorphic as right  $R$ -modules.

d) Let  $W$  be any simple right  $R$ -module. Thus there is a surjective homomorphism from  $R = V^n$  onto  $W$ . Restricting this homomorphism to at least one direct summand  $V$  of  $R = V^n$  is non-trivial. Thus we get a non-zero homomorphism  $V \rightarrow W$ . The image is a non-trivial submodule of  $W$ , so it is the whole  $W$  (as  $W$  is simple). The kernel is a proper submodule of  $V$ , hence it is trivial (as  $V$  is simple). Thus we have an isomorphism from  $V$  to  $W$ .