

Problem set 2

Problem 1. Let \mathcal{A} be an abelian category. Recall that an object M in \mathcal{A} is called **projective** if $\text{Hom}(M, -)$ is an exact functor from \mathcal{A} to the category $\mathcal{A}b$ of abelian groups. M is called a **generator** if the functor $\text{Hom}(M, -)$ is faithful. We assume that \mathcal{A} has all coproducts and a projective generator.

a) Show that the coproduct of a collection of objects is projective if and only if all the objects in the coproduct are projective. Show that coproduct of generators is a generator. State and prove the dual to these results.

b) Let M be any object of \mathcal{A} . Let $R = \text{Hom}(M, M)$. Then R is a (unital, associative) ring. Show that each abelian group $\text{Hom}(M, A)$ carries a natural right R -module structure, where $fr = f \circ r$ (composition) for $f \in \text{Hom}(M, A)$ and $r \in \text{Hom}(M, M)$. Thus the functor $\text{Hom}(M, -)$ can be considered as a functor from \mathcal{A} to $\text{mod-}R$ (the category of right R -modules).

c) Suppose that Q is a generator. For any object A consider the coproduct

$$Q_A = \bigoplus_{f \in \text{Hom}(Q, A)} Q_f,$$

where each Q_f is Q . The collection of morphisms $f : Q_f = Q \rightarrow A$ induces a morphism $f_A : Q_A \rightarrow A$. Prove that f_A is an epimorphism. Suppose now that S is a set of objects in \mathcal{A} . Consider the coproduct

$$Q_S = \bigoplus_{A \in S} Q_A.$$

For $A \in S$ we have a morphism $f_A : Q_A \rightarrow A$ and for $B \neq A$ we have the zero morphisms $Q_B \rightarrow A$. This collection of morphisms corresponds to a morphism $Q_S \rightarrow A$. Show that this morphism is an epimorphism.

d) Let \mathcal{B} be a small, full, exact subcategory of \mathcal{A} . Parts a) and c) show that there is a projective generator P which has an epimorphism to every object of \mathcal{B} . The functor $T(-) = \text{Hom}(P, -)$ is a faithful exact functor from \mathcal{B} to $\text{mod-}R$, where $R = T(P)$ (see part b)). Let A, B be objects in \mathcal{B} . Consider a right R -module homomorphism $f : T(A) \rightarrow T(B)$. There are epimorphisms $\pi : P \rightarrow A$ and $\sigma : P \rightarrow B$. Let

$\eta : K \rightarrow P$ be the kernel of π , so $0 \rightarrow K \xrightarrow{\eta} P \xrightarrow{\pi} A \rightarrow 0$ is exact. Since T is exact, we have the following diagram of R -modules with exact rows:

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc} 0 & \longrightarrow & T(K) & \xrightarrow{T(\eta)} & T(P) = R & \xrightarrow{T(\pi)} & T(A) \longrightarrow 0 \\ & & & & & & \downarrow f \\ & & & & T(P) = R & \xrightarrow{T(\sigma)} & T(B) \longrightarrow 0 \end{array}$$

Prove that there is an R -module homomorphisms $h : R \rightarrow R$ such that $T(\sigma)h = fT(\pi)$. Show that $h = T(u)$ for some $u : P \rightarrow P$. Then show that $\sigma u \eta = 0$ and conclude that there is $\phi : A \rightarrow B$ such that $\phi \pi = \sigma u$. Show that $T(\phi) = f$. This proves that the functor T is full.

Problem 2. a) Explain why pre-additive categories with one object are the same as rings.

b) Let \mathcal{M} be a small pre-additive category and \mathcal{A} an abelian category. Prove that additive functors from \mathcal{M} to \mathcal{A} form an abelian category. What is this category when \mathcal{M} has one object and $\mathcal{A} = \mathcal{A}b$ is the category of abelian groups?

c) Let \mathcal{C} be a small category and \mathcal{A} an abelian category. Prove that all functors from \mathcal{C} to \mathcal{A} form an abelian category.

Problem 3. Let \mathcal{A} be an abelian category. Consider the category $SES(\mathcal{A})$ of short exact sequences in \mathcal{A} . Prove that this is a pre-abelian category, but it is not abelian if \mathcal{A} has a non-zero object.

Problem 4. Let \mathcal{A} be an abelian category. Recall that for any object X of \mathcal{A} we defined the collections of sub-objects $S(X)$ and quotient-objects $Q(X)$ of X . Both $S(X)$ and $Q(X)$ have a natural order and there is order reversing bijection from $S(X)$ to $Q(X)$. Any two sub-objects of X have the largest lower bound, called the intersection of the sub-objects and they have the least upper bound called the sum of the sub-objects (there are dual statements for the quotient objects). The problem is that in general we do not know if $S(X)$ is a set (and it does not have to be a set).

a) Suppose that \mathcal{A} has a generator G . For any monomorphism $i : A \hookrightarrow X$ let $M(i)$ be the image of the map $Hom(G, A) \rightarrow Hom(G, X)$ induced by i . Prove that $i \leq j$ if and only if $M(i) \subseteq M(j)$ (recall that $i \leq j$, where $i : A \hookrightarrow X$, $j : A \hookrightarrow X$

are monomorphisms, means that there is $k : A \rightarrow B$ such that $i = jk$). Conclude that i and j are equivalent if and only if $M(i) = M(j)$. Conclude that both $S(X)$ and $Q(X)$ are sets.

From now on we assume that \mathcal{A} has a generator and all coproducts (hence also all colimits).

b) For any set of sub-objects $i_\alpha : A_\alpha \hookrightarrow X$ we have a corresponding morphism $\bigoplus_\alpha A_\alpha \rightarrow X$. The image of this morphism is a sub-object of X denoted by $\sum_\alpha A_\alpha$. Prove that $\sum_\alpha A_\alpha$ is the least upper bound of the sub-object A_α in $S(X)$.

c) Suppose that we have a linearly ordered set of sub-object $i_\alpha : A_\alpha \hookrightarrow X$ of X and let $A = \sum S_\alpha$ (which should be called the union in this case), so we have monomorphisms $k_\alpha : A_\alpha \hookrightarrow A$. Suppose that we have morphisms $f_\alpha : A_\alpha \rightarrow B$ such that if $i_\alpha \leq i_\beta$ then $f_\beta k_{\alpha,\beta} = f_\alpha$, where $k_{\alpha,\beta} : A_\alpha \hookrightarrow A_\beta$ is such that $i_\alpha = i_\beta k_{\alpha,\beta}$. We would like to have a morphism $f : A \rightarrow B$ such that $f k_\alpha = f_\alpha$ (this is clearly true in any category of modules). Prove that this holds for any B if and only if A is the colimit of the diagram formed by A_α and the monomorphisms $k_{\alpha,\beta}$.

Before we state the next part let us recall some facts about colimits and limits. Let \mathcal{C} be a small category. Suppose all colimits (limits) of shape \mathcal{C} exist in \mathcal{A} . The category $\mathcal{F}un(\mathcal{C}, \mathcal{A})$ of all functors from \mathcal{C} to \mathcal{A} is an abelian category and the colimit (limit) is a functor from $\mathcal{F}un(\mathcal{C}, \mathcal{A})$ to \mathcal{A} . The colimit (limit) is left adjoint (right adjoint) to the diagonal functor from \mathcal{A} to $\mathcal{F}un(\mathcal{C}, \mathcal{A})$. It follows that the colimit (limit) is right exact (left exact).

d) Prove that the following conditions are equivalent:

1. any A as in part c) is the colimit of the diagram given by A_α
2. for any X , any sub-object K of X , and any linearly ordered sub-objects A_α of X we have $(\sum_\alpha A_\alpha) \cap K = \sum(A_\alpha \cap K)$.
3. for any X , any sub-object K of X , and any lattice of sub-objects A_α of X we have $(\sum_\alpha A_\alpha) \cap K = \sum(A_\alpha \cap K)$.
4. all filtered colimits in \mathcal{A} are exact functors.

Abelian categories which have a generator and all coproducts, and in which all filtered colimits are exact are called **Grothendieck abelian categories**.

e) Let \mathcal{A} be a Grothendieck abelian category. Prove that a contravariant functor F from \mathcal{A} to Set is representable if and only if it commutes with colimits i.e. $F(\varinjlim G) = \varprojlim(F \circ G)$. Conclude that all products exist in \mathcal{A} . Thus all limits exist in \mathcal{A}

f) Show that if \mathcal{A} and \mathcal{A}^o are both Grothendieck abelian categories then all objects in \mathcal{A} are zero.

g) Show that any coproduct is exact in a Grothendieck abelian category. Hint: Finite coproducts are also products, hence they are exact. Show that a coproduct $\bigoplus_{i \in T} X_i$ can be considered as a filtered colimit of the finite coproducts $\bigoplus_{i \in S} X_i$ where S ranges over all finite subsets of T . Show that products do not need to be exact.

Problem 5. Let \mathcal{A} be an abelian category. Recall that a simplicial object in \mathcal{A} is a functor from Δ^o to \mathcal{A} . Thus the simplicial objects in \mathcal{A} form an abelian category denoted by $\Delta^o \mathcal{A}$. More explicitly, a simplicial object C_\bullet in \mathcal{A} is a collection of objects C_n for $n = 0, 1, \dots$ and morphisms $C(f) : C_n \rightarrow C_m$ for every non-decreasing function $f : \{0, 1, \dots, m\} \rightarrow \{0, 1, \dots, n\}$ such that $C(fg) = C(g)C(f)$ whenever the composition is defined. In particular, we have the face morphisms $\delta_i : C_n \rightarrow C_{n-1}$ for $i = 0, 1, \dots, n$ and degeneracy morphisms $\sigma_i : C_{n-1} \rightarrow C_n$ for $i = 0, 1, \dots, n-1$. Define $A^n = C_{-n}$ for $n \leq 0$ and $A^n = 0$ for $n > 0$. Then set $d^n = \sum_{i=0}^n \partial_i : A^n \rightarrow A^{n+1}$ for $n < 0$ and $d^n = 0$ for $n \geq 0$.

a) Prove that $A^\bullet : \dots \xrightarrow{d^{n-1}} A^n \xrightarrow{d^n} A^{n+1} \xrightarrow{d^{n+1}} A^{n+2} \xrightarrow{d^{n+2}} \dots$ is a complex. The assignment $K : C_\bullet \mapsto A^\bullet = K(C_\bullet)$ is a functor $K : \Delta^o \mathcal{A} \rightarrow \mathcal{K}om^-(\mathcal{A})$ (how is it defined on morphisms?).

b) Let B^n be the sub-object of A^n which is the intersection of the kernels of the morphisms $\partial_i : A^n \rightarrow A^{n+1}$, $i = 0, 1, \dots, n-1$ (when $n < 0$; for $n \geq 0$ set $B^n = 0$). Show that d^n induces a morphism $B^n \rightarrow B^{n+1}$ which coincides with $(-1)^n \partial_n$ (restricted to B^n). Thus B^\bullet is a subcomplex of A^\bullet denoted by $N(C_\bullet)$. Show that this defines a functor $N : \Delta^o \mathcal{A} \rightarrow \mathcal{K}om^-(\mathcal{A})$.

- c) Let D^n be the sub-object of A^n which is the least upper bound (sum) of the images of the degeneracy maps $\sigma_i : A_{n+1} \rightarrow A_n$, $i = 0, 1, \dots, n-1$ ($D^n = 0$ for $n \geq 0$). Show that d^n induces a morphism $D^n \rightarrow D^{n+1}$. Thus D^\bullet is a subcomplex of A^\bullet denoted by $D(C_\bullet)$. Show that this defines a functor $D : \Delta^o \mathcal{A} \rightarrow \mathcal{K}om^-(\mathcal{A})$.
- d) Prove that A^n is the direct sum of B^n and D^n . Thus $K(C_\bullet) = N(C_\bullet) \oplus D(C_\bullet)$.
- e) Prove that the complex $D(C_\bullet)$ is acyclic.
- f) Let X_\bullet be a simplicial set. Let A_n be the free abelian group on the set X_n . Each function $X(f) : X_n \rightarrow X_m$ extends to a group homomorphism $A_n \rightarrow A_m$. Show that this defines a simplicial abelian group which is denoted by $\mathbb{Z}[X_\bullet]$. This way we get a functor $\Delta^o \text{Set} \rightarrow \Delta^o \text{Ab}$. The n -th cohomology of the complex $K(\mathbb{Z}[X_\bullet])$ is denoted by $H_{-n}(X_\bullet, \mathbb{Z})$ and called the $(-n)$ -th homology of X_\bullet with integer coefficients. When applied to the singular simplicial set of a topological space T we get the homology $H_n(T, \mathbb{Z})$. When applied to the classifying space BG of a group G (this is a simplicial set) we get the homology $H_n(G, \mathbb{Z})$.