

Homework 13
due on Tuesday, August 9

Read carefully sections 6, 7, 8 of Chapter 2 in Shen's book and sections 2.8, 2.9, 2.10, 2.11 in Stoll's book. Solve the following problems.

Problem 1. Let (A, \leq_A) be a partially ordered set.

a) Consider a family of subsets of A , each of which is a chain in A . Suppose that for any two members X, Y in that family, either $X \subseteq Y$ or $Y \subseteq X$ (in other words, the family is a chain of subsets of A with respect to inclusion). Prove that the union of all members of that family is again a chain in A .

Start your proof as follows: Let U be the union of all members of the family and let a, b be in U . I need to prove that a and b are comparable. ...

b) Consider a family of subsets of A , each of which is an antichain in A . Suppose that for any two members X, Y in that family, either $X \subseteq Y$ or $Y \subseteq X$ (in other words, the family is a chain of subsets of A with respect to inclusion). Prove that the union of all members of that family is again an antichain in A .

Start your proof as follows: Let U be the union of all members of the family and let a, b be two distinct elements in U . I need to prove that a and b are not comparable. ...

c) Consider a family of subsets of A , each of which is an initial segment in A . Prove that both the union of all members of that family and the intersection of all members of that family are again initial segments in A .

Problem 2. Let (A, \leq_A) be a partially ordered set. Consider the family \mathcal{C} of all subsets of A which are chains in A . The set \mathcal{C} is partially ordered by inclusion.

a) Prove that \mathcal{C} is inductively ordered by inclusion (use the previous problem).

b) Use Kuratowski-Zorn Lemma to prove that there exist a chain X in A such that no element in $A \setminus X$ is comparable to all elements in X (i.e. no element can be added to X to form a bigger chain). This result is often called **Hausdorff's maximal principle**.

c) Assuming **Hausdorff's maximal principle** prove the Kuratowski-Zorn Lemma.

Problem 3. Let (A, \leq_A) be a partially ordered set. Consider the family \mathcal{N} of all subsets of A which are antichains in A . The set \mathcal{N} is partially ordered by inclusion.

a) Prove that \mathcal{N} is inductively ordered by inclusion (use Problem 1b).

b) Use Kuratowski-Zorn Lemma to prove that there exist an antichain Z in A such that every element in $A \setminus Z$ is comparable to some element in Z (i.e. no element can be added to Z to form a bigger antichain).