

# Math 454 - Spring 2021 - Homework 06

*Published: Friday, February 19, 2021*

**Update March 22, 2021**

Added written assignments.

## Status - Reading Assignments:

Here is the status of the reading assignments you were asked to complete by this date plus the material taught in class without assigned reading.

SCF2 (Shreve Textbook):  
ch.1-4.2

MF454 lecture notes:  
ch.2 – 6, ch.7 (all that is published so far)

Other: • Wiersema (optional - no internet PDF) p.130-135: one period binomial model

## New reading assignments:

### Reading assignment 1 - due Monday, March 22:

- a. Carefully read SCF2 ch.4.4.1. The proof of thm.4.4.1 is not as important as remark 4.4.2 that follows it.

### Reading assignment 2 - due: Wednesday, March 24:

- b. Carefully read SCF2 ch.4.4.2. Thm.4.4.6 (Itô formula for Itô processes) will be the most important tool for continuous time finance.

### Reading assignment 3 - due Friday, March 26:

- a. Carefully read SCF ch.4.4.3. Dig into the technical aspects of those examples!
- b. Carefully read SCF ch.4.5.1 and ch.4.5.2. Make a connection to the binomial model: What we called there  $V_t^H$  he calls  $X_t$ , what we called  $\Pi(\mathcal{X})$  he calls  $c(t, S_t)$ .

**Written assignments:** on page 2.

**General note on written assignments:** I will not collect those assignments for grading but doing them might be helpful for your quizzes and exams.

Practice pricing options in the binomial multiperiod model. You will probably need a calculator or a spreadsheet to do them. Follow Example 6.1 in MF454.

Here are some suggestions.

- (1) European call with  $T = 2, K = 50, R = 0, u = 1.2, d = 0.8, p_u = 0.7, s = S_0 = 60,$
- (2) Same as (1), but  $K = 60.$
- (3) Same as (1), but  $K = 70.$
- (4) Same as (1), but  $K = 40.$

Does it make sense to you how  $\Pi_0(\mathcal{X})$  and strike price are related?

Note that the probabilities  $p_u, p_d$  of the real world probability  $P$  are not part of those computations. But you should use it to compare the correct price  $\Pi_0(\mathcal{X}) = \frac{1}{(1+R)^T} E^Q[\Phi(S_T)]$  with the real world expectation  $\frac{1}{(1+R)^T} E^P[\Phi(S_T)]$  of the discounted contract value.

- (5) Do (1)–(4) for a European put instead of a European call.

A **European put** option is a contract written at some time  $t_0$ . It specifies that at the time of expiration  $T > t_0$  the holder of this option has the right, but not the obligation, to sell a share of an underlying security for the price of  $K$  (strike price). Note that the contract function which specifies the value of this derivative at time  $T$  to the contract holder is

$$\Psi(S_T(\omega)), \quad \text{where } \Psi(x) = (K - x)^+ = \max(K - x, 0).$$

- (6) Do (1)–(4) with  $R = 0.1$  instead of  $R = 0$ . Careful: You no longer have  $q_d = q_u = \frac{1}{2}!$

**Solutions:** Will not be given, but you can verify the correctness of your computations as follows.

- Once you have computed all options prices  $\Pi(\mathfrak{N}_{t,k})$  go forward in the tree and compute the replicating portfolio holdings  $(x_{t+1}, y_{t+1})$  for each node.
- (2) Compute the portfolio value based on those quantities (and stock price and interest rate) for each node. It should match the options price.
- (3) If you have a mismatch: Remember that the holdings  $x_{t+1}$  and  $y_{t+1}$  were purchased at time  $t$  but they determine  $V_{t+1}$  at time  $t + 1$ , so you must multiply  $y_{t+1}$  with  $S_{t+1}$  and not with  $S_t$ .