

Chapter 16. Introduction to Bayesian Methods for Inference

16.1. Under the assumption that X_1, \dots, X_n are i.i.d. from $f(x; \theta)$, $\theta \in \Theta$, where θ is an unknown parameter, constant (not random),

we have learned 3 methods to estimate unknown parameter, say θ :

unbiased estimator,

MME,

MLE.

In this section, we study a new estimator: Bayes estimator, under

the Bayesian approach:

Conditional on θ , X_1, \dots, X_n are i.i.d. from $f(x|\theta)$,

θ is a random variable with df $\pi(\theta)$,

$f(x|\theta)$ is a conditional df of $X|\theta$.

Bayes estimator of θ is $\hat{\theta} = E(\theta|\mathbf{X})$.

Recall the formula

$$f_{X|Y}(x|y) = \frac{f(x, y)}{f_Y(y)}. \quad (1)$$

Now

$f(\mathbf{x}, \theta)$ is the joint df of (\mathbf{X}, θ) ,

$f_{\mathbf{X}}(\mathbf{x})$ is the marginal df of \mathbf{X} ,

$\pi(\theta)$ is the marginal df of θ , called **prior df** now,

$f(\mathbf{x}|\theta)$ is the conditional df of $\mathbf{X}|\theta$,

$\pi(\theta|\mathbf{x})$ is the conditional df of $\theta|\mathbf{X}$, called the **posterior df** now,

$$f_{\mathbf{X}}(\mathbf{x}) = \begin{cases} \int f(\mathbf{x}, \theta) d\theta & \text{if } \theta \text{ is continuous} \\ \sum_{\theta} f(\mathbf{x}, \theta) & \text{if } \theta \text{ is discrete.} \end{cases}$$

$$\pi(\theta) = \begin{cases} \int f(\mathbf{x}, \theta) d\mathbf{x} & \text{if } \mathbf{X} \text{ is continuous} \\ \sum_{\mathbf{x}} f(\mathbf{x}, \theta) & \text{if } \mathbf{X} \text{ is discrete.} \end{cases}$$

$$f(\mathbf{x}|\theta) = \frac{f(\mathbf{x}, \theta)}{\pi(\theta)} \text{ by Eq. (1),}$$

$$\pi(\theta|\mathbf{x}) = \frac{f(\mathbf{x}, \theta)}{f_{\mathbf{X}}(\mathbf{x})} \text{ by Eq. (1),}$$

$$E(\theta|\mathbf{X} = \mathbf{x}) = \begin{cases} \int \theta \pi(\theta|\mathbf{x}) d\theta & \text{if } \theta \text{ is continuous} \\ \sum \theta \pi(\theta|\mathbf{x}) & \text{if } \theta \text{ is discrete.} \end{cases}$$

Homework 16.1.1. Recall the Bayes set-up:

conditional on θ , X_1, \dots, X_n are i.i.d. from $f(x|\theta)$.

Are X_i 's i.i.d. from f_X ? Prove or disprove it through the assumption as follows.

$f(x|\theta)$ is the density of $bin(1, p)$, and $p \sim U(0, 1)$.

Remark. Two ways to compute the Bayes estimator:

1. $E(\theta|\mathbf{X})$,
2. $E(\theta|T(\mathbf{X}))$ where T is a sufficient statistic.

They lead to the same estimator.

The second method is often simpler in derivation.

Example 1. Let X_1, \dots, X_n be a random sample from $\text{bin}(k, \theta)$,
 $\theta \sim \text{beta}(\alpha, \beta)$ with $\pi(\theta) = \frac{\theta^{\alpha-1}(1-\theta)^{\beta-1}}{B(\alpha, \beta)}$, $\theta \in [0, 1]$, where (k, α, β) is known.

Bayes estimator of θ ?

25. $X \sim \text{beta}(\alpha, \beta)$. $f(x) = \frac{x^{\alpha-1}(1-x)^{\beta-1}}{B(\alpha, \beta)}$, if $x \in (0, 1)$, $\mu = \frac{\alpha}{\alpha+\beta}$, where $B(\alpha, \beta) = \frac{\Gamma(\alpha)\Gamma(\beta)}{\Gamma(\alpha+\beta)}$

Sol. Recall $T(\mathbf{X}) = \sum_{i=1}^n X_i$ is a sufficient statistic if θ is a parameter.

Two ways: (1) $E(\theta|\mathbf{X})$ (2) $E(\theta|T(\mathbf{X}))$.

Method 1. Based on \mathbf{X} .

$$\begin{aligned} f(\mathbf{x}|\theta) &= \prod_{i=1}^n \binom{k}{x_i} \theta^{x_i} (1-\theta)^{k-x_i} \\ &= \left(\prod_{i=1}^n \binom{k}{x_i} \right) \theta^{\sum_i x_i} (1-\theta)^{nk - \sum_i x_i}. \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} \pi(\theta|\mathbf{x}) &= \frac{f(\mathbf{x}, \theta)}{f_{\mathbf{X}}(\mathbf{x})} \\ &= \frac{f(\mathbf{x}|\theta)\pi(\theta)}{f_{\mathbf{X}}(\mathbf{x})} \\ &\propto f(\mathbf{x}|\theta)\pi(\theta) && \text{as } f_{\mathbf{X}} \text{ does not depend on } \theta \\ &= \prod_{i=1}^n \binom{k}{x_i} \theta^{\sum_i x_i} (1-\theta)^{nk - \sum_i x_i} \frac{\theta^{\alpha-1}(1-\theta)^{\beta-1}}{B(\alpha, \beta)} \\ &\propto \theta^{\sum_i x_i} (1-\theta)^{nk - \sum_i x_i} \theta^{\alpha-1} (1-\theta)^{\beta-1} \text{ (main trick!!)} \\ &= \theta^{\sum_i x_i + \alpha - 1} (1-\theta)^{kn - \sum_i x_i + \beta - 1} \end{aligned} \tag{1}$$

$$\text{Thus } \theta|(\mathbf{X} = \mathbf{x}) \sim \text{beta}\left(\sum_i x_i + \alpha, nk - \sum_i x_i + \beta\right) \tag{2}$$

$$\theta|(\mathbf{X} = \mathbf{x}) \sim \text{beta}(a, b).$$

Q: What is the meaning of Eq. (2) if $n = 0$?

The Bayes estimator is

$$\begin{aligned} \hat{\theta} &= E(\theta|\mathbf{X}) \\ &= \frac{a}{a+b} \quad \text{why?} \\ &= \frac{\sum_i X_i + \alpha}{nk + \alpha + \beta} \\ &= \frac{1}{nk + \alpha + \beta} \frac{nk \sum_i X_i}{nk} + \frac{1}{nk + \alpha + \beta} (\alpha + \beta) \frac{\alpha}{\alpha + \beta} \\ &= \frac{nk}{nk + \alpha + \beta} \frac{\sum_i X_i}{nk} + \frac{\alpha + \beta}{nk + \alpha + \beta} \frac{\alpha}{\alpha + \beta} \\ &= r \frac{\sum_{i=1}^n X_i}{nk} + (1-r) \frac{\alpha}{\alpha + \beta} \quad \approx \begin{cases} MLE & \text{if } r \approx 1 \text{ or } n \approx \infty \\ E(\theta) & \text{if } r \approx 0 \text{ or } n = 0, \end{cases} \end{aligned} \tag{3}$$

a weighted average of the MLE $\frac{\sum_{i=1}^n X_i}{nk}$ and the prior mean $\frac{\alpha}{\alpha+\beta}$.

Method 2. Based on the sufficient statistic $T = \sum_i X_i$.

$T|\theta \sim \text{bin}(nk, \theta)$? Yes, No, DNK

or $T \sim \text{bin}(nk, \theta)$? Yes, No, DNK

$$\begin{aligned}
 f_{T|\theta}(t|\theta) &= \binom{nk}{t} \theta^t (1-\theta)^{nk-t}, \\
 \pi(\theta|t) &= \frac{f_{T,\theta}(t, \theta)}{f_T(t)} \\
 &= \frac{f_{T|\theta}(t|\theta)\pi(\theta)}{f_T(t)} \\
 &= \frac{\binom{nk}{t} \theta^t (1-\theta)^{nk-t} \theta^{\alpha-1} (1-\theta)^{\beta-1} / B(\alpha, \beta)}{f_T(t)} \\
 &= \theta^t (1-\theta)^{nk-t} \theta^{\alpha-1} (1-\theta)^{\beta-1} \frac{\binom{nk}{t}}{B(\alpha, \beta) f_T(t)} \\
 &\propto \theta^{t+\alpha-1} (1-\theta)^{kn-t+\beta-1} \quad \text{same as (1), why ?}
 \end{aligned}$$

...

Additional HW:

448 [22] The Likelihood ratio test for $H_o: \theta \in \Theta_o$ v.s. $H_a: \theta \notin \Theta_o$ has a RR: $\{\lambda \leq k\}$, where

$\lambda = \frac{L(\hat{\theta}_o)}{L(\hat{\theta})}$; $\hat{\theta}_o$ is the MLE under H_o ; $\hat{\theta}$ is the MLE under H_a ;

k satisfies $\max\{P(RR) : \theta \in \Theta_o\} = \alpha$;

if n is large, then $-2\ln\lambda$ is approximated $\chi^2(v)$;

where $v = 2(r - r_o)$; r and $r_o = \#$ of free parameters in Θ and in Θ_o , respectively.

key: $\leq, \frac{L(\hat{\theta}_o)}{L(\hat{\theta})}, \Theta_o, \Theta, \alpha, \chi^2(v)$,

24(c).

$$\begin{aligned}
 \lambda &= \frac{L(\hat{\theta}_o)}{L(\hat{\theta})} = \frac{\binom{\sum_i (x_i + y_i)}{2n} n\bar{x} + n\bar{y}}{\binom{\sum_i x_i}{n} n\bar{x} \binom{\sum_i y_i}{n} n\bar{y}} \quad \bar{x} = 20 \ \& \ \bar{y} = 22 \\
 &= \frac{21^{100(20+22)}}{20^{100(20)} 22^{100(22)}} \leq k =? \quad \text{by 448[22]} \\
 -2\ln\lambda &\sim \chi^2(2-1) \\
 -2\ln\lambda &= 9.53
 \end{aligned}$$

$H_o: \theta_1 = \theta_2$ v.s.

$H_1: \theta_1 \neq \theta_2$

Test statistic: λ or $-2\ln\lambda$.

RR: $\lambda \leq k$? Yes, No, DNK

$-2\ln\lambda \leq \chi^2_{0.1,1}$? Yes, No, DNK

$-2\ln\lambda \geq \chi^2_{0.1,1}$? Yes, No, DNK