ROOKS IN THE STAIRCASE (CHAPTER 5, EXERCISE 4) MATH 386, DECEMBER 12, 2011

The problem in (b) is to count the number of non-attacking placements of k rooks in the staircase Ferrers diagram, which is the diagram of the partition $(n-1, n-2, \ldots, 2, 1)$ of $\binom{n}{2}$. A couple of beginning examples:

$$h(0) = 1, \quad h(1) = \binom{n}{2},$$

since with k = 0 we don't do anything, and with k = 1 we put a rook anywhere. The pattern is obvious, right? Not yet! I think it's easier to find a general solution than to infer a pattern.

Part (a) of the question suggests the answer to (b) is either S(n, k) or S(n, n - k), since the answer to part (a), the Bell number B_n , is the sum of all Stirling numbers S(n, i) for fixed n. That guided me in thinking about part (b).

Proposition 0.1. [{P:k-rooks}] The number of ways to place k nonattacking rooks in the staircase diagram is S(n, n - k).

Proof. We set up a function $\theta: \mathcal{R}_k \to \Pi_{n,n-k}$, where \mathcal{R}_k is the set of all possible arrangements of k rooks in the diagram and $\Pi_{n,n-k}$ is the set of all partitions of [n] into n-k parts. We also set up a function $\varphi: \Pi_{n,n-k} \to \mathcal{R}_k$, and we'll show they are inverse functions. Therefore, they are bijections, so $|\mathcal{R}_k| = |\Pi_{n,n-k}| = S(n,n-k)$.

The secret trick is to number the rows $1, 2, \ldots, n-1$ from top to bottom and number the columns $n, n-1, \ldots, 2$ from left to right. This sets up a coordinate system in the diagram. Every square (x, y) satisfies $1 \le x < y \le n$.

An arrangement of rooks is a set of coordinates of squares, i.e., for k rooks we give k coordinate pairs. Each rook has coordinates (x, y) that satisfy $1 \le x < y \le n$.

Now let's define θ . Let **R** be an arrangement of k rooks. I will define the partition $\theta(\mathbf{R})$. To construct the partition, put two numbers $a, b \in [n]$, with a < b, into the same block of the partition if (but not only if!) there is a rook in **R** with coordinates (a, b). This tells us about some pairs, and that implies the partition in which those pairs are in the same block.

For example, suppose n = 6, k = 3, and the three rooks are in positions (1,6) (the top left square), (2,4), and (4,5); that is, $\mathbf{R} = \{(1,6), (2,4), (4,5)\}$. Then 1,6 are in the same block, and 2,4,5 are in the same block. The partition is $\{16,245,3\}$.

Now let's define φ . Let $\pi = \{B_1, B_2, \dots, B_k l\}$ be a partition of [n]. For each block B, arrange its elements in increasing order, say $a_1 < a_2 < \dots < a_m$ where m = |B|. (That's the second secret trick.) Then $(a_1, a_2), (a_2, a_3), \dots, (a_{m-1}, a_m)$ will be in the rook arrangement $\varphi(\pi)$. Do this for each block and you have $\varphi(\pi)$.

For example, if n = 7 and $\pi = \{134, 27, 5, 6\}$, then $\varphi(\pi) = \{(1, 3), (3, 4), (2, 7)\}$.

We need to prove these are valid functions with the codomains I claimed for them. First, if we start with $\pi \in \Pi_{n,n-k}$, we certainly get a well-defined rook arrangement. The number of rooks is $|B_i| - 1$ for each block, so it $= \sum_{i=1}^k (|B_i| - 1) = \sum_{i=1}^k |B_i| - (n-)k = k$. Thus, $\varphi(\pi) \in \mathcal{R}_k$. So, φ is really a function from $\Pi_{n,n-k}$ to \mathcal{R}_k .

If we have $\mathbf{R} \in \mathcal{R}_k$, we need to prove that $\theta(\mathbf{R})$ is a partition of [n] with n-k blocks. We need to study the structure of a rook arrangement. Suppose it has a rook in position (a, b). Then no other rook in \mathbf{R} can be in row a or column b. Therefore, the only way a can be in

a second coordinate pair is to be the y-coordinate, i.e., if there is a rook at (z, a) for some z < a. Similarly, the only way b can be in another coordinate pair is to be the x-coordinate, i.e., if there is a rook at (b, c) for some c > b. Furthermore, a and b can only be in at most two coordinate pairs of rooks in \mathbf{R} . It follows that, if we chain the pairs in \mathbf{R} together as follows: $(a, b), (b, c), \ldots, (e, f)$, then $a < b < c < \cdots < e < f$ and all these numbers are in the same block of $\theta(\mathbf{R})$. Another block might be derived from $(a', b'), (c', d'), \ldots, (e', f')$, where $a' < b' < \ldots < e' < f'$ and they are all different from a, b, c, \ldots ; so we get a separate block of $\theta(\mathbf{R})$ containing $a', b', c', \ldots, e', f'$. Therefore, the blocks of $\theta(\mathbf{R})$ are obtained by chaining together rook coordinates. How many blocks are there? Start with every number of [n] in a separate block. The first rook combines two numbers in a block. The second rook combines two blocks into one, and so on. We combine k times, so we have n - k separate blocks when done. Therefore, $\theta(\mathbf{R}) \in \Pi_{n,n-k}$, which is the right set to make θ well defined.

Now that we know φ and θ are functions with the right domains and codomains, we need to show they are inverses. Suppose we take $\theta(\varphi(\mathbf{R}))$. Look at what happens to a chained series of coordinate pairs, $(a,b),(b,c),\ldots,(e,f)$. Applying θ , it becomes a block $\{a,b,c,\ldots,e,f\}$ with $a < b < c < \cdots < e < f$. Applying φ to this block we get the original coordinate pairs. Therefore, $\theta(\varphi(\mathbf{R})) = \mathbf{R}$.

Take $\varphi(\theta(\pi))$. If $B \in \pi$, say $B = \{a, b, c, \dots, e, f\}$ with $a < b < c < \dots < e < f$, then $\theta(\pi)$ has the coordinate pairs $(a, b), (b, c), \dots, (e, f)$, but no other pairs involving the numbers a, b, \dots, f . So, when we apply φ to $\theta((\pi))$, the coordinates a, b, c, \dots, e, f will be together in a block, but no other numbers will be in the same block. That is, $\varphi(\theta(\pi)) = \pi$.

Since we proved there are inverse functions between \mathcal{R}_k and $\Pi_{n,n-k}$, those functions are bijections; therefore $|\mathcal{R}_k| = |\Pi_{n,n-k}|$.