## Lemma for Erdős–Ko–Rado Theorem 6.4

We assume 0 < k < n/2. The intersecting family of k-sets contained in [n] is  $\mathcal{A}$ . There was a missing part of the proof because Case 2 was too complicated. I think it really is complicated, but maybe there is an easier proof.

**Lemma 1** (Lemma 6.4A). The number of k-sets of  $\mathcal{A}$  that are intervals in a circular ordering  $\mathcal{F}^{\pi}$  is at most k.

*Proof.* We use  $\mathcal{F}$  and assume one of the sets is  $A_0 = [k]$ . Then any other set  $S \in \mathcal{A} \cap \mathcal{F}$  has  $\max S \geq 1$  and  $\min S \leq k$  in order to overlap  $A_0$ .

Case 1: The sets don't wrap around; i.e., there is an  $i \in [n]$  such that none of the sets contains both i and i + 1. Choose the biggest possible i; then we can assume i = n by relabelling and no set S will contain n, since min  $S \ge 1$  and max  $S \le 2k - 1 < n$ . So the only possible sets are  $A_0 + i$  for i = 0, 1, ..., k - 1.

Case 2: The sets wrap around. Then there have to be sets  $B, C \in \mathcal{A} \cap \mathcal{F}$  such that  $n \in B$ ,  $k + 1 \in C$ , and  $A_0 \cap B \cap C = \emptyset$ . (The B's and C's are distinct because no k-interval can contain both n and k + 1 and also intersect  $A_0$ .)

Each B is characterized by  $j = \max B$ . Among all B's let  $j_{\max}$  and  $j_{\min}$  be the largest and smallest values of j. Each B has  $j_{\min} \leq \max B \leq j_{\max}$ , so the number of B's is at most  $j_{\max} - j_{\min} + 1$ . For later use, let  $B_{\min}$  be the B with  $j = j_{\min}$ .

Each C is characterized by  $h = \min C$ . Among all C's let  $h_{\max}$  and  $h_{\min}$  be the largest and smallest values of h. Each C has  $h_{\min} \leq \min C \leq h_{\max}$ , so the number of C's is at most  $h_{\max} - h_{\min} + 1$ . For later use, let  $C_{\max}$  be the C with  $h = h_{\max}$ .

In order for all  $B \cap C$  to be nonempty, we must have  $h_{\min} + k - 1 \ge j_{\max} - k + 1 + n$ , because  $\max C_{\min} = h_{\min} + k - 1$  and (adjusted by adding n)  $\min B_{\max} = j_{\max} - (k - 1) + n$ . Thus,  $h_{\min} - j_{\max} \ge n + 2 - 2k$ .

A k-interval  $A \in \mathcal{A} \cap \mathcal{F}$  that is not a B or a C has the property that the nonempty intersections  $A \cap B, A \cap C \subseteq A_0$ . Since  $A \cap C_{\max} \neq \emptyset$ , we have  $i = \max A \ge h_{\max}$ . Since  $A \cap B_{\min} \neq \emptyset$ , we have  $i - k + 1 = \min A \le j_{\min}$ . Thus,  $h_{\max} \le i \le j_{\min} + k - 1$ . It follows that the number of A's is at most  $(j_{\min} + k - 1) - h_{\max} + 1$ ; this includes  $A_0$ , for which i = k.

Every k-interval that belongs to  $\mathcal{A}$  is an A, a B, or a C. Therefore, the total number of such k-intervals is at most

$$[(j_{\min} + k - 1) - h_{\max} + 1] + [j_{\max} - j_{\min} + 1] + [h_{\max} - h_{\min} + 1]$$
  
=  $k + 2 - (h_{\min} - j_{\max})$   
 $\leq k + 2 - (n + 2 - 2k) = 3k - n \leq k$ 

since  $3k \leq 3n/2$ .

Case 1 was the easy part, though here I'm making it a little less obvious in order to simplify the proof without a diagram.

Note that the upper bound in Case 2 is attainable only when k = n/2.

**Example 1.** To show the upper bound is attainable in Case 2 when n = 2k, consider k = 3. Let  $\mathcal{A} \cap \mathcal{F} = \{123, 345, 561\}$ . This has k sets in it. It should be easy to generalize to any k > 0.