

5 February 2020

Notetaker: Mike Gottstein

Let's explore Stanley's Theorem 5.17.

**Theorem 1** (Stanley, Theorem 5.17). *Let  $(\mathcal{A}_1, \mathcal{A}_2, \dots)$  be an ESA. Then*

$$\sum_{n \geq 0} \chi_{\mathcal{A}_n}(t) \frac{x^n}{n!} = \left( \sum_{n \geq 0} (-1)^n r(\mathcal{A}_n) \frac{x^n}{n!} \right)^{-t},$$

where  $r(\mathcal{A}_n)$  is the number of regions of  $\mathcal{A}_n$ .

**Example 2** (Catalan as exponential sequence). We begin with the Catalan arrangement  $\mathcal{C}_n = \mathcal{A}[\{0, \pm 1\}K_n]$  and what we can do for it with Theorem 1. The Catalan generating function has the following well known formula (e.g., see Wikipedia!):

$$(1) \quad C(x) := \sum_{n \geq 0} C_n x^n = \frac{1 - \sqrt{1 - 4x}}{2x} = \frac{2}{1 + \sqrt{1 + 4x}}.$$

Using gain graphs we formulated the following balanced chromatic polynomial for the affino-graphic arrangement  $\mathcal{A}[\{\pm 1, 0\}K_n]$ , which is the Catalan arrangement  $\mathcal{C}_n$ .  $\chi_{\{\pm 1, 0\}K_n}^b(\lambda)$  which we have shown is equal to the characteristic polynomial  $p_{\mathcal{C}_n}(\lambda)$ . The Catalan sequence  $(\mathcal{C}_1, \mathcal{C}_2, \dots)$  is an ESA, so by Theorem 1,  $r(\mathcal{C}_n) = n!C_n$ , and Equation (1),

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{n \geq 0} p_{\mathcal{C}_n}(t) \frac{x^n}{n!} &= \left( \sum_{n \geq 0} (-1)^n n! C_n \frac{x^n}{n!} \right)^{-t} = \left( \sum_{n \geq 0} C_n (-x)^n \right)^{-t} \\ &= \left( \frac{1 - \sqrt{1 + 4x}}{2x} \right)^{-t} = \left( \frac{1 + \sqrt{1 - 4x}}{2} \right)^t. \end{aligned}$$

Substituting  $t = +1$ , the left-hand side is  $\sum_{n \geq 0} (-1)^n b(\mathcal{C}_n) \frac{x^n}{n!}$ , where  $b(\mathcal{C}_n)$  is the number of bounded regions of  $\mathcal{C}_n$ , so

$$\sum_{n \geq 0} b(\mathcal{C}_n) \frac{(-x)^n}{n!} = \frac{1 + \sqrt{1 - 4x}}{2}.$$

**Example 3** (The complete graph as exponential sequence). Let's see Theorem 1 at work in an obvious case. Consider the complete graph arrangements  $\mathcal{A}[K_n]$ , where  $p_n(t) = (t)_n$  and  $r_n = n!$ . In Theorem 1 the left side is  $\sum_{n \geq 0} \binom{t}{n} x^n = (1 + x)^t$  by the binomial series, while the right hand side is  $\left( \sum_{n \geq 0} n! \frac{(-x)^n}{n!} \right)^{-t}$ , which equals  $\left( \frac{1}{1+x} \right)^{-t} = (1 + x)^t$  by the geometric series. So the theorem holds here, unsurprisingly.

**Example 4** (Shi as exponential sequence). Now a not-so-obvious case, the Shi arrangements  $\mathcal{S}_n$ . Here  $p_n(t) = t(t - n)^{n-1}$  and  $r_n = (n + 1)^{n-1}$ , so by Theorem 1,

$$\sum_{n \geq 0} t(t - n)^{n-1} \frac{x^n}{n!} = \left( \sum_{n \geq 0} (n + 1)^{n-1} \frac{-x^n}{n!} \right)^{-t},$$

which is (to put it mildly) a less trivial identity to check.

**Arrangements connected to interval orders.** An interval order is a partially ordered set that can be represented by intervals  $I_i = [a_i, b_i]$  for  $i = 1, 2, \dots, n$  in the real line, with  $I_i < I_j \iff b_i < a_j$ . See Stanley, Section 5.5, for more about interval orders. Here my interest is in the arrangements he finds in connection with interval orders of a certain kind. The arrangements are those of the following kind.

Take a finite subset  $L = \{l_1, l_2, \dots, l_n\} \subset \mathbb{R}_{>0}$  and set  $\eta = (l_1, \dots, l_n)$ . Let  $\mathcal{P}_\eta$  denote the set of all interval orders  $P$  on  $[n]$  such that there exist intervals  $I_1, \dots, I_n$  corresponding to  $P$  (with  $I_i$  corresponding to  $i \in P$ ) such that  $l(I_i) = l_i$ . In other words,  $i < j$  if and only if  $I_i$  lies entirely to the left of  $I_j$ . We now come to the connection with arrangements. Given  $\eta = (l_1, \dots, l_n)$  as above, define the arrangement  $\mathcal{J}_\eta$  in  $\mathbb{R}^n$  by letting its hyperplanes be given by  $x_j - x_i = l_i$ ,  $i \neq j$ . This is the affinographic arrangement  $\mathcal{A}[\pm LK_n]$ .

Holding  $L$  fixed, these form an exponential sequence of affinographic arrangements. We would have trouble applying Theorem ?? because we have no way to compute the characteristic polynomial (that is, the balanced chromatic polynomial  $\chi_{\pm LK_n}^b(\lambda)$ ) or the number of regions. More on this later.

The gain graph  $\pm LK_n$  is a kind of gain expansion of  $K_n$ , similar to group expansions (Theorem ??) but not so regular since we expand by a small subset of the gain group  $\mathbb{R}^+$ . We have no general formula for  $\chi_{\pm LK_n}^b(\lambda)$ . However, if we can convert the gains  $l_i$  to integers, we would have gain group  $\mathbb{Z}^+$  and we could apply modular coloring. But is that possible?

**Proposition 5** (Integralization). *Suppose  $L = \{l_1, l_2, \dots, l_n\} \subset \mathbb{R}_{>0}$ . Then there exists  $L' = \{l_1, l_2, \dots, l_n\} \subset \mathbb{Z}_{>0}$  such that  $\langle \pm LK_n \rangle$  and  $\langle \pm L'K_n \rangle$  have the same biased graph, hence the same balanced chromatic polynomial.*