

LOCAL MULTARY QUASIGROUPS AND TOPOLOGICAL BIASED GRAPHS

Thomas Zaslavsky

(Binghamton University, Binghamton, N.Y., U.S.A.)

E-mail address: zaslav@math.binghamton.edu

ABSTRACT. We propose an approach through graph theory that may allow extending factorization theorems about multary quasigroups to local multary quasigroups.

A multary (or more precisely n -ary) *quasigroup* on a set \mathbf{Q} is a function $f : \mathbf{Q}^n \rightarrow \mathbf{Q}$ such that the equation $f(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n) = x_0$ has a unique solution for each x_i if the values of all other variables x_j for $0 \leq j \leq n$, $j \neq i$, are given. One can restate this as the following property (Q):

There exist functions $f_i : \mathbf{Q}^n \rightarrow \mathbf{Q}$ for $i = 1, \dots, n$ such that

$$\begin{aligned} f(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n) &= x_0 \\ \iff f_i(x_0, x_1, \dots, \hat{x}_i, \dots, x_n) &= x_i, \end{aligned}$$

where \hat{x}_i means the variable x_i is omitted.

One can put a topological structure on \mathbf{Q} and require f and the f_i to be continuous; that defines a *continuous* quasigroup. A *local* quasigroup [1] is a partially defined continuous quasigroup; that is, f is defined on an open domain $D \subseteq \mathbf{Q}^n$ and each f_i is defined on an open domain $D_i \subseteq \mathbf{Q}^n$ and each function has property (Q) in some neighborhood of any point of its domain. (Usually, \mathbf{Q} is a manifold. It may have a differentiable structure; then f and the f_i should be differentiable and one says that f defines a local differentiable quasigroup. One may have different manifolds \mathbf{Q}_i for each variable x_i , but of the same dimension.)

An n -ary quasigroup has a combinatorial representation as a “biased expansion” of a circle (or cycle, or circuit) graph of length $n + 1$; that is a graph with $n + 1$ vertices and $(n + 1)|\mathbf{Q}|$ edges and additional structure to be explained later. I described this representation in [2] and (with proofs) in [3] and used it to prove that, if f has enough factorizations—specifically, if its factorization graph is 3-connected—then it is an iterated group operation (up to isotopy, i.e., separately renaming each of the variables). Furthermore, if every ternary retract quasigroup, obtained from f by fixing all but three of the independent variables, is isotopic to an iterated group, then so is f .

My question is how to apply the method of biased expansion graphs to deduce properties of local quasigroups. In particular, can one prove a local analog of the 3-connected factorization theorem or the ternary retract theorem? My idea is that one can model a continuous quasigroup by defining a topology on a biased expansion graph, then generalize the definition to topological biased graphs in order to model local quasigroups.

It is time to define the graph theory terms precisely. A *biased graph* is a pair (Γ, \mathcal{B}) consisting of a graph Γ and a list \mathcal{B} of circles in Γ such that, if two circles are in \mathcal{B} and their intersection is an open path of length at least 1, then their symmetric difference (which is a circle) also lies in \mathcal{B} . A *biased expansion* of a graph Δ is a biased graph (Γ, \mathcal{B}) together with a projection mapping $p : \Gamma \rightarrow \Delta$ such that p is bijective on vertices and surjective on edges and, if C is a circle in Δ and e is an edge in C , and if \tilde{P} is any path in Γ that projects bijectively onto $C \setminus e$, then there is a unique edge $\tilde{e} \in p^{-1}(e)$ such that $\tilde{P} \cup \{\tilde{e}\}$ is a circle in \mathcal{B} . In particular, if Δ is a circle $e_0 e_1 \cdots e_n$, then one can identify $p^{-1}(e_i)$ with the possible values of the variable x_i in such a way that a circle $\tilde{e}_0 \tilde{e}_1 \cdots \tilde{e}_n \in \mathcal{B}$ which simply covers Δ corresponds with an $(n+1)$ -tuple that solves the equation $f(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n) = x_0$. (See [2, 3].) This makes a biased expansion model of the quasigroup.

A subgraph of Γ is called *balanced* if every circle in it belongs to \mathcal{B} . The idea for modelling local quasigroups is that points of the domain D correspond to maximal balanced subgraphs of (Γ, \mathcal{B}) . One puts a topology on the set of maximal balanced subgraphs in a way that is analogous to how the topology on D respects the local quasigroup operation f . I will try to make this more precise in the talk.

References

- [1] V.V. Goldberg, *Local differentiable quasigroups and webs*. In: O. Chein, H.O. Pflugfelder, and J.D.H. Smith, eds., “Quasigroups and Loops: Theory and Applications”, Ch. X, pp. 263–311. Sigma Ser. Pure Math., Vol. 8. Heldermann, Berlin, 1990.
- [2] Thomas Zaslavsky, *Quasigroup associativity and biased expansion graphs*, Electron. Res. Announc. Amer. Math. Soc. **12** (2006), 13–18.
- [3] Thomas Zaslavsky, *Associativity in multary quasigroups: The way of biased expansions*, submitted. [arXiv:math.CO/0411268v2](https://arxiv.org/abs/math/0411268v2).