

Last time we proved that if  $x$  has a periodic continued fraction expansion then  $x = \frac{A + \sqrt{D}}{C}$  for some integers  $A, D, C$  such that  $D$  is not a square. Numbers of the above form are exactly roots of polynomials  $ax^2 + bx + c$  with  $a, b, c$  integers and  $b^2 - 4ac > 0$  not a square ( $x$  is a root of  $C^2x^2 - 2ACx + A^2 - D = 0$ ). We will prove now the converse.

Theorem: Real number  $x$  has a periodic simple continued fraction expansion if and only if  $Ax^2 + Bx + C = 0$  for some integers  $A, B, C$  s.t.  $\Delta = B^2 - 4AC$  is not a square.

Proof: We already proved that if  $x$  has a periodic continued fraction then  $x$  is a root of a quadratic polynomial with integer coefficients. Now we prove the converse.

Suppose  $Ax^2 + Bx + C = 0$  for some integers  $A, B, C$  s.t.  $B^2 - 4AC$  is not a square. This implies that  $x$  is irrational.

Define  $\alpha_0 = x$ ,  $\alpha_{k+1} = \frac{1}{\alpha_k - \lfloor \alpha_k \rfloor}$  for  $k = 0, 1, \dots$ . Let  $m_k = \lfloor \alpha_k \rfloor$ .

Then  $x = [m_0, m_1, \dots]$  and  $\alpha_k = [m_k, m_{k+1}, \dots]$ . As we observed last time, the sequence  $m_0, m_1, \dots$  is periodic if and only if  $\alpha_k = \alpha_L$  for some  $k < L$ .

In order to prove that  $\alpha_k = \alpha_L$  for some  $k < L$  we will show that  $A_k \alpha_k^2 + B_k \alpha_k + C_k = 0$  for some integers  $A_k, B_k, C_k$ . Then we will show that the sequences

$(A_k), (B_k), (C_k)$  are bounded. Since  $A_k, B_k, C_k$  are integers, this implies that among the polynomials  $A_k x^2 + B_k x + C_k, k=1, 2, \dots$  there are only finitely many different polynomials. Since each  $\alpha_k$  is a root of one of the finitely many polynomials, we only have finitely many different numbers among  $\alpha_1, \alpha_2, \dots$ . This clearly implies that  $\alpha_k = \alpha_l$  for some  $k < l$ .

Now we justify the above claims. Note that

$$x = [m_0, m_1, \dots, m_{k-1}, \alpha_k] \text{ for every } k \geq 1.$$

$$\det \begin{bmatrix} m_0 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} m_1 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{bmatrix} \dots \begin{bmatrix} m_k & 1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} p_k & p_{k-1} \\ q_k & q_{k-1} \end{bmatrix}, \text{ so } \frac{p_k}{q_k} \text{ is the } k\text{-th convergent for } x. \text{ Then}$$

$k$ -th convergent for  $x$ . Then

$$\begin{bmatrix} m_0 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{bmatrix} \dots \begin{bmatrix} m_k & 1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} \alpha_{k+1} & 1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} p_k \alpha_{k+1} + p_{k-1} & p_k \\ q_k \alpha_{k+1} + q_{k-1} & q_k \end{bmatrix}.$$

$$\text{Thus } x = [m_0, \dots, m_k, \alpha_{k+1}] = \frac{p_k \alpha_{k+1} + p_{k-1}}{q_k \alpha_{k+1} + q_{k-1}}. \text{ From } Ax^2 + Bx + C = 0$$

$$\text{we get } A(p_k \alpha_{k+1} + p_{k-1})^2 + B(p_k \alpha_{k+1} + p_{k-1})(q_k \alpha_{k+1} + q_{k-1}) + C(q_k \alpha_{k+1} + q_{k-1})^2 = 0$$

We will use the following useful observation:

$$\begin{bmatrix} x & y \end{bmatrix} \cdot \begin{bmatrix} A & \frac{B}{2} \\ \frac{B}{2} & C \end{bmatrix} \cdot \begin{bmatrix} x \\ y \end{bmatrix} = Ax^2 + Bxy + Cy^2.$$

Hence, we have

$$\begin{bmatrix} p_k \alpha_{k+1} + p_{k-1} & q_k \alpha_{k+1} + q_{k-1} \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} A & \frac{B}{2} \\ \frac{B}{2} & C \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} p_k \alpha_{k+1} + p_{k-1} \\ q_k \alpha_{k+1} + q_{k-1} \end{bmatrix} = 0$$

Since  $\begin{bmatrix} p_k d_{k+1} + p_{k-1} \\ q_k d_{k+1} + q_{k-1} \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} p_k & p_{k-1} \\ q_k & q_{k-1} \end{bmatrix} \cdot \begin{bmatrix} d_{k+1} \\ 1 \end{bmatrix}$ , taking transpose

we have  $\begin{bmatrix} p_k d_{k+1} + p_{k-1}, q_k d_{k+1} + q_{k-1} \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} d_{k+1}, 1 \end{bmatrix} \cdot \begin{bmatrix} p_k & q_k \\ p_{k-1} & q_{k-1} \end{bmatrix}$

Thus  $\begin{bmatrix} d_{k+1}, 1 \end{bmatrix} \cdot \begin{bmatrix} p_k & q_k \\ p_{k-1} & q_{k-1} \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} A & B/2 \\ B/2 & C \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} p_k, p_{k-1} \\ q_k, q_{k-1} \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} d_{k+1} \\ 1 \end{bmatrix} = 0$

$\det \begin{bmatrix} A_k & B_k/2 \\ B_k/2 & C_k \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} p_k & q_k \\ p_{k-1} & q_{k-1} \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} A & B/2 \\ B/2 & C \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} p_k, p_{k-1} \\ q_k, q_{k-1} \end{bmatrix} \quad (**)$

Then  $\begin{bmatrix} d_{k+1}, 1 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} A_k & B_k/2 \\ B_k/2 & C_k \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} d_{k+1} \\ 1 \end{bmatrix} = 0$ , i.e.

$$A_k (d_{k+1})^2 + B_k d_{k+1} + C_k = 0 \quad (***)$$

Taking determinants of (\*) and using  $\det \begin{bmatrix} p_k & p_{k-1} \\ q_k & q_{k-1} \end{bmatrix} = (-1)^{k+1}$ , we get

$$A_k C_k - \frac{B_k^2}{4} = AC - \frac{B^2}{4}, \text{ i.e. } B_k^2 - 4A_k C_k = B^2 - 4AC$$

Thus all the quadratic polynomials  $A_k x^2 + B_k x + C_k$  have the same discriminant  $B^2 - 4AC$ . Multiplying the matrices in (\*) we get

$$A_k = A p_k^2 + B p_k q_k + C q_k^2$$

$$B_k = 2A p_k p_{k-1} + B(p_k q_{k-1} + q_k p_{k-1}) + 2C q_k q_{k-1}$$

$$C_k = A p_{k-1}^2 + B p_{k-1} q_{k-1} + C q_{k-1}^2 = A_{k-1}$$

We have  $A_k = q_k^2 \left[ A \left( \frac{p_k}{q_k} \right)^2 + B \left( \frac{p_k}{q_k} \right) + C \right]$ . Recall now that  $Ax^2 + Bx + C = 0$ . Since  $x$  is between consecutive convergents  $\frac{p_k}{q_k}$  and  $\frac{p_{k+1}}{q_{k+1}}$ , we have  $\left| \frac{p_k}{q_k} - x \right| < \left| \frac{p_k}{q_k} - \frac{p_{k+1}}{q_{k+1}} \right| = \frac{1}{q_k q_{k+1}}$ .

$$\text{Thus: } \frac{A_k}{q_k^2} - \frac{A_k}{q_k^2} - 0 = \left[ A \left( \frac{p_k}{q_k} \right)^2 + B \left( \frac{p_k}{q_k} \right) + C \right] - [Ax^2 + Bx + C] =$$

$$= \left( \frac{p_k}{q_k} - x \right) \cdot \left[ A \left( \frac{p_k}{q_k} + x \right) + B \right], \text{ so}$$

$$\frac{|A_k|}{q_k^2} \leq \left| \frac{p_k}{q_k} - x \right| \cdot (|A| \cdot \left( \left| \frac{p_k}{q_k} \right| + |x| \right) + |B|). \text{ Since } \lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} \frac{p_k}{q_k} = x,$$

the sequence  $|A| \cdot \left( \left| \frac{p_k}{q_k} \right| + |x| \right) + |B|$  is convergent, hence it is

bounded (as a matter of fact,  $\left| \frac{p_k}{q_k} \right| \leq \left| \frac{p_1}{q_1} \right| = |m_1| = m_1$ )

so there is  $M > 0$  s.t.  $|A| \cdot \left( \left| \frac{p_k}{q_k} \right| + |x| \right) + |B| \leq M$  for all  $k$ .

$$\text{Thus } \frac{|A_k|}{q_k^2} \leq M \left| \frac{p_k}{q_k} - x \right| \leq \frac{M}{q_k q_{k+1}}, \text{ i.e. } |A_k| \leq \frac{q_k}{q_{k+1}} M \leq M.$$

(as  $q_{k+1} \geq q_k$ ). We see that  $|A_k| \leq M$  for all  $k$ .

Since  $C_k = A_{k-1}$ , we have  $|C_k| \leq M$  for all  $k$ . Now

$$B_k^2 = 4A_k C_k + B^2 - 4AC \leq 4M^2 + B^2 - 4AC \text{ so the sequence}$$

$(B_k)$  is bounded. We proved that the sequences  $(A_k)$ ,  $(B_k)$ ,

$(C_k)$  are bounded, which according to our earlier discussion

implies that  $\alpha_k = \alpha_l$  for some  $k < l$ .  $\square$

Let  $x = [m_0, m_1, m_2, \dots]$ . We will show that the convergents  $\frac{p_k}{q_k}$  are very good approximations to  $x$ . To make this more precise, recall first that:

$$\frac{p_1}{q_1} > \frac{p_3}{q_3} > \frac{p_5}{q_5} > \dots > x > \dots > \frac{p_6}{q_6} > \frac{p_4}{q_4} > \frac{p_2}{q_2} > \frac{p_0}{q_0},$$

and 
$$\frac{p_{k+1}}{q_{k+1}} - \frac{p_k}{q_k} = \frac{(-1)^{k+2}}{q_k q_{k+1}}; \quad \frac{p_{k+2}}{q_{k+2}} - \frac{p_k}{q_k} = \frac{(-1)^k m_{k+2}}{q_k q_{k+2}}$$

Proposition 1: If  $\frac{c}{d}$  is a rational number strictly between  $x$  and  $\frac{p_k}{q_k}$  (so  $\frac{c}{d} \neq \frac{p_k}{q_k}$ ,  $\frac{c}{d} \neq x$ ) then  $d > q_{k+1}$  (we assume that  $d > 0$ ).

Proof: Since  $\frac{c}{d}$  is strictly between  $x$  and  $\frac{p_k}{q_k}$ , we have

$$\left| \frac{c}{d} - \frac{p_k}{q_k} \right| < \left| x - \frac{p_k}{q_k} \right| < \left| \frac{p_{k+1}}{q_{k+1}} - \frac{p_k}{q_k} \right| = \frac{1}{q_k q_{k+1}}.$$

Also  $\left| \frac{c}{d} - \frac{p_k}{q_k} \right| = \frac{|c q_k - d p_k|}{d q_k} \geq \frac{1}{d q_k}$  (a positive integer is  $\geq 1$ )

Thus  $\frac{1}{d q_k} < \frac{1}{q_k q_{k+1}}$  i.e.  $d > q_{k+1}$ .  $\square$

Proposition 2: If  $\frac{c}{d}$  is a rational number on the opposite side of  $x$  than  $\frac{p_k}{q_k}$  and  $\frac{c}{d} \neq \frac{p_{k+1}}{q_{k+1}}$  and  $d \leq q_{k+2}$  then

$$|dx - c| > |p_k x - q_k|.$$

Proof:  $\frac{c}{d}$  is on the same side of  $x$  as  $\frac{p_{k+1}}{q_{k+1}}$ . Since  $\frac{c}{d} \neq \frac{p_{k+1}}{q_{k+1}}$  and  $d \leq q_{k+2}$ , Proposition 1 tells us that  $\frac{c}{d}$  can not be between  $x$  and  $\frac{p_{k+1}}{q_{k+1}}$ . It follows that  $\frac{p_{k+1}}{q_{k+1}}$  is between  $x$  and  $\frac{c}{d}$  and therefore:

$$\left| x - \frac{c}{d} \right| > \left| \frac{p_{k+1}}{q_{k+1}} - \frac{c}{d} \right| = \frac{|p_{k+1}d - cq_{k+1}|}{dq_{k+1}} \geq \frac{1}{dq_{k+1}}$$

i.e.  $|dx - c| > \frac{1}{q_{k+1}}$ .

On the other hand,  $\left| x - \frac{p_k}{q_k} \right| < \left| \frac{p_{k+1}}{q_{k+1}} - \frac{p_k}{q_k} \right| = \frac{1}{q_k q_{k+1}}$ , so

$$\frac{1}{q_{k+1}} > |q_k x - p_k|. \text{ Thus } |dx - c| > \frac{1}{q_{k+1}} > |q_k x - p_k|. \quad \square$$

Corollary 1:  $|q_{k+1}x - p_{k+1}| > |q_k x - p_k|$  for all  $k$ .

Proof: This follows from proposition 2 with  $\frac{c}{d} = \frac{p_{k+1}}{q_{k+1}}$ .  $\square$

Theorem: For any rational number  $\frac{c}{d}$  such that  $d \leq q_{k+1}$  and  $\frac{c}{d} \neq \frac{p_k}{q_k}, \frac{c}{d} \neq \frac{p_{k+1}}{q_{k+1}}$  we have  $|dx - c| > |q_k x - p_k|$ .

Pf: If  $\frac{c}{d}$  is on opposite side of  $x$  than  $\frac{p_k}{q_k}$ , the Theorem follows from Proposition 2. If  $\frac{c}{d}$  is on the same side of  $x$  as  $\frac{p_k}{q_k}$  then  $\frac{p_{k+1}}{q_{k+1}}$  and  $\frac{c}{d}$  are on opposite sides of  $x$  and

Proposition 2 tells us that  $|dx - c| > |q_{k+1}x + p_{k+1}|$ . The theorem in this case follows now from Corollary 1.  $\square$

Theorem 1 leads to the following curious observation.

For any integer  $d \geq 1$  define

$$F(d) = \min \{ |dx - c| : c \in \mathbb{Z} \} = \text{distance from } dx \text{ to the closest integer.}$$

From Corollary 1, we have  $F(q_0) > F(q_1) > \dots$ . By Theorem 1, we have  $F(d) > F(q_n)$  for all  $d < q_{n+1}$ ,  $d \neq q_n$ .

Theorem 1 leads to the following useful characterization of the convergents  $\frac{p_k}{q_k}$ ,  $k=0, 1, 2, 3, \dots$ . First a definition.

Definition: A fraction  $\frac{c}{d}$  ( $d > 0$ ) is called a best approximation to  $x$  if for any fraction  $\frac{a}{b}$  with  $0 < b \leq d$  we have  $|bx - a| > |dx - c|$  unless  $a=c$ ,  $b=d$ .

Note: if  $\frac{c}{d}$  is a best approximation to  $x$  then

- $\gcd(c, d) = 1$  (if  $n|c$  and  $n|d$  then  $c=c_1n$ ,  $d=d_1n$  and  $|d_1x - c_1| = \frac{1}{n} |dx - c|$ ; if  $n > 1$  then  $|d_1x - c_1| < |dx - c|$ , which is not possible)

- $|x - \frac{c}{d}| < |x - \frac{a}{b}|$  for any  $\frac{a}{b} \neq \frac{c}{d}$  with  $0 < b \leq d$ .

Theorem 2: A fraction  $\frac{c}{d}$  is a best approximation to  $x$  if and only if  $c=p_k$ ,  $d=q_k$  for some convergent  $\frac{p_k}{q_k}$  to  $x$ .

Proof: If  $c=p_k$ ,  $d=q_k$  then for any  $\frac{a}{b}$  with  $b < q_{k+1}$  we have

$$|bx - a| > |q_k x - p_k| \text{ unless } \frac{a}{b} = \frac{p_k}{q_k} \text{ (note that } \frac{a}{b} \neq \frac{p_{k+1}}{q_{k+1}} \text{ since } b < q_{k+1}).$$

Thus if  $b \leq q_k$  and  $\frac{a}{b} \neq \frac{p_k}{q_k}$  then  $|bx - a| > |q_k x - p_k|$ . If  $\frac{a}{b} = \frac{p_k}{q_k}$  and

$b \leq q_k$  then  $a=p_k$ ,  $b=q_k$ , since  $\gcd(p_k, q_k) = 1$ . Thus  $\frac{p_k}{q_k}$  is a best approximation to  $x$ .

Conversely, let  $\frac{c}{d}$  be a best approximation to  $x$ . There is  $k$  such that  $q_k \leq d < q_{k+1}$ . If  $\frac{c}{d} \neq \frac{p_k}{q_k}$  then  $|dx - c| > |q_k x - p_k|$  by Theorem 1. On the other hand, since  $\frac{c}{d}$  is a best

approximation to  $x$  and  $q_k \leq d$  and  $\frac{c}{d} \neq \frac{p_k}{q_k}$ , ~~then~~ we have  $|dx - c| < |q_k x - p_k|$ , a contradiction. Thus  $\frac{c}{d} = \frac{p_k}{q_k}$ . Since  $\gcd(c, d) = 1$ , we get  $c = p_k, d = q_k$ .  $\square$

Another application of Theorem 1 is the following

Theorem 3: If  $x$  is an irrational number and  $|x - \frac{a}{b}| \leq \frac{1}{2b^2}$  for some rational number  $\frac{a}{b}$  with  $b > 0$  and  $\gcd(a, b) = 1$ , then  $\frac{a}{b} = \frac{p_k}{q_k}$  for some convergent  $\frac{p_k}{q_k}$  to  $x$ .

Proof. There is  $k$  s.t.  $q_k \leq b < q_{k+1}$ . Suppose that  $\frac{a}{b} \neq \frac{p_k}{q_k}$ . Then  $|\frac{a}{b} - \frac{p_k}{q_k}| \geq \frac{|aq_k - bp_k|}{bq_k} \geq \frac{1}{bq_k}$ . Furthermore, from

Theorem 1, we have  $|bx - a| > |q_k x - p_k|$ . Thus  $|q_k x - p_k| < |bx - a| = b \cdot |x - \frac{a}{b}| \leq b \cdot \frac{1}{2b^2} = \frac{1}{2b}$ , so

$|x - \frac{p_k}{q_k}| < \frac{1}{2bq_k}$ . It follows that

$$\frac{1}{bq_k} \leq |\frac{a}{b} - \frac{p_k}{q_k}| = |(\frac{a}{b} - x) - (\frac{p_k}{q_k} - x)| \leq |\frac{a}{b} - x| + |\frac{p_k}{q_k} - x| < \frac{1}{2b^2} + \frac{1}{2bq_k}$$

This implies that  $\frac{1}{2b^2} > \frac{1}{2bq_k}$  so  $q_k > b$ , a contradiction.

Since the assumption  $\frac{a}{b} \neq \frac{p_k}{q_k}$  leads to a contradiction, we

have  $\frac{a}{b} = \frac{p_k}{q_k}$ . Since  $\gcd(a, b) = 1$ , we get  $a = p_k, b = q_k$ .  $\square$