

Recall some key results from last time:

① If \mathcal{C}, \mathcal{D} are simplicial complexes and $f, g: |\mathcal{C}| \rightarrow |\mathcal{D}|$ are two continuous functions such that for every point $P \in |\mathcal{C}|$, the points $f(P)$ and $g(P)$ belong to the same simplex in \mathcal{D} .

Then $f \sim g$ (f, g are homotopic).

② A continuous function $\varphi: |\mathcal{C}| \rightarrow |\mathcal{D}|$ is simplicial if for any simplex $\Delta \in \mathcal{C}$, φ maps Δ linearly onto a simplex in \mathcal{D} . Given any continuous function $f: |\mathcal{C}| \rightarrow |\mathcal{D}|$ there is a simplicial set \mathcal{C}_1 (obtained from \mathcal{C} by dividing its simplices into smaller simplices) such that $|\mathcal{C}_1| = |\mathcal{C}|$ and a simplicial map $\varphi: |\mathcal{C}_1| \rightarrow |\mathcal{D}|$ such that $f \sim \varphi$ (f is homotopic to a simplicial map with respect to a complex obtained from \mathcal{C} by dividing its simplices into smaller simplices).

③ If $\dim \mathcal{C} < \dim \mathcal{D}$ then no simplicial map $\varphi: |\mathcal{C}| \rightarrow |\mathcal{D}|$ can be surjective. Thus any continuous map $f: |\mathcal{C}| \rightarrow |\mathcal{D}|$ is homotopic to a continuous map which is not surjective.

④ If $n < m$ then any continuous map $f: S^n \rightarrow S^m$ is homotopic to a constant function.

Pf: $S^{n-1} \cong |\mathcal{C}_n|$, where \mathcal{C}_n is a simplicial complex which consists of all faces of $\Delta(A_0, \dots, A_n)$ of dimension less than n (i.e. all faces except the n -dimensional face).

Since $\dim \mathcal{C}_n = n-1$, f is homotopic to some $g: S^n \rightarrow S^m$ which is not surjective, i.e. $g: S^n \rightarrow S^m - \{P\}$ for some point P .

Since $S^m - \{P\} \cong \mathbb{R}^m$ is contractible, g is homotopic to a constant function. \square

Theorem (Sperner's Lemma): Let $\Delta = \Delta(A_0, \dots, A_n)$ be an n -dimensional simplex and let \mathcal{C} be a simplicial complex such that $|\mathcal{C}| = \Delta$. Suppose that to every vertex P of \mathcal{C} we assign a number $v(P) \in \{0, 1, \dots, n\}$ such that if P belongs to a face $\Delta(A_{i_0}, A_{i_1}, \dots, A_{i_s})$ of Δ then $v(P) \in \{0, \dots, i_s\}$ (the number assigned to P is equal to the number of one of the vertices of the face of Δ which contains P in its interior). Then there is an n -dimensional simplex in \mathcal{C} whose vertices have assigned every of the numbers $0, 1, \dots, n$.

Proof: We will prove that the number of n -dimensional simplices in \mathcal{C} whose vertices have assigned every of the numbers $0, 1, \dots, n$ is odd (so it is $\neq 0$) using induction on n .

For $n=0$ the result is clear, as $\Delta = \Delta(A_0)$ is just a point. Suppose the result holds for simplices of dimension less than n . Consider an n -dimensional simplex $\Delta = \Delta(A_0, \dots, A_n)$, a simplicial complex \mathcal{C} s.t. $|\mathcal{C}| = \Delta$ and an assignment $v: \mathcal{V}_{\mathcal{C}} \rightarrow \{0, 1, \dots, n\}$ as in the lemma (here $\mathcal{V}_{\mathcal{C}}$ is the set of all vertices of \mathcal{C}).

Since $A_i \in \Delta(A_i)$, we have $v(A_i) = i$.

Let \mathcal{F} be the collection of all $(n-1)$ -dimensional simplices in \mathcal{C} whose vertices have assigned every number $0, 1, \dots, n-1$.

Let \mathcal{F}^* be the subset of \mathcal{F} of those simplices which are contained in $\Delta(A_0, \dots, A_{n-1})$. All the simplices in \mathcal{C} which are contained in $\Delta(A_0, \dots, A_{n-1})$ form a simplicial complex \mathcal{C}^* s.t. $|\mathcal{C}^*| = \Delta(A_0, \dots, A_{n-1})$. The restriction of v to the

Vertices of \mathcal{C}^* satisfies the assumptions of Sperner's Lemma.

By the inductive assumption, $|\mathcal{F}^*|$ is odd.

List now all n -dimensional simplices in \mathcal{C} in some order: $\Delta_1, \dots, \Delta_N$. Let r be the number of such simplices whose vertices have assigned each of the numbers $0, 1, \dots, n$. Our goal is to show that r is odd. Let K_i be the set of all numbers assigned to vertices of Δ_i and let r_i be the number of $(n-1)$ -dimensional faces of Δ_i whose vertices have assigned all the numbers $0, 1, \dots, n-1$ (i.e. number of faces which belong to \mathcal{F}). Note that:

(i) if $K_i = \{0, 1, \dots, n\}$ then $r_i = 1$

(ii) if $K_i = \{0, 1, \dots, n-1\}$ then $r_i = 2$

(iii) if $\{0, 1, \dots, n-1\}$ is not contained in K_i then $r_i = 0$.

Since $r =$ the number of Δ_i s.t. $K_i = \{0, 1, \dots, n\}$, we see that

$$r \equiv r_1 + \dots + r_N \pmod{2}$$

On the other hand, each $(n-1)$ -dimensional simplex in \mathcal{F}^* belongs to exactly one of the simplices $\Delta_1, \dots, \Delta_N$ and each $(n-1)$ -dimensional simplex in $\mathcal{F} - \mathcal{F}^*$ belongs to exactly 2 of $\Delta_1, \dots, \Delta_N$.

It follows that in the sum $r_1 + \dots + r_N$ each simplex in \mathcal{F}^* is counted 1 time and each simplex in $\mathcal{F} - \mathcal{F}^*$ is counted twice.

$$\text{Thus } r_1 + \dots + r_N \equiv |\mathcal{F}^*| + 2|\mathcal{F} - \mathcal{F}^*| \equiv |\mathcal{F}^*| \pmod{2}.$$

We conclude that $r \equiv |\mathcal{F}^*| \pmod{2}$. Since $|\mathcal{F}^*|$ is odd, r is odd as well. \square

Theorem (Brouwer's fixed point theorem): Let $f: \Delta \rightarrow \Delta$ be a continuous function, where $\Delta = \Delta(A_0, \dots, A_n)$ is an n -simplex. Then f has a fixed point.

Proof: Suppose that f has no fixed point, i.e. $f(P) \neq P$ for all $P \in \Delta$. For $P \in \Delta$, let $t_0(P), t_1(P), \dots, t_n(P)$ be the barycentric coordinates of P , so $P = t_0(P)A_0 + t_1(P)A_1 + \dots + t_n(P)A_n$, $t_i(P) \in [0, 1]$ and $t_0(P) + t_1(P) + \dots + t_n(P) = 1$. Each t_i is a continuous function from Δ to $[0, 1]$. Consider the sets

$$M_i = \{P \in \Delta : t_i(P) > t_i(f(P))\}, \quad i = 0, 1, \dots, n.$$

Since the function $P \mapsto t_i(P) - t_i(f(P))$ is a continuous function and M_i is the preimage of $(0, \infty)$ by this function, we see that each M_i is an open set in Δ . Suppose that there is a point $P \in \Delta$ which does not belong to any M_i , $i = 0, \dots, n$.

Then $t_i(f(P)) \leq t_i(P)$ for $i = 0, 1, \dots, n$. Since $t_0(f(P)) + \dots + t_n(f(P)) = t_0(P) + \dots + t_n(P) = 1$, we conclude that $t_i(P) = t_i(f(P))$ for all i . But this means that $P = f(P)$, so P would be a fixed point of f , contrary to our assumption. It follows that every point of Δ belongs to one of the sets M_0, \dots, M_n . In other words, M_0, M_1, \dots, M_n is an open cover of Δ .

Let ε be a Lebesgue number of this cover, so any subset of Δ of diameter $< \varepsilon$ must be contained in one of M_0, \dots, M_n . Consider now a simplicial complex \mathcal{C}

such that $|E| = \Delta$ and each simplex in E has diameter $< \epsilon$ (we know that Δ can be divided into small simplices to form E).

For every vertex P of E we have at least one i such that $t_i(P) > t_i(f(P))$. Indeed, otherwise we would have $t_i(P) \leq t_i(f(P))$ for all i , which as before implies that $t_i(P) = t_i(f(P))$ for all i , hence $P = f(P)$, a contradiction. Choose any such i and call it $v(P)$. Note that if P belongs to a face $\Delta(A_{i_0}, \dots, A_{i_s})$ then $t_j(P) = 0$ for $j \notin \{i_0, \dots, i_s\}$. Since $t_{v(P)}(P) > t_{v(P)}(f(P))$, we have $t_{v(P)}(P) \neq 0$, hence $v(P) \in \{i_0, \dots, i_s\}$. It follows that v satisfies the assumptions of Sperner's Lemma. Thus there is an n -dimensional simplex $\bar{\Delta}$ in E whose vertices have assigned all numbers $0, 1, \dots, n$. Since $\bar{\Delta}$ has diameter $< \epsilon$, $\bar{\Delta} \subseteq U_i$ for some i . Let P be the vertex of $\bar{\Delta}$ s.t. $v(P) = i$. Then, by definition of v , $t_i(P) > t_i(f(P))$. On the other hand, since $P \in U_i$, we have $t_i(P) < t_i(f(P))$. Clearly these two inequalities contradict each other. The assumption that f has no fixed points leads to a contradiction, so f must have a fixed point. \square

Note that $\Delta(A_0, \dots, A_n)$ is homeomorphic to the n -dimensional disk $D^n = \{(x_0, \dots, x_n) \in \mathbb{R}^n : x_0^2 + \dots + x_n^2 \leq 1\}$.

Thus we conclude that every continuous function $f: D^m \rightarrow D^m$ has a fixed point.

Theorem: S^n is not contractible, for $n \geq 1$.

Proof: $S^n = \{(x_1, \dots, x_{n+1}) : x_1^2 + \dots + x_{n+1}^2 = 1\} \subseteq D^{n+1}$, S^n is the boundary of D^{n+1} in \mathbb{R}^{n+1} . Suppose that S^n is contractible. Then we have a homotopy $H: S^n \times I \rightarrow S^n$ such that $H(x, 0) = x$ and $H(x, 1) = a \in S^n$ for all $x \in S^n$.

Recall that $S^n \times I / S^n \times \{1\} \cong CS^n$ (cone on S^n) $\cong D^{n+1}$, where

$p: S^n \times I \rightarrow D^{n+1}$, $p(x, t) = t \cdot x$ induces a homeomorphism

$S^n \times I / S^n \times \{1\} \rightarrow D^{n+1}$. The homotopy $H: S^n \times I \rightarrow S^n$ maps

$S^n \times I$ to a point, hence it induces a continuous function

$\bar{H}: D^{n+1} = S^n \times I / S^n \times \{1\} \rightarrow S^n$. The equality $H(x, 0) = x$ implies

that $\bar{H}(x) = x$ for all $x \in S^n \subseteq D^{n+1}$. Consider now the

function $F: D^{n+1} \rightarrow S^n \subseteq D^{n+1}$, $F(x) = -\bar{H}(x)$. By Brouwer's

theorem, $F(u) = u$ for some u . Since F has values in S^n ,

we have $u \in S^n$. But then $F(u) = -\bar{H}(u) = -u \neq u$,

a contradiction. This shows that H does not exist, i.e.

S^n is not contractible.

As a corollary we get the following result.

Theorem: If $n < m$ then S^n and S^m are not homeomorphic.

Pf: Suppose $f: S^n \rightarrow S^m$ is a homeomorphism. Since $n < m$, we know that f is homotopic to a constant function $g: S^n \rightarrow S^m: f \circ g$. It follows that $f \circ f$ and $f \circ g$ are homotopic, i.e. $f \circ f = \text{id}: S^n \rightarrow S^n$ is homotopic to the constant function $f \circ g: S^n \rightarrow S^n$. This contradicts our previous result that S^n is not contractible.

Theorem: If $n < m$ then \mathbb{R}^n is not homeomorphic to \mathbb{R}^m .

Pf: Recall that S^n is the one-point compactification of \mathbb{R}^n . One point compactification is a topological construction, i.e. homeomorphic spaces have homeomorphic one point compactifications. Thus if \mathbb{R}^n and \mathbb{R}^m were homeomorphic, then S^n and S^m would be homeomorphic, which is false. \square